

A Conspiracy to Selectively Withhold Science Information

ISBN978-1-920430-S4-2

Written by P.S.J. (Peet) Schutte. **KOSMOLOGIESE EN ASTRONOMIESE TEGNIKA** All rights are reserved. Publishing, or alternatively reproducing electronically or using any other means of duplicating without the consent of the copyright owner is strictly prohibited. No parts of this book may be reproduced in any way that is possible.

For more about the conspiracy also visit www.questionablescience.net or <http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/> and www.sirnewtonsfraud.com

I wishtotellthetruthaboutscience, butwhatisthetruthaboutscience?

Are you ready for the truth? Better still... Those making a living from science, can you stomach the truth?

Can you face the truth without firstly knowing what you will be presented with? The truth is going to have what you believe is the truth come tumbling down on you.

So, before you say yes that quickly, ask yourself if you have the perception or the insight or moreover the understanding to relate to what the truth might hold.

It is quick to agree to the request but I have yet to find one professor in physics that will respond to the truth! Should you face up to the truth you are stronger than any one of almost two thousand physicists in academic posts I have contacted over twelve years.

Moreover what will you do when you know the truth or are you satisfied to be told what to believe is the truth without ever investigating by personal standards as to finding the truth about science.

I managed my discoveries because I DISCOVERED A MISTAKE IN SCIENCE.

Then as a result of finding the mistake I DISCOVERED THE TRUTH ABOUT SCIENCE To find what is truth read on and confront the truth, as you never had ever before.

You will find I never compromise truth for friendship and in that light I say what they needed to hear and not what is wanted to please whoever should feel pleased. Meet the Newtonian physicist.

In this book I try to introduce the reader to the brilliant Newtonian conspirator that has been dragging all of intelligent man by the nose for three centuries on a string. The more the conspirator pretends to be an intellectual physicist the better a fool those conspirators become.

He looks sheepish because he acts sheepish because as he follows he never questions what he believes and brainwash student to do the same.

Read how clever the physicists are in hiding their stupidity from students and the public alike. By enlisting thought control those teaching physics force students to believe in science and to believe science as being the only correct mindset there are. This idea of science being the ultimate incorrectness is totally ridiculous.

There are those brainwashed to the point that believe physicist are above reprimand and should be

treated as if they are God by never criticizing their actions. I wish to provide one such example and you can determine what halfwits and brainwashed non-thinkers fill the faculty of physics. To them everything is correct as long as it is part of physics because although they cannot think, they "can understand physics. That they have no idea about what they understand is apparent to everybody with a brain but still they wish to show the world they "understand physics" just because they believe they are so superior that "they understand Newton"

One such an example I can show is a person going by some names such as Symeof.

Symeof of no known e-mail address dislikes this book. According to him this is why. He says Pathetic material. The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove (by the way, you need to have studied a subject before you can criticize it, which the author didn't). In my opinion, the author is so narrow-minded that when he came to scientists to talk about his material, the scientists must have told him that it was nonsensical. Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.

Please study Symeof's criticism. If you are not prepared for the shock awaiting you about the conspiracy in science, you should not continue. It will be as big a shock to you as it apparently is to Symeof. You will as he did realise that so many years of study has gone wasted because what you learned was one big hoax, covered by the mother conspiracy in science and as a result of this awakening you will feel to lash out but don't try to kill the messenger. There is the mother conspiracy, which I expose. If you are unprepared for the shock then reading this book will be more harmful than never knowing the truth. To find all your professional knowledge came to nothing must be unbearable and insufferable. The mother conspiracy is in place but you decide if you wish to know about it or not, the choice are yours to make.

What you are going to read is the mother of all the conspiracies in science, which is about how science applies mind control by processing thought control. Every conspiracy ever linked to science is in place to protect that conspiracy from becoming known. I prove that there is a mother conspiracy in place. The mother conspiracy is in place whereby students are brainwashed through the instigation of mind control through enforcing the acceptance of dogma on students. I also introduce a new cosmic vision with the entirety called the Universe, which is formed by singularly taking on every shape, and space that we know. Singularity is the point where the Universe first started according to Einstein.

Those making such remarks as Symeof does must remember that persons on a higher level of education can immediately gauge the level of your education development when it is so inferior. This person might seem highly educated in his own eyes but it is clear he is on a very low level of understanding physics. This person clearly never heard of the cosmic laws named **1) the Lagrangian system, 2) the Roche limit 3) the Titius Bode law 4) the Coanda affect**, which I explain by delivering mathematical proof as to how they fit into the overall picture of gravity and which I mention and explain in much detail.

Reading this remark it is evident that Symeof never came as far as the explaining of the four cosmic laws or such explaining as I give went past this reader without him noticing the explaining as it was too far advanced and much above his level of understanding. That indicates that the person never understood the explaining of the laws and therefore has a very small insight and a low level of understanding physics. It would be much wiser to shut up and get wise than to advertise your uneducated stupidity to the world as you did. When you say *"The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove"* with that remark you are trying to dispute what is apparently very much above your limited understanding of physics and that which I prove you did not even come to read. It is a pity but then again we can't be all intellectual.

When I refer to brainwashing students into believing this is the exact example I refer to. I could not have asked for a better example even if I ordered it myself. This is the typical learn by repeat and never question those teaching you because questions will uncover the mother conspiracy and that the teachers avoid. The mother conspiracy is in place to teach about what is not present in the cosmos like telling that things such as mass is positioning planets while never mention what forms the true basis of cosmology which is **the Lagrangian system, 2) the Roche limit 3) the Titius Bode law 4) the Coanda affect**. Those that are on a low education level such as Symeof so clearly is, would never have heard of these laws. I explain for the first time in the history of man how these laws apply and how to read gravity from their applications. However he never came to know such information is in place and thus informing him and those such as he is almost impossible. When explaining these laws I have to discredit what Newtonian science uses because what they use has no validation or credit, as I will show very soon. .

This is how the interpretation of physics would apply if Newton was correct and mass did position planets. I am, going to show everyone with even a childlike understanding of reality that there is not even a remote chance that the positioning of the planets go in accordance with mass or $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$. This is the formula Newton introduced and it is the formula that all those studying physics still are taught to accept as the valid formula. Do you realise there is much more "gravity produced by mass" in the space your feet has contact with the earth than there could ever be between Jupiter and the sun when using this formula


$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2} ?$$

But this is part of what Symeof never can understand. It shows that those that study physics never tested what they were told apply in cosmic physics. They

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$


never put the correct mass as pplying numbers into and put in place the radius between the sun and whatever planet and come to a mathematical conclusion. Any person trying to put mathematical values in this equation that is supposed to be in place to

show how solar bodies are positioned will realise it has no value. You that can calculate it



$$\frac{a^3}{GM^2}$$

so then do it. Show that $\frac{a^3}{GM^2}$ is valid. Please show how mass by $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ can produce planet positions. It is hogwash. Look at the picture and try to put mass into perspective in relation to planet positions!



By depicting the solar system in such a presentation as Newtonians normally do such as the picture next this form of presenting the layout without providing correct spacing purposely corrupts the entire structure formation by which the solar system develops. It then purposely hides the essence that forms the solar system.

This is so typical Newtonian in every sense there is in science. Can anybody, even those with the mentality of Symeoff and his gang see that the planets are not arranged from the biggest that is most massive and then therefore should be closest to the sun and smallest way to the outside as they should if planets orbits P^2 was the result of size or mass $G(M + m)$ and mass has no place in the layout?

Newtonians make a statement about "mass" holding the solar system in place. No matter how much this is corrupt, nevertheless they put it down as a given fact so much so that they will show doubt in a living God being present but that mass pulls planets goes beyond doubt. The proof of mass pulling to form gravity can never be tested because it is beyond doubt. If you doubt in it they throw a Newtonian made formula they call Kepler's laws at you. "Kepler's third law" supposedly is "the square of the orbital period of a planet is directly proportional to the cube of the semi-major axis of its orbit." In mathematics it is Symbolically: $P^2 \propto a^3$ and therefore $a^3 = P^2$ in position of P and therefore $a^3 \propto (P^2)^3$. This is taken from the idea that "Kepler said", which is totally fabricated that $a^3 = T^2$ where Kepler said in fact $a^3 = T^2 k$ and this is a big difference because $a^3 = T^2 k$ is the same as $E = mc^2$. Look at reality. $a^3 =$

P^2 is total garbage and as big a hoax as is the idea of mass being any form of factor in gravitational physics or that gravity applies in

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

accordance with . Look at the picture below. Look at how the planets are sorted and that is not by size. There is a ratio applying called the Titius Bode law and this law puts planets in terms of size or mass at a precise equal base notwithstanding that Jupiter is many time bigger than Mercury. Everyone is so taken by the accuracy of Einstein's formula that $E=mc^2$ but this is exactly Kepler's formula where $E^3=mc^2$ is taken from Kepler's formula when accurately used as $a^3=T^2k$. There is no $a^3 = P^2$.


This is so typical Newtonian in every sense there is in science. The Newtonians gave the Titius Bode law a formula and that explains the lot. To they're under achieving standards that is very satisfactory. Now it is written in mathematics then what more do we need to know. The fact that the distance that Mercury has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has from the sun is completely ignored. In cosmic reality mass plays no part. Then again the distance that Venus has from the sun is doubled by that which the earth has. This clearly has nothing to do with the size or mass of the planets. Explaining that part is completely ignored. Then again the distance that the earth has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has and inexplicably this forms the layout of all planets in the solar system. Where do Newton and his idea of mass fit into what truly applies in outer space. Moreover, why does science never mention this? This is my formulated explanation about how the Titius Bode law forms.

If you think my accusations are baseless or the ravings of a madman then go on and download what you have opened and read for yourself. What you download is free and I do not benefit financially from this explanation I present to you. There is no such a thing as mass anywhere in the cosmos. If there were a factor such as mass every planet would orbit distinctly positioned according to mass, but they don't. Should you think of the size of a body containing more or containing less material and put that in terms of mass that forms gravity then the orbital layout of the Universe or solar system would very distinctly NOT be the way it is. The cosmos shows no mass as a factor and we can either regard the cosmos as correct or Newtonian science as correct as Newtonian science diverts totally from the physics that the cosmos displays. The choice to make is do we believe science or do we believe the cosmos you choose?

Show that the square of the mass in relation to the gravitational common factor puts planets in their allocated positions! Put the orbit of Jupiter in relation to the mass of Jupiter and in relation to the position Jupiter holds. Forget getting swept away by the fancy Mathematics; just get to the task of putting the mass of any of the planets in relation or ratio of that particular planet has and then in connection with the position that any of the planets hold. Don't come up with the argument that science works and therefore Newton works. Please then show as he put it: The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove (by the way, you need to have studied a subject before you can criticize it, which the author didn't). In my opinion, the author is so narrow-minded that when he came to scientists to talk

about his material, the scientists must have told him that it was nonsensical. That is a lame excuse to hide incompetence. If you are unable to do it your physics is a giant fraud, which is based on a century old lie and a hoax. It then shows your small-mindedness because you have never put physics as it is taught to the test. This is taken from the idea that Newton had when Newton changed Kepler's formula from $a^3 = (T^2k)$ to $a^3 = T^2$ because without any legal mathematical backing Newton said the third dimension is equal to anything holding a second dimension or a cube a^3 is equal to a square T^2 .


Mathematical reality is that any person in the third dimension a^3 having three sides can climb into a mirror T^2 being absolutely flat and then climb back to the third dimension because $a^3 = T^2$. This is the garbage those slow witted person's such as Symeof failed to see when he was taught that $a^3 = T^2$ because Newton said so.




What this liquid. Please space is in

have the

Reading this mathematically encrypted coded formula of the cosmos given to Kepler and keeping it removed from Newton it reads as being that the equal to = the motion T^2 of the space a^3 in ratio k to a centre k^0 , which is relevant to the positioning of k . If we bring in the full equation it will be $k^0 = a^3 + (T^2k)$ which means half of space is solid $k = a^3 + T^2$ and half of space is liquid $k^{-1} = T^2 + a^3$ where liquid is moving. However, it is also true that everything through movement defines a value in relation to one point holding singularity k^0 and that is what the formula $k^0 = a^3 + (T^2k)$ underwrites. proves is that gravity is the motion of space provided by time being the allow me to explain. In the formula $a^3 = T^2 k$ the space forms as the motion. Newton suggested that $\frac{dJ}{dt} = 0$ where he stopped time to




motion of the circle demolish the work that the circle does. That Symeof says that the author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: Please address the following facts.



All of the above is way past the level of understanding physics of a person such as Symeof and in his critics as he showed how little he understands of what should be understood about physics.

When a body touches or contacts the earth there is a factor such as weight but when you call that weight by the name of mass it is deceptive. Then again to call weight by any other name is equal to commit deception or to deceive the public. Notwithstanding the number of atoms that form any cosmic body, the mass or body volume is all the same because all planets orbit at the same rate.

The table that Kepler provided shows the time that the orbit of every planet takes according to the distance the planet travels in the same time lapse and considering all the planets it is very much the same thing and in that there is no provision in the table for any idea that might form mass. This ratio is the indication of speed travelled. The idea that mass exists is a Newtonian invention made up by Newton and is completely groundless except for the value that Newtonian science gives it in order to maintain the Newtonian principles. The entire idea of mass is a myth. The idea that mass pulls mass is complete mythology and is as baseless as any fairy story. But even more deceiving is that notwithstanding that every planet has a specifically calculated mathematically value when $T^2 a^3 = 0.983$ which is $T^2 a^3 = k^{-1}$ Newtonian science completely ignores the values and declares that $T^2 = a^3$ whereby they ignore the values in the column. That is cheating the truth into submission to corruption to say the least. Newtonians fabricate their truth.



There is no factor such as mass in the Universe. Kepler proved with $T^2 a^3 = k^{-1}$ that space is reclining and matter is moving within the reclining space going towards the sun. The sun is not pulling but concentrating space into a denser unit such as we can see when looking at the sun. There is no evidence of a factor such as mass that holds any validity throughout the Universe. There is no proof that the Universe indicates the presence of gravity by the measure of mass forming a pulling power and while science conducts an entire religiosity based on this falsified belief, any such notion is falsified truth. Using science based on the idea that there is a pulling force such as mass forming gravity is as valid as giving Snow White seven dwarfs and then beginning a religion on that basis. There is a factor such as weight but there is no pulling of anything towards anything by magical forces forming gravity or whatever.

There is a conspiracy of conducting fraud by claiming non-existing forces but such claims are utterly fraudulent. I have been trying for twelve years to introduce the true forming of gravity but all Physicists I have encountered prevented me of doing so. They stop me because my work makes Newtonian science and when removing the notion that a pulling force of gravity works by the value of mass, most of their work becomes science fiction that falls apart in substance. Read this and see how students in physics are methodically brainwashed to get the students to believe in the absolute accuracy of science. Professors and teachers participate knowingly or unknowingly in this thought manipulation process by means of conducting mind control. By applying this mind-altering process those teaching physics ensure they subdue students into becoming mind-altered zombies.

It is a process going on for centuries and which without science would have no foot to stand on in the modern environment. By presenting incorrect, falsified or unproven facts and other untruths as proven truth they exert thought control and thereby change the student's ability to appreciate what is correct and believable logic and then force students to discard such judgement ability in favour of accepting the institutionalised untested norms and values of science in order to unequivocally believe in science. The accepted teaching methods force students to comply by compromising their better judgment and then

systematically to capitulate under teacher pressure by making their own what science prescribes what should be believed. I prove this and you get this for free so what have you got to lose...**but you can get wise to what forms a better understanding about science!** By using the building blocks that forms the Universe I take you back to the instant the Universe started and I show you how the Universe fits like a jigsaw puzzle.

This astronaut has “mass” and the earth also in the picture has “mass” and the pulling should be going on since there is no restraining that would prevent the man from falling to the earth so why is Newton’s “mass” not applying? This astronaut is circling the earth at a certain speed notwithstanding “whatever mass” science contributes to the pilot. If “mass” was pulling then why is the man not falling. The man will fall depending on the speed by which the man rotates the earth. His spacecraft (not in the picture) has about a hundred times more mass that should entice about a hundred times more pulling but both float above the earth at a specific pre-calculated speed. It is the speed that determines the distance of circling and not “mass”.



$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

If the astronaut were on earth his mass would be the same as his weight. Therefore on earth there is no distinction between the mass factor on earth and the weight factor on earth. It is clear that things change when this astronaut walked on the moon. If the astronaut were walking on the moon his weight would not be equal to his mass.

The astronaut would weigh less than the mass he has on earth. It is said that the gravity changes the numbers. The moon has less mass and that gives the man less weight while the mass remains the same. Say the man weighed 90 kg on earth he would have mass equal to 90kg.

When the same man walks on the moon he would weigh 30 kg while having a mass of 90 kg being on the moon. On the moon the machine may have the same weight as the man on earth but the mass of the machine stay what it was on earth and so does the mass of the man. That becomes science in fictional perspective. If the man could walk on the sun his weight would become a thousand times more but the mass of the man remains 90 kg. If I believe that bit then somewhere I am fooled just because I am that stupid and I deserve to be fooled that easy. Then when the astronaut is in space he still has a mass of 90 kg but when walking in air the man has no weight with a mass of 90 kg. The indication is that while the astronaut still has mass and so the earth does he circles around the earth by gravity. Gravity is circle and not a pulling by force. Gravity comes about in terms of density applying through motion exerted on any object. It is movement that determines the location of

an objects and the movement forms gravity. How stupid must any person be not to see and therefore realise this as a fact.

If you as reader feel I have no right to dispute physics then it is not I that dispute physics but it is physics that is in dispute with reality. Those "professionals Physicist" that are so superior educated as Symeof is, please use the formula in the formula $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ on which all Newtonian physics rests and prove that all planets use "mass" to position their allocations. Then try to use $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ to explain the Titius Bode law because the Titius Bode law is in place while "mass" positioning the planets are a hoax. What this formula says is that the mass of the sun and the specific mass of the planet would form the allocated position in which that specific planet is. I explain again: that the mass of the sun and the specific mass of the planet $G(M + m)$ would form the allocated position P^2 in which that specific planet is. Those so professionals explain why Jupiter is where it is by putting in the mass of Jupiter and the mass of the sun and then prove that Mercury or Venus or the earth or whatever planet is in its specific location by putting in the distance $4\pi^2$ that is according to the mass a^3 because Newtonians say that

$$4\pi^2 a^3 \text{ is equal to } P^2 G(M + m)$$

Bode's Law:

Planet	Mercury	Venus	Earth	Mars	Ceres	Jupiter	Saturn	Uranus	Neptune	Pluto
Bode's Law distance	4	7	10	16	28	52	100	196	-	388
Actual distance	3.9	7.2	10	15.2	28	52	95.4	191.8	300.7	394.6

The Titius Bode's law is a numerical sequence announced by J.E. Bode in 1772, which matches the distances from the Sun of the six planets then known. It is also known as the Titus-Bode law, as it was first pointed out by the German mathematician Johann Daniel Titius (1729-96) in 1766. It is formed from the sequence 0,3,6,12,24,48,96, and 192 by adding 4 to each number. The planets were seen to fit this sequence quite well - as did Uranus, discovered in 1781. However, Neptune and Pluto do not conform to the 'law'. Bode's Law stimulated the search for a planet orbiting between Mars and Jupiter that led to the discovery of the first asteroids. It is often said that the law has no theoretical basis, but it does show how orbital resonance can lead to commensurability.

The importance that becomes known is the sequence the Titius - Bode law saw in the number arrangement of 3; 6; 12; 24; 48; 96 etc. The incorrect application of the Titus Bode law lies in subtracting the figure of 3 from 10 leaving 7. The other way of reasoning is to add four each time to the first value of three starting with 3 and so on. The true significance of the Titus-Bode law is that it points directly to a circular growth of 7 stages.

The 7 relating to 10 is a precise derogative of the Roche limit or the Roche limit is a precise derogative of the Titius Bode principle because he two systems interlink. This is how I manage to explain the Titius Bode law that is in the solar system by the ratio applying that really form the solar system in the way nature shows space growing by time. What you see on the next page was never been shown but on the other hand Physicist say this mathematics are too

simple to apply as physics!

To find the mean distances of the planets, beginning with the following simple sequence of numbers:

0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simple twice the value of the preceding number. Add 4 to each number:

4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:

0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8


The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from the Sun:

Body	Actual distance (A.U.)	Bode's Law <A.U.>
Mercury	0.39	0.4
Venus	0.72	0.7
Earth	1.00	1.0
Mars	1.52	1.6
		2.8
Jupiter	5.20	5.2
Saturn	9.54	10.0
Uranus	19.19	19.6

The Titius Bode law proves that in the Universe laws apply that positions objects in terms of other rules that mass. That means the Newtonians hides their lack of understanding behind mass that they invent. The Newtonians gave the Titius Bode law a formula and that explains the lot. To they're under achieving standards that is very satisfactory. Now it is written in mathematics then what more do we need to know. The fact that the distance that Mercury has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has from the sun is completely ignored. In cosmic reality mass plays no part. Then again the distance that Venus has from the sun is doubled by that which the earth has. This clearly has nothing to do with the size or mass of the planets. Explaining that part is completely ignored. Then again the distance that the earth has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has and inexplicably this forms the layout of all planets in the solar system. Where do Newton and his idea of mass fit into what truly applies in outer space. Moreover, why does science never mention this? This is my formulated explanation about how the Titius Bode law forms. The numbers we need to find the key to the mystery of the Titius Bode law is 3, 4, 7, and 10.

This is what is in the solar system applying the serving ratio that the Universe uses. It is not the fake Newtonian $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$ that has no basis except for Newtonians

brainwashing students into believing that otherwise can't be accommodated by the Universe. These are ratio values that are there...used by the Universe as actual factors forming space. Use this picture below to show me where the planets are positioned according to mass or where the orbit going around the sun goes according to mass. The entire Newtonian idea of mass creating gravity by pulling is the complete misrepresentation of the truth. I show what principles are in place do give the reason why. It is easy to talk about "mass" and never get "mass" part of reality when hiding the truth.




By depicting the solar system in such a presentation as Newtonians normally do such as the picture next this form of presenting the layout without providing correct spacing purposely sorrupts the entire structure formation by which the solar system develops. It then purposely hides the essence that forms the solar system.

In the Universe all thing are equal in size because Neptune spins around the sun equal to mercury's time and Jupiter floats around the sun equal to mars or Neptune. Notwithstanding what "size" or "mass" they grant the planet to have the rotation happens equal and without mass bringing any favouring in positioning or in speed of movement. So where the hell is mass a factor in gravity forming?



Ask a physicist to explain the fact that if mass pulls mass by gravity

pulling, then why do the planets in the solar system not position their allocated places in relation to the sun by applying mass as the nominating and defining factor? If Symeof and all those others that stand in line to correct me are so certain of his and their position why don't he correct me by showing how mass does put the planets in the order that they are. This is so typical of the brainwashed zombie that learned science where instead I studied science and to him and those there is a world of difference between the two concepts. Those brainwashed into learning science never tested on concept that they were told to learn. The following picture on the next page shows the applying size and size ratio and the allocated places they have. Are those defending physics really that blind that they are unable to see what even small children see or are they so dumbfounded that they will rather attack me to defend a senseless conception that can't be defended by logic or intellect. To those physicist brainwashed into stupidity this is not very serious. They truly can't see what the fuss is about as you can see with the reaction of the ever-so-wise Symeof and his gang of wise that so fiercely protect the honour and legitimacy of physics as a whole that is in a hole of deception. To them the fact that there is no evidence that mass is responsible for planet positioning is not a big issue although that forms the fundamental basis of their cosmological concept they put forward as the truth and the only truth. It is said that science only works with truth so how much truth is there in this concept?



This shows a ration used by the cosmos and not by science to position planets according to this ratio that works independent of mass or size. That is why Mercury as the smallest planet are inside next to the sun and Jupiter being the largest is in the centre. If mass did apply then Jupiter should be inside and Mercury at the very end. Where Symeof of no known e-mail address holds the opinion that I as the author has no right to dispute physics I wish to ask him to explain why mass then holds planets in place as the Newton formula says and as used by

the honourable in science since they are the ones that declares mass applies as

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}.$$

.Symeof have you or any forming your league ever seen any explaining about **the Lagrangian system, 2) the Roche limit 3) the Titius Bode law 4) the Coanda affect** or know that these laws exist? You say I prove nothing and yet you understand nothing about what I prove because you have such a little understanding. Do you realise the fools you appear in the eyes of the more intelligent amongst the many in society? In your case I can't figure out which is more, your stupidity, your arrogance or you pathetic self worth through which you believe in your absolute intelligence. To Symeof and all the others such as he is my advise to you lot is to go and ask your money back from the institution that you thought educated you as you should clearly see you have not been educated but you have been conned and tricked. Moreover, read on and see the deception you have undergone by those you trust in such high regard.

Why do I say there is a conspiracy? This is why I say there is a conspiracy in science. Science says the planets are in location because of the mass they have and this opinion stems from Newton. However look at the picture I provide on the next page and see how the mass applies. Then tell me you still think that nature puts the planets according to mass with the larger planets in the centre and the smallest on either end of the solar system. Is there any body that still say I am not aloud to criticize science with this blatant misrepresentation of the truth? Is there still those that think like Symeof and say I have no right to criticize the most honourable persons in science for misrepresenting the truth.

This is but one of so many cases I bring to your mind, and yet the most clever say "*Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.*" Tell me which part of this have I got wrong and about which part of this am I misrepresenting what science say incorrectly? Is the wrong part that I thought about what I was taught and the rest of you lot did not?

The cosmos however, does not apply mass in any form and the cosmos has four other principles in place. What Newton show that should place planets according to mass is not used by nature. It is the most incorrect idea ever put forward as the truth. I show what nature uses namely the Titius Bode law, The Roche limit, The Lagrangian Points and the Coanda effect and how this forms gravity as well as place the positions of the planets in accordance with singularity. Because I trash Newton's rubbish that does not fit and that can't apply no publisher of science books or science magazines will publish my work I show what goes on in nature while Newton's contribution of mass applying is total rubbish. Because I call it rubbish and I rubbish Newton I am ignored. How can any person believe mass forms gravity that puts planets in position in accordance with mass when viewing planets, their Universe?

This picture shows the hoax the Newtonian conspiracy pampers to keep the rest of Newtonian physics believable. They never mention the Titius Bode law and try to explain the Titius Bode law while it is the Titius Bode law that is really in place in the solar system. *If you wish to learn the truth then think again.*

With all this evidence in place for centuries and no evidence of mass pulling in the Universe

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

science still uphold because in the known field of physics they have nothing else to show for centuries of labour. They have to be content with Newton because they only have Newton and while Newton never made sense it was all there was to cling to...

Newtonians uphold their version of the law of physics they advocate as the truth applying without showing mercy to anybody that dares to challenge Newton. The very first things the Newtonians use to beat us into submission are to blast us with incomprehensible mathematical formulas.

If only the equations they say applies were truthful...Incomprehensible they are not truthful but it still those Newtonian philosophies are used to scare anyone with the idea that the mathematical equations will get everyone hiding as it has done for hundreds of years. They bewilder students and the public alike with equations that put the fear of God into you; it used simply to make you feel inferior so that they can feel superior and frown down on your inferiority from a dizzy height. They say the positioning of planets are according to this

formula
$$\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{a(1-\varepsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$$

This is pathetic, not my book you are reading. I challenge any person on earth to put in the mass of Jupiter and then from that show how it is arriving the position any of the planets hold or how by mass is any planet's allocation or position is derived. Or do it with any other planet. This is one big hoax that is a small part of the conspiracy science tries to hide. To make sense we have to look for in this because forms the value of gravity because of the circle nature that we find in cosmic space. We have 3 and 4 adding to be 7. Then we have 10 forming the other factor number. If we wish to stop pretending to make science a hoax we must come to some realistic conclusion that will prove what is working in the

The cosmos works on a distinct ratio put in place by a distinct pattern. This is the ratio.

To find the mean distances of the planets, beginning with the following simple sequence of numbers:

0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simple twice the value of the preceding number. Add 4 to each number:

4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:

0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8


The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from

the Sun:

Body	Actual distance (A.U.)	Bode's Law (A.U.)
Mercury	0.39	0.4
Venus	0.72	0.7
Earth	1.00	1.0
Mars	1.52	1.6
		2.8
Jupiter	5.20	5.2
Saturn	9.54	10.0
Uranus	19.19	19.6

First to find the ratio one puts the earth distance to the sun in as a cog in ratio to 1. This eliminates the

human factor in presenting the positions and put cosmic ratios in practise. The distance from the sun to the earth is 1 and that is the dependence of the ratio of the solar system applying.



In that ratio we have Mercury at 0.39 and according to the Titius Bode law it should be 0.4



Using the solar ratio of placing the earth to the sun at 1 we have Venus at 0.72 whereas according to the Titius Bode law it should be at 0.7.



Then we have the earth at 1 according to the ratio that the solar system applies.



Mars is at 1.52 whereas its allocated position should be at 1.6 when the ratio is applied.


At 2.8 we find a huge lot of planetary debris that also holds a allocated ratio position although the lot is fragmented into small pieces. Yet, all the pieces orbit at 2.8 in ratio to the sun I solar system.



Jupiter the "giant" stands at 5.2 in accordance with the ratio the solar system provides.










Saturn is at a cosmic ratio of 9.54 and the mathematical ratio is 10.



The next planet is at 19.19 and mathematically according to the solar ratio its allocated position should be 19.6. This goes on and by using this ratio planets were discovered in the past. Yet science has no explanation as to why this is true and I am the very

first person ever and alive that explain why this is the case.

Then in this ratio another factor is present:


 When reading the distance that mercury is from the sun this distance doubles from
 
 mercury to Venus
 
 and the distance from Venus to the sun doubles
 
 from Venus to the earth. Then this distance from the earth doubles to
 
 Mars as it
 
 doubles again from the sun to Jupiter
 
 . This goes on up to

where the solar system ends. This shows no indication of mass forming any part in the planetary display. Science claims since Newton that by the Kepler laws that have nothing to do with Kepler planets hold individual positions according to mass. Science never put this formation in place when explaining the forming of cosmic science because then science has to admit they have no clue how the cosmos works and for that admission they are not ready because everybody must think that they know more than God Almighty about how science we works. They can't come across as the ignorant fools they obviously are!

The cosmos shows no tendency to use the Kepler laws or any fabrication those laws claim. In nature the formula

$$\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{a(1-\varepsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$$
 or $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ is completely absent. There is the Titius Bode law that shows a positioning according to a ratio and the distance doubles every time a new position arrives in relation to the inside planet of that planet. Without any proof of this formula having any legitimacy whatsoever science continues to use this formula when explaining gravity and the way the Universe applies.

Notwithstanding all these facts available to science it still is propagated that mass forms the allocated positions that the planets hold according to the sun in $\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{a(1-\varepsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$. This is conspiracy is in place to hide the fact that science has no idea what puts the planets in position and rather than admitting they have no idea they keep teaching unsuspected students a lot of unsupported hogwash just to cover the fact that science is clueless about the most basic knowledge about gravity.

I am the first person ever to explain WHY the Titius Bode is in place functioning as it is. But since those such as Symeoff and the "Small-brain-brigade" such as he can't fathom any explanation going this far they are of the opinion that : *"The author has no legitimacy in disputing physics: he is merely an amateur trying to disprove what the greatest minds of our times have taken centuries to prove (by the way, you need to have studied a subject before you can criticize it, which the author didn't). In my opinion, the author is so narrow-minded that when he came to scientists to talk about his material, the scientists must have told him that it was nonsensical."* In their smallness they have not the ability to understand that planets are not allocated according to size or mass and this they do not understand notwithstanding all the planets sizes or mass proving distinctly that Newtonian science is senselessly wrong. Now I will explain it again in detail for those "professionals" such as Symeoff and his band of "Super Educated Professionals" that "understand science" because they are so informed about physics and the application thereof and is well informed about physics. There is a ratio in place keeping time as if it runs on cogs. It is not connected to mass in any way. Science never come out and says this because they hide this. If they didn't hide this they then must admit they have been wrong all along about mass positioning planets. Symeoff that are so typical of the "brainwashed class of stupidity" and the "Small-brain-brigade" has the opinion that: *Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.* If I show that in mathematics according to science the planet's positions are in accordance with Symbolically being: $P^2 \propto a^3$ and therefore $a^3 = P^2$ in position of P and therefore $a^3 \propto P^2$. This is taken from the idea that "**Kepler said**", which is totally fabricated that $a^3 = T^2 k$ where Kepler said in fact $a^3 = T^2 k$ and this is a big difference because $a^3 = T^2 k$ is the same as $E = mc^2$. *Then, of course, he couldn't imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material.*

PLANET	PERIOD (Years) (T)	MOVEMENT (T ²)	DISTANCE	SPACE (a ³)	RATIO k
Mercury	0.241	0.058	0.39	0.059	0.983
Venus	0.615	0.378	0.728	0.381	0.992
Earth	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Mars	1.881	3.54	1.524	3.54	1.000
Jupiter	11.86	140.66	5.20	140.6	1.000
Saturn	29.46	867.9	9.54	868.25	0.999
Uranus	84.008	7069	19.19	7067	1.000
Neptune	164.8	27159	30.07	27189	0.999
Pluto	248.4	61703	39.46	61443	1.004

KEPLER'S LAW OF PERIODS FOR THE SOLAR SYSTEM

PLANET	SEMIMAJOR AXIS a (10 ¹⁰ m)	PERIOD T (y)	T^2 / a^3 (10 ⁻³⁴ y ² / m ³)
Mercury	5.79	0.241	k ⁻¹ = 2.99
Venus	10.8	0.615	k ⁻¹ = 3.00
Earth	15.0	1.00	k ⁻¹ = 2.96
Mars	22.8	1.88	k ⁻¹ = 2.98
Jupiter	77.8	11.9	k ⁻¹ = 3.01
Saturn	143	29.5	k ⁻¹ = 2.98
Uranus	287	84.0	k ⁻¹ = 2.98
Neptune	450	165	k ⁻¹ = 2.99
Pluto	590	248	k ⁻¹ = 2.99

These are Kepler's actual numbers before Newton got hold of those numbers and altered it into something completely fraudulent. Science at present accept that $a^3 = T^2$. Then Kepler had a specific column for k where if you multiply T^2 with k it then is equal to a^3 . Kepler said if you divide T^2 with a^3 it has a value of say 2.96 as it is in the case of the earth. Mathematics learn us that if you have numbers telling you that $a^3 = T^2 k$ then those numbers will give you a mathematical result of $T^2 a^3 = k^{-1}$. What should then dawn on me that I could be wrong as he suggests in his remark of course, he couldn't

imagine he might have been wrong, so he rationalized his failure to be accepted by creating a conspiracy theory: this is probably the reason why this e-book exists. This is no scientific material?

You brainwashed stupid zombie that tries to teach others what your simple mind do not understand, where am I wrong? Science says and do go and study it because I in this part a few pages back I have given you the claims why science makes that $T^2 a^3 = O$. Now with your you little underdeveloped intellect, please show me that mathematically $T^2 a^3 = O$. Where I contacted more that 2000 Academics worldwide in physics showing them just this anomaly and that their practising of mathematics are as incorrect as this, where no one answers me but either belittle me in precisely the same manner as you do without ever telling me where am I wrong but just telling me that I am wrong just as you do and NEVER substantiate my incorrectness with facts of where I go wrong, then yes, you are part of the conspiracy and I thank you for supplying me with such a splendid unmistakably example of how brainwashed students in physics become. I hold you example in such high

esteem I even open this book with your writing.

So why do I think of this as a conspiracy. I have uncovered the formula by which the Titius Bode law works. The Titius Bode law is in place. It is not mass positioning planets but it is the Titius Bode law that holds the key and I have solved the riddle that unlocks the explaining about the Titius Bode law. Any person doubting my accusation is very much welcome to show the world exactly how mass works when all the planets are randomly sized. The Titius Bode law says one should apply the ratio according to the astronomical unit, which has the distance of the earth and the sun at a position of 1.

Then in ratio Mercury is .39 where it should be four. Then add four and divide by 10. That is the ratio but why that is the ratio has never been understood because it has never been explained or had any attempt by a living person to explain...that is up to now where I explain it in detail. That the ratio also has the distance double between planets next to each other I explain. This too has never been understood because it has never been explained or had any attempt by a living person to explain...and again that is up to now where I explain it in detail. This forms one of the four foundations on which the entire order of physics rests.

The others are the **Rochelimit**, which I explain in detail, the **Lagrangian numbers**, which again I explain in much detail and the **Coanda effect** that forms gravity and that too I explain in excruciating detail. Then you get these arrogant half-wits such as Symeof and all the other "highly regarded Physicist of the world" that are unable to explain gravity but likes to belittle me because the evidence I show belittles them to the point where they are not even having a microscopic brain to work with. I show they cover fraud and then they turn around and declare I don't know physics because I show what they think they know is total shit. Do you reading this or any person on earth wish to tell me that in science no one in almost four hundred years saw that the planets do not show arranging in accordance to mass and therefore ALL COSMIC OBJECTS show total indifference to mass positioning or allocating positions? With this evidence do you believe there is no conspiracy to remain quiet and keep facts under cover?

All this detail is so small part of the entire web forming the conspiracy that I could use it as an opening to show how my critics work. Go back and read the entire criticism of Symeof and see what he says I do not understand or why he says I never studied physics when I know more about physics than what his little mind can hold. Go and see where he indicates one single piece of evidence that I do not understand. It is always rumours and thought thrown into the air just as his idea of facts in science are. This is the rubbish I have to deal with. I have spent forty years on research and I can now explain what no one ever could explain and that is how gravity works.

Never as even once would science say they have no idea how the solar system holds positions in the cosmos. When admitting that they will have to forfeit their veneer of godliness about how enlightened they are on matters about how the cosmic works. I have made a study of almost thirty-five years to uncover how gravity works and I have concluded how the process of physics fit into the cosmos. I have showed what is wrong with physics and how to

correct it. I am so grateful for suckers such as Symeof and his clan that so feverishly defend the science they believe in and thereby provide me with the opportunity to use this as a speaking example as to show how the brainwashing can apply. Symeof was brainwashed to believe that the formula he never could test did apply and he would die in the conviction that the formula he defends is correct and this formula carries more truth than does the Holy Bible. So all those that wants to crucify me when I prove what you lot studied was a

waste of time first $\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4(1-\varepsilon^2)}{a(1-\varepsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$ apply this formula with the adding of the distances as well as the mass and prove to yourself why the planets hold their allocated positions according to mass. If you can't then a conspiracy is in place to prevent the truth from leaking. For thirteen years everyone in science prevents me from explaining the four cosmic principles that are there where they placed mass and they prevent me proving my findings because I then show they have nothing to show and then their image goes down the toilet because then they must admit of being wrong and also admit that they know nothing about what really applies in science. By the way, those that learned physics and feel so proud about it, learn this: one first have to study physics to realize where there are incorrect adaptations in the reality of the concept when forming judgement on the entire matter as a whole. This is just a drop in the ocean of what is out there and those that studied physics if you are not prepared to live through the shock of awakening stop at this point. As you can well see with the example I provide, if you are so mind conditioned and thought controlled that you are unable to see the anomalies I provide, then stop reading because your mental faculties are at risk . The shock you will experience will be a nasty experience and you may find much mental strain when reading this and thereby coming to terms with

what you never studied but you thought you did. Prevent yourself the agony awaiting you. **Anyperson that can not see the anomalies in my argument this far please stop reading because you do not have the mental capacity to understand or the insight to appreciate my arguments.**

This is a simplified or reduced version of

A Conspiracy in Science in Progress

ISBN 978-1-920430-05-4

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-conspiracy-in-science-in-progress/8352010>

I wish to again reaffirm my commitment and motivation behind my writing the book that you are reading. It is, believe it or not, but it is about modern science never fully revealing the truth and as part of physics hide the truth by doing what those that don't understand physics but still teach physics do at schools and Universities.

<mailto:info@questionablescience.net>

I am able to introduce this breakthrough that I claim I achieved because I found the building blocks used in the building of the Universe and by applying the four cosmic principles I am able to go as far back as before the Big Bang event. The Big Bang only happened recently when atoms formed the known Universe. In this book I do not touch on this aspect but I go to the period predating the Big Bang era as I inform the reader how the start took place when the Universe first came about. I found the working principles of the cosmic principles called the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect that are in place and forms that which form the construction layout of what builds the entirety of the Universe. The horror in this is that notwithstanding the importance these principles hold almost no one knows about them.

I ensure you that what you read even in this book I give away for free was never written before and absorbing new information is difficult. You are confronted with facts you never heard before. So therefore notwithstanding the level of the person's academic qualifications or how well developed background the person has in the field of science, this is not your average garden-variety storybook. However, in the end after you have come to realise the conspiracy in science, you will be a lot wiser than you were before. The information might ask for a lot of your attention span at times, but then again challenging the mind to think is what makes life that interesting!

Also read the following which follows a lesser complicated theme

A Conspiracy to Commit Fraud on a Cosmic Scale

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-conspiracy-to-commit-fraud-on-a-cosmic-scale/8353629>

TOWHOMITMAYCONCERN,

I am P.S.J Schutte, nicknamed Peet. Being a white South African my mother tongue is Afrikaans and my second language is English. My language standard used might not quite meet your Shakespearian expectations and some spelling errors might have slipped through cracks but it is not the art of language I try to promote but it is the art that science perfected by never disclosing information they prefer not to and never to divulging the entire overall picture about what it is that science know and don't know. It is the information about the unbelievable

oversight of Newtonian mistakes I disclose that proves how they keep the oversight of Newtonian mistakes silent and why they don't divulge that which they keep silent about. It is about them never committing to the entire story by giving an all-round presentation of everything anyone would require to know to be in a position to evaluate. It is not what science declares that is important but it is always what scientists don't declare that holds prominence and more so the reason why science keeps a silence about the information they do not disclose. It is never about what they say but it is why they don't say other things they keep quiet about. You will read how they never disclose the entire

truth because science is about promoting one-sided and selectively opinionated information forming fraud no less. I have been per suiting a new cosmic theory that I partly present in a six part theses, of which the investigating research began in 1977. In 1999 I compiled my theory and searched for a publisher.

First I located what was wrong in physics then formed a correct approach. I compiled my presentation of it in a theses that I call **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** and then six separate thesis parts forming the theses published through **LULU.com** which I saw as the only manner whereby I could generate funding by which I would be able to have the twenty seven books I already wrote linguistically edited and then to have the books published on a Print-On-Demand basis. I compiled a **new cosmic theory** by which I eliminated all the incorrectness that Newton has burdened science with but with this being my opinion I did not find a garage full of academics supporters waiting to applaud me and to uphold my views on the matter. Yet still I was not going to be ambushed by their relentless stonewalling my efforts and blocking my efforts in introducing both the incorrectness and the new cosmic theorem I concluded. Their mannerism in blocking and frustrating my opinion when showing the mistakes in science convinced me about a **Conspiracy in Science in Progress** and this spurred me on to tell the entire world about their brainwashing students minds. By the manner they selectively withhold information when teaching science, amounts to deliberate brainwashing of students in physics by "normal" education practises.

Trying to convey my message kept me busy for the past going on to twelve years on full time basis whereby I was trying to introduce my findings to many academics without finding much joy from my efforts. This past eleven years plus saw me go without any income as I tried to get my theorem recognised as well as get my warning noted. Going without a steady income left me almost destitute and in order to find a manner to get my theory across to the attention of influential readers, I decided to publish a theses of six books electronically as to try and get around the stranglehold of Newtonian bias controlling science at present worldwide. I decided to publish electronically which those in power do not control. However to get people to believe me is to change science that everyone believes as culture.

With my first language not English and the books not linguistically checked by an expert there are bound to be language errors that readers will notice. In the past I tried to check my work myself but after checking say one hundred and fifty pages for language corrections, then after days of toiling instead of having corrected work I ended having four hundred pages of newly written information which is still not linguistically corrected but holds a lot more information. The language and spelling errors compiled instead of reduced. This is because my priorities lie elsewhere. I aim to spend money on correcting the work as far as language goes, as I receive money in the selling of my theses and in the hope that I will receive money. I will have all my work including the one you are reading edited professionally and corrected as I find money to do so...But first I have to get the public aware of the problem to get the academics to appreciate the problem. In everyone's mind science is more perfect than religion is.

In the event of any readers who may have questions concerning more facts as it is presented

in this book, please feel free to contact me, PEET SCHUTTE. All information divulged came about through independent self-study during the past thirty-two years or so. I have to warn the readers that the topics are showing a very new approach with no quick answers abstaining from proof or holding just a few lines and the information is new in nature but not hard to grasp. **Should anyone desire to contact me with**

an opinion about my views on science then please do by using ailto:info@questionablescience.net or <mailto:info@singularityrelavancy.com> but please do so when coming to the end of this book at least and then see if you understand the entire concept that I introduced. In most cases all your questions are answered further down the line and I am not fond of repeating what is already said in the book. The book forms a line in explaining and the concepts are best understood if the reader follows the designated line. This book started off as a website to inform about a science conspiracy but although reduced still it grew into a book that serves much more information than what I first intended to supply. You will see many new aspects about gravity please make sure you understand what you read. It grew into a comprehensive study on cosmology. At times you may observe while reading this book that it seems as if my frustration will ring through like the chiming of the Big Ben Bell. For that there is a reason. At times my frustration and anger will boil over drowning my politeness and that is true, which I admit. For twelve years I have had the answer that would correct the philosophy that has a stranglehold on cosmological science.

I discovered the building blocks of nature where my discovery puts all other cosmic aspects of science into science fiction. Those who force-feed non-existing dogma do so to brainwash students to hide the incompetence of "modern science" so they can rule supreme while ignoring the truth that they deliberately hide by concocting a conspiracy. To keep everyone unguarded they practise a conspiracy by which they perform an accepted practise of thought control on students to further the false dogma presently in place. I try to blow the whistle on such a practise but accepting my resolution makes every thesis ever written science fiction. Therefore no one in science dare to read my work leave alone appreciate the revolutionary nature thereof. Whatever now is deemed to be accepted science would then become what is the past tense in science because the flaws that those in power of science principles kept coated for centuries on end as untouchable truth will then be rust that breaks the surface to show the holes! They try to silence me but surely somehow somewhere I have to break through with my message! I bring you a true form of science as never seen before in all of history and I do that when I dispose of the conspiracy that hides all the incorrectness and the failures that haunts science today. Science is accepted as the most righteous information available to man and that is a scam.

Read The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Theses that is written as the first introduction to introduce singularity forming gravity in the new The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Website offering a theorem that for the first time explains gravity in a founded manner.

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The (proposed) Article Free of Charge from Lulu

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Dissertation

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Newton

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Cosmic Physics

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Four Cosmic Phenomena

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Sound Barrier

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Cosmic Code

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Life

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Investigating Kepler

<mailto:info@singularityrelevancy.com>

You are going to read about a conspiracy but people think of a conspiracy in many terms. Let us define not by definition but by interpretation to what a conspiracy constitutes. What do you think is a conspiracy? All the conspiracies you know about is known about because someone somewhere makes money by allowing the revealing of the conspiracy. Silencing the conspiracy does not make money but informing a suspicious public loosens the flow of money. If it were a true conspiracy no one would know about the conspiracy because the powerful would make money from not revealing the conspiracy. The revealing of the facts about any conspiracy would be stopped before it leaked because it would kill the flow of money.

A conspiracy is thought to be a gossip story that makes money and by not revealing it or revealing it goes in line with making money or not making money. You can download this book free of charge because I don't make money by revealing the conspiracy. I truly want to find an audience to divulge the truth. I want to make money but it is by showing how I can correct the flaws in science, not by hiding it in a conspiracy. People put a conspiracy in the same realms as a gossip story, an old wives tail, which is going about but does not intend to harm and mostly serves as amusement to many. Hearing about a conspiracy tests your intellectual comprehension. It is some quiz that you match your truth against the truth that the conspiracy reveals. It is a funny, but it is not funny until you catch the funny part hiding behind the conspiracy and only when you measure the catch behind the conspiracy are you treated to be amused. If the conspiracy does not touch the person directly then no harm is felt and no harm is intended. Every one holds this view that a conspiracy is on a slightly higher level than gossip. It is a gossip story about someone living in the neighboring village known only to some people next door but has no direct linking to me or has no threat to the safety of others directly associated to me. Everyone treats a conspiracy as if it is something amusing that holds no threat at all. It is something that goes around as a joke of sorts.

Lets narrow the conspiracy idea down to a known case. A conspiracy is very typical to the Madeleine McCann case where the disappearance of Madeleine brought money to many. Madeleine McCann disappeared Thursday, 3 May 2007 and was I is the daughter of Gerry and Kate McCann. Anyone living in the western world except Americans would know about Madeleine McCann. Americans live in a unique Universe called "the States" and regard Europe as some overseas country situated just past the moon. Americans are the most

uninformed brainwashed nation on earth thanks to advertising. Americans can't cross the street without some advertising jingle telling them how to do it the best way while getting the most pleasure while crossing the road and for fun they will throw in a sexual connection in the crossing.

Madeleine disappeared from a hotel room in Portugal while being in bed. For months the disappearance of Madeleine was front-page news and we heard about her all day long. We heard about Madeleine's disappearing day and night on all channels and in all papers around the world. Then the news raised the hopes and then the news dashed the hopes but it was all about the disappearance of a girl called Madeleine McCann. To sell advertising time the press had to write about Madeleine. This is a conspiracy. Not the fact that she disappeared or didn't disappear or that she came to harm or died at the hands of her mother or not. The conspiracy hides in big deal that was made about the case all throughout the months afterwards. The case was blown out of all context and proportions considered the international effect it had. The press made an issue about the case as if it was some special occurrence unknown to mankind. The Press presenting this case as a one-off incident makes the entire case a shameful mockery to society. The world viewed this, as an incident equal to the Lindbergh baby's disappearance in uniqueness while the truth is that 11.4 children disappears hourly in Britain alone and no cockerel ever crows about it.

Britain alone loses a hundred thousand children under the age of sixteen per year every year. One hundred thousand children go missing each year in Britain alone and what Special Forces are in place to combat this...nothing. The government never mentions this in parliament. In 2007 there were a number of bomb blasts on trains and one bus blew sky high and that brought Britain to a stand still. The number of lives lost was many times less than one hundred thousand and such bombing happens once in about ten to twenty years. In relevancy one could say for every two million children lost to prostitution there are five bomb blasts. During about the same time there was a huge bank robbery and the culprits were traced far and wide. They never stopped searching until everyone was caught and every note was accounted for. The leader was traced back to an Arab country (I forgot the name) and was brought back to face justice. He was chased until he was caught showing that criminal cases can be solved, except where children disappear. If one bank robbery is committed they get the thug but when children disappear who cares?

Yet in that time it took to close the bank robbery case about 200 000 children under the age of sixteen went missing and the number that disappeared were never even reported! If there is another two major BIG bank robberies in the same period no stone is left untouched to solve the problem of banks robbed and "terrorist" attacks against "the people of Britain" but to lose a million children every decade or so is quite acceptable because the disappearing is part of a conspiracy and true conspiracies are never revealed or investigated. If a bomb blast occurs in London MI6 forms a special investigating unit but no Special-Force action is ever taken to bring this loss of children into the open. Losing 100 000 of the prime persons, the future of the country is a matter of discussion that is never discussed in polite conversations.

Which British politician ever made it a political pledge to search into this crime? No one mentions it because it is best wiped under the carpet. Most of the children are a product of

broken homes and pupils of the unmentionable side of society and therefore no one cares to care for them. Their parents never vote because they are mostly the driftwood that never vote and the children are too young to vote so who cares? But when two medical doctor's child disappears and they know how to manipulate the press the world goes fanatic. The couple even found an audience with the Pope no less. This has to do with money. The fact that 100 00 children disappears while it never forms a political debate alone tells the whole story. According to a Portuguese lawyer that worked in the Madeleine McCann case, him being professionally experienced in working with several English clients, any parent being accused of abandoning the children to danger, is a crime under British law that is severely punished by UK laws. Yea, sure and the moon is made of cheese! That is so typical of a conspiracy. There are laws in place that are laws never attended to or adhered to and nobody ever obeys the laws because they are as good as being non-existent. Every law that is in place serves to protect the rich and the powerful by denying the poor and the defenceless any say in Government law forming. Yes they show democracy but they create mass hysteria instead.

The Members of Parliament put conspiracy-serving laws in place that serves nothing anyway. TV hosts such as David Frost claim their diligence and their courage and their tenacity. Why don't TV talk show hosts ask any politician about the children disappearing, because the TV station would not permit such questioning? Why do all members of the printing press or electronic media ignore the subject as if it does not exist? Why not attack all the Political Party leaders on a live debate or cross-question them? Why don't the press report every missing child in the same manner as they reported Madeleine McCann? Is it because there then will be no space left to report anything else or who stops them shouting about it?

These children just disappear from the face of the earth and that says there are mighty powerful money barons at work. Those that bought those that have the political power to power to decide who goes to war and die and who gets rich from the declaration of the war have the leverage in the social structure to allow 100 000 children in Britain alone to go missing and the public is none the wiser and could care even less about the matter. Those children disappearing are hard currency more valuable than money. Those children become assets as good as gold or property because their currency is set fast. I believe there is more dope bought with children earmarked for prostitution than there is money used as transaction payment. I believe a lot of oil is bought with pretty little blond haired girls that have gone missing. Telling everybody about the McCann girl is not the conspiracy but not telling the world at the same time about the other 100 000 children that also disappeared becomes the conspiracy. It is not the information that is presented but it is the information withheld from the presentation that becomes the conspiracy. It is screaming from the mountaintops about one girl while pushing the other 100 000 that went missing under the carpet, that becomes the conspiracy. To defer the attention from the true problem they cry about one.

Reading about such a pretty girl being abducted or going lost is sensational. It is something to cry your heart out about. Knowing about 100 000 children being abducted or going lost is a problem and while everyone is ready for the sensation no one wants to know about a bloody problem no one cares about. The following is a joke coming from the Internet: What's the difference between Madeleine McCann and Elvis? The Answer: More people believe Elvis is still alive. This is no joke. To hide this idea of Madeleine being dead is the centre part of the conspiracy, because only then can the McCann family to earn money. A Portuguese Polices officer spearheading the investigation wrote a book stating his (and the official police) point of view on the case and tells about why they think there is no more evidence to research since they have the opinion that the girl is already dead. He said Madeleine is deceased and gives his reasons in a book. The McCann family got a court interdict stopping his book being published because they want to stop the idea spreading that Madeleine is dead. Why would they spend hundreds of thousands of Madeleine's money to prevent a detective from putting to print his views on the case?

It is about money as every aspect of this conspiracy is about earning money. A dead Madeleine would not enlist donations and evoke the media ratings that the story generate and the income of the entire enterprise will plummet if the girl's death is accepted by the public at large, while searching for a live girl brings in millions and to hell with free speech and free opinions when money is the issue. That is why the papers that printed the fact that Madeleine is dead was sewed and they paid up. To hell with free speech because the papers saw their earning in revenue go down the toilet by allowing the public to think Madeleine is dead. Admitting to Madeleine's death will kill the money flowing in and that is horrific.

Even the money the McCann family and all other people fight to accumulate is a conspiracy. One group of person's thought of as Bankers bought from crooked politicians worldwide the privilege to print paper and give the paper a value. In the system I take the paper they printed and then I have to regard that paper as having more worth than say my home has because I "sell" my home by detaching my ownership from me and attach my own worth to the printed-paper. Then I am very impressed with myself afterwards in my effort to exchange what has

visible and useful worth for some paper they tell me I have to accept the worth but has no worth but to give it back to bankers to put in their vaults. This is insane stupidity and every person on earth goes along with the madness. The Bankers decide the worth of the worthless they print at about no cost to them and then by creating a system I am forced to accept their paper and the worth they attach to it or else I starve and die. Even committing to dying requires money to accomplish the process. If you come to the end of your life you have to pay for the privilege to die and go on.

The Bankers take their tax or share long before the Government can but they call it bank fees. People are so brainwashed and beaten to a pulp by systematic control of the mind and their thoughts that they fall into the practise of doomed slavery without trying to fight for freedom. In the days of the Romans and the Greeks slaves were paid 10 % of what their Masters earned from their services while the Masters still had to feed, cloth and shelter them at the cost of the Masters. We all are slaves to the bankers but we earn about 10% of the cut they take. While the Mammonites pay us 10 % of what we earn from what they earn from our services, we have to cloth ourselves, feed ourselves and our children while we purchase houses from the Mammonites and then find that behind successful Mammonites there are Bankers pulling strings by supplying worthless printed paper we accept as the commodity we will work all our lives to accumulate and possess. In the end we can't take with us anything we ever wanted on earth because it is worthless.

From every angle this case presents including the Pope's visit all aspects involve money and it is about publicity that will entice donation of money leaving very little scope for a girl being found. Why don't McCann donate some of the money they have to searching for all children that has gone missing in the time Madeleine went missing? Why don't they also include as many photographs of children that went missing during the time that Madeleine went missing? Because then it would not bring about the money that it makes when only one very special little girl is in the hands of a child molester...but 100 000 children just vanishing slips the minds of every "concerned" do-gooder in England while they donate money to this deserving quest just to keep their minds away from the 100 000 others. This tendency to evade the truth is a sickness that runs through all aspects of society because this disease is what we use as education. I am going to show how teachers in science **brainwash** students by forceful **mind control**.

In contrast to common belief if science cannot prove God's existence, it is not God that does not exist, but it is science failing and therefore it is then that specific view about science that should be re-examined since it is the view on science that is proving as being incorrect. This fact is what the so very brilliant and intellectually mindful Newtonian atheist should remember when they fail in their science altogether. That their science fails altogether and that failing it does in all its splendour, is facts I am delighted to prove! The fact is Newton's views were never tested and that the Newtonian views on science were never challenged before and because of that Newton principles never withstood diligent scrutiny before. When **Sir Isaac Newton** is investigated even in the flimsiest of manners, well accepted facts seems to become very suspect, to say the least. This becomes evident when concluding all the facts this book presents. Now, in this book, for the first time Newton is tested and such testing is the proof you gain by reading that which I uncover. What I bring into the open is unseen facts,

which I present you with as I take you on a tour through an avenue of facts I introduce in this work. The lack there is in sensibility concerning **Sir Isaac Newton's** principles this book proves. The theories of **Sir Isaac Newton** require proof, which was never given while God never needs proof and that is what science constantly seeks. When science perpetually ignored my concerned calling on and ignored my calling on them because (I suppose) they were finding my concerns wanting, in my final letter to them I promised them never to contact them personally again by any and by all means. I also promised them a fight. This is the fight I promised. I was not worth noticing so I was ignored. I now am calling on the public, as I am ignoring their reputations. Let us take science on about is the fundamental belief in science, which is what keeps science upright. Let's investigate gravity as mass forms gravity because about this part no one ever show any doubt. Let us see how absolutely accurate this part of science is when we look at how mass pulls object onto the earth.

Scientists portray the image that they know all there is to know about everything man might ever know and nobody can ever know more than what they currently know. They tell you what to eat, what to drink, what to think and how to live because they have this image that mentally they are on par with God. They are the utmost superiors on all levels of what forms creation. This attitude applies to Rocket scientists as much as it applies to medical doctors as much as it applies to lawmen. This comes through in all departments albeit language, art, science, law or whatever you may have. If you smoke cigarettes then you will die young because the medical profession found that it is harmful to you while a hundred years ago people smoked ten times what they smoke today and they lived just as long as they live today. But the medical profession took it on them to act as God and force-feed everyone to do what they say or die. The truth is the oxygen you can't live without is burning you to death by aging you and without that you die. If you don't breath oxygen you die and if you breathe oxygen you die because you are born to die! In the end oxygen kills more people than any bad habit or disease because it slowly kills everything with life.

This acting like God envelops all forms of science. What is the truth? Do we hear the entire truth? The truth is that science only reveals some portion of what they know and ignores what is there that they know they don't know. They only reveal what suits their position and never divulge what they know but what does not compliment their view. When science confronts religion they have the opinion that what is in science is everything there is and there can never be more than what science knows or what science wishes to reveal. If some scientists are of the opinion that we will fry in boiling water in the next century then it is the Biblical truth because science holds the opinion. Science knows best! Today we laugh at medical practising of a century or two ago and in another century we know the future generations will laugh their heads off when listening to what the informed opinions are of the professionals today. Science has forever veneered their status with this blanket of "they know all". This makes a mockery of the truth because science has no clue why man die or why man age and yet they promise eternal life within the next few decades to come. Ask scientists what is life and they will have an "informed opinion".

Science keep up this front that they know everything there is to know while even reading my books prove how little they know about science. They withhold every aspect in science that they do not know and only elaborate in detail that which they think they know about and what

we presume they know. They are of opinion that there can be no other way that creation started but according to their science. If the Bible describes how events unfold it then are incorrect because science knows everything. In ***The Veracity of Gravity*** I show **scientifically by using science** how creation started precisely as the Bible says word for word but then I also show how little science currently knows about science. The book not in print yet is **An open letter Addressing Gravity's Formula** which is far more elaborate on the matter of how creation started where I show how science proves the Bible correct. Never is a suggestion put forward that it might be science that holds the shortfall and it is because of science not being adequate that science cannot match the Bible. I can prove how the start came about because I decoded gravity and I did that by finding an explanation about the four cosmic principles. By deciphering the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect I am able to show how the very first instant happened when the Universe started the very first point ever formed. These principles are in place and not the principles Newton fabricated... That this book shows. It shows that the cosmos uses other principles than what the Newtonian science promotes. What science says nature uses is not in place or does not hold evidence while what nature does use science deny by just never pressing the issue. I show what is in place and I show why it is in place but first I have to reject what science says is in place because it is not in place.

I show how Newtonians fabricate Newton's ideas about gravity. There is no mass that can pull. Most people reading this and who are schooled in physics never heard of the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect and these principles are what builds the Universe while I am going to show that there is no factor such as mass. While it serves their purpose notwithstanding never finding evidence to the fact, still science uses *only* and *exclusively* Newton's idea of mass while the principles in place the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect are never ever mentioned. They sometimes put referring to these principles as law in brackets to deny the status that any of the above law have. I am going to show you within the next few pages the silliness Newtonian principles hold. While I discuss the principles please see where I am incorrect or going wrong. This is because the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect disputes Newton and science would rather discard what the Universe uses than to put a question mark behind the fabrication Newton put in place. Where everyone knows the fabricated information and hiding the reality, which is in place within the cosmos, and that is the conspiracy I show to all. Science stupidity ensures they don't understand the working principles that are in place and that was known for centuries in some cases as the Roche limit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bode law and the Coanda effect and therefore not knowing how the principles should be interpreted they hide the concept due to not want to be seen as the ignorant fools knowing the cosmos implements the principles as reality. Science hides their limitations and incompetence behind providing the public selective of information. Take for instance the edge of the Universe they talk so much about. There is no edge of the Universe because there is only an unlimited everlasting Universe out there. What the limits are that they see as the edge of the Universe is the limitation of their equipment that can't trace time back beyond what they see and that serves as their limit in understanding what the Universe offers and how the Universe unfolds.


Trying always be perceives as matching the likes of God science can't face the fact that they can't precede further into space by reading time than what their limitations and their equipment handicap them with, then they put their shortcomings onto the Universe having limits so that they can present the image of total superiority in contrast to limiting the Universe. If they do not understand the four principles in the cosmos how can they understand how the cosmos works? Then because they are clueless about the information the Universe provide and because they use misinformed cosmic principles they're confusion gives the Universe an edge where it ends and a date when it started while they admit and we all know that the Universe is timeless and limitless in every aspect we encounter. One thing the Universe des not have is an end because I show where the cosmos holds infinity, the point that can never go smaller and where the cosmos holds eternity, the point that can never stop becoming bigger because it is endless.

When I say there is no such a thing as mass everyone goes quiet and I can hear they immediately question my mental stability. One may question the fact that there is a God or not and one may question which God is the true God and one may doubt any form of God existing but doubting mass or saying mass does not exist is utter irresponsible madness. Everyone knows there is mass. That is one thing no one doubts. The fact of science showing that mass pulls everything down is a real as being alive, or is it?


Well...I wish to bring to mind some of the facts that physics work with when academics as scientists only work with facts. Remember they are the ones boasting that if facts are not proven then it is fables and those very important academics don't waste time with fables because they only work with facts. The accuracy of their basis on which science rests is that mass is responsible for gravity by pulling. If you don't have mass you're not going to have gravity. Mass is equal to gravity and gravity applies only by mass. If mass is present then its by gravity or otherwise gravity is absent. If a body falls it is the mass that pulls the body to fall because the body receives gravity by ratio of mass and mass is that which produces gravity in relation to the mass available. It is mass that drags you down because the mass is in charge of the gravity and the gravity finds the value from the mass available. Mass pushes you down by the gravity it forces onto you. But if mass drags you down then what lift you up in the air balloon? If mass gives the gravity to drag you onto the Earth then why would the hot air lift you up in a balloon? Is the hot air causing anti gravity or anti mass because gravity by lifting the air balloon and cargo. The hot air balloon is lifting the passenger and all that is in the bag plus the bag plus the balloon into the air. So what is then pushing the lot up if it is mass that drags you down. Has the air not got mass because then the air can't have gravity and then the air must escape into the blackness of outer space because by going up it shows a resilience of either mass or gravity. We have seen that it is mass that pulls everything onto the ground.

Why would the air defy mass and allow the balloon to go anti whatever is going anti because nothing in or on the balloon has gone without mass. The object(s) has mass to produce gravity. Why then would hot air allow the balloon plus everything in the balloon to lift into the air? The balloon lifts in relation to the hot air that blows into the sack. The more hot air and the hotter the air is the more lift and the swifter the lift will be that the balloon provides. The issue sticking out is that the balloon then must not have mass because with anti gravity it is pulling

up. Remember mass drags you down and mass can't pull you up and drag you down at the same time. Then what is pushing while mass is pulling or is mass pushing while what is pulling? The object is not going in the normal direction where it is dragged down by mass forming gravity and in all my life I have never heard one Academic mention anything about gravity lifting and that makes the lot very confusing. What is lifting up when the lot should be pushing down and why did everything connected to the balloon lose the mass and if it has mass why is it not dragging down the balloon?




Take a truck of 15 tons into an airplane. Put next to the truck a petite little dancer weighing 45 kilograms. Then to keep the dancer on her toes, put a frog of 150g next to her. Now we will have the mass of each object "pull" by "gravity" as this lot falls down Take note that you are told by the wise amongst us that it is mass that produces the gravity that pulls you down. We have just had a lovely debate on how it works and how mass drags you down and wondered if it then is anti mass or anti gravity that lifts you up with the hot air balloon, well take note of this as your airplane reaches 11 thousand meters which is eleven kilometres straight up into the air. Now you throw this lot out without parachutes and let the lot free fall in accordance with each object enjoying its individual mass forming its own gravity. If Newton is correct this lot will fall according to gravity and if Galileo is correct this lot will fall together. But never can Newton and Galileo have the same opinion of the outcome, as they are not sharing an issue with the same outcome because by falling with mass the lot must fall different and we know they do not. If falling is according to mass then it is ridiculous to think the lot would arrive on the ground simultaneously. If the falling is equal Galileo is correct and if every one lands in ratio of individual mass then Newton seems to be correct. Judge on what you see and not what you are told by physicists upholding Newton.




The earth has mass that it pulls with. The truck has mass that it pulls with. So does the girl and the frog have mass that it pulls with. The pulling power all depends on the mass that the object holds, well that is according to Newton. Science teaches that a feather and a hammer have different mass while they fall equal in time through an equal distance travelled. If gravity was mass related, then this was not possible, because then objects must fall according to mass. Falling objects bears no evidence of mass playing any part in falling. Any two objects holding different mass fall equal in time and in distance when sharing similar conditions, which suspends mass altogether as an influencing factor. Galileo proved different mass fall equally under similar conditions. That fact about Galileo, science does embrace, although this strongly contradicts Newton's impressions about mass inflicting gravity. On TV we see how all objects, such as cars, humans and bags fall at the same pace, which sets a standard totally against Newtonian mass principles that produce the falling, and proves Newton wrong because mass then does not underwrite gravity in any way or form at all. The formula $F = \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2} G$ would suggest mass taking all the responsibility for such falling that takes place. Newtonians declare gravity as the **force of gravity F**, that is = equal to **gravitational constant G**, when it is multiplied by the **mass M₁** and the **mass M₂** after which then the product of the three factors influencing gravity is divided by the square **r²** distance between **mass pulling the mass that destroys the distance between the two objects**. If mass pulls mass as Newton said, the Big Bang is not possible but the Universe is notwithstanding Newton's claims, expanding (growing apart). If mass forms gravity, every planet must orbit at a different pace, which they do not, as all planets orbit at the same pace around the Sun. Planets don't give the slightest hint that they obey Newton's suggested

cosmic laws by implementing mass. The truth is that mass is the resistance of any independent material to deform and to acquire mass the individual object relinquishes independent motion. Mass comes about when the falling of any object stops the motion of the falling. Mass prevents

further falling, it does not sustain further falling. Gravity is the moving of the object to the centre of the Earth while falling. I say gravity is movement while mass is obstructing independent movement, which is what gravity is. Mass is not forming the factor responsible for gravity or movement, but prevents further movement. A body falls by gravity. Mass hinders movement and therefore mass can't enhance or produce movement or gravity. Mass prevents or blocks gravity. Gravity is the motion that defines the individual identity of any object's structural form by rendering motion while reserving independence in granting free space from other manipulating objects. Do you as students realize the inconsistencies that physic Academics present you with when portraying that what they teach you as being the solemn truth.



If Newton is correct the frog must land on the earth hours after the truck landed and minutes after the girl. In reality the frog and the dancer and the truck fall at an equal descending rate. That is what Galileo said all along. That is not what Newton said. On the way down the frog then pretends he drives the truck and the next scene he is dancing with the girl while the truck is falling as fast as a truck can fall and they all fall together as if they are in a unit sharing space. Does each then fall according to their mass as Newton says? Who do you think is lying? Physics are telling students all over the world that mass is in charge of gravity and it is by the force that mass forms that the bodies are pulled down. Then the mass is pulling the truck of 15 tons down since the mass produces the gravity and the gravity produces the fall which is three hundred and thirty three times more in the case of the truck going in a down direction than the girl's mass of 45 kg is pulling the dancer down while the frog of 150 g is descending as if it also is 15 tons as it falls beside the truck. This point is crucial to what you are going to read about gravity in the rest of the book!




If Newton's $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ did apply by having mass pull then the objects could never land at the same time because of mass inequality preventing this.

The mass of each falling object amongst others supposedly provides the gravity that pulls the truck down should pull the truck down one million times better than it pulls the frog down. If the mass is doing the pulling by forming the gravity the truck must fall 333 times faster than the girl and one million times faster than the frog. If the Brainy Bunch all too wise is correct the frog can fly to America and have a pizza in New York while the truck has a few micro seconds to get down if the girl is going to fall during the normal falling duration of a minute or so. Everyone has seen skydivers jump out of airplanes next to cars and trucks and bags. Every one has seen they all fall at the same rate as the big and small objects. They can hop in and out of cars while floating next to the downwards descending car and the bags that fall with them. The girl can do tap dancing around a jumping frog on top of the truck or below the truck and they can be inside the back of the truck galloping on fresh air inside the truck because the lot is falling at the exact same rate.

The academics wishes to brainwash you by mind control in accepting that it is the mass that the falling takes place and that mass is responsible for the gravity and by mass pulling you down it is gravity that makes you fall. Where is the proof of mass that according to them is that which is producing gravity. They tell you Galileo said all things fall equal and we can see from the TV monitors how all things fall equal. Where is the mass that makes the gravity to let you fall if all things fall equally? They tell you that the truck has a mass of 15 tons and that mass is making the gravity that is having the truck fall while the truck is falling at the same speed and distance than the frog does.

Then if you don't repeat after them and echo every word test after test and exam after exam they will fail your papers and kick you from campus. You repeat after them and you live an academic life or you disagree and you go home to play with your toes. If mass is in the picture then mass must be represented by a factor of more than just one because if mass is not part of the overall picture then mass has a factor of one which proves that mass is not part of the equation since mass can't change the results. With all the objects falling equal mass has no role and if mass has no role then for my money academics in physics can't just go and put everything in as their hearts desire. If it is Galileo that is correct and if all things fall equal then mass has no part in gravity. If mass is the inspiration behind gravity the truck must fall a million times faster than the frog and in fact the frog should almost land in another country because that is how slow it falls.



Telling this to your physics professor will have him shrug his shoulders dismissively as if it is not his problem and raising the issue is slightly out of place and rather a silly point to make. That is how they have been eluding the problem now for three hundred years. They put the mistake onto you for noting it.

The fact of the matter is that I don't wish to be near when any of this lot hits the ground because the truck will cause a quarry and the dancer will be a splash of red fluid while the frog might not be that worse for wear if the truck or the dancer doesn't land on the frog. The differentiation of having mass or having equality when falling and then not having mass and between individual differences in mass by each component that enters the equation when the objects touch the ground. Then every one gets the mass it has. Only when they touch the ground and land on the soil is mass as a factor awarded. While they fall they all fall equal and there is no distinction between the falling at all. What then is gravity? The gravity is the falling. The gravity is the motion. The tendency to move and apply gravity is the part that the mass restrains. The factor of weight only comes into play once the independent movement stops and the object becomes a part of the earth in all aspects of movement. When it moves with the earth it has mass.

The soon as the object hits the ground the object stops falling with the mass that manifests as weight that then prevents the falling from continuing. It is the role of forming identifiable mass is to prevent further falling and independent motion to continue. Some might even still honestly believe it is mass that produces gravity due to the brainwashing as they were taught it is mass that produces gravity and never thought about the matter again afterwards. Take this issue up with your professor. Ask him or her to say without doubt which object with most mass will land first or will the lot land at the same instant? If the cargo haul of the truck was empty, will it fall slower or will it fall faster when the cargo bay is full and there is more mass doing the pulling? Get some truth out of them because they are there to teach you the truth and not the truth Newtonians create. By telling you what they wish to tell and forcing you to repeat that in examination only forms brainwashing and that is the basis on which science forms the basis for centuries.

The following forms the backbone behind the brainwashing in teaching. If I come to you as a mentor with a proposal about something I wish to educate you about with you on condition that you pay me an amount to share my past and proven knowledge with you about what I am sure is everything I know, then I am an honest academic wishing to teach you. Now I tell you one part knowing that part is flawed and not telling you the rest because I know that is the flawed part then do you think I am trustworthy. Am I the honest person you can entrust with your future? I as the tutor know the half I tell you is unproven and the other half I do not tell you about are telling you that the half I tell you is rubbish but because I know it is rubbish. I only tell you the first part that is not proven and leave out the second part that shows the first part is rubbish and still I wish you to pay for my services. Have you a name for such a person that will force another person to pay him brainwashed him by mind control because the tutor has absolute control over the life and death of the academic future of the brainwashed individual and therefore is willingly forcing this unfortunate creature in accepting what will never amount to form the truth? Those are called Physics professors and rule Universities as draconian authoritarian dictators bent on sadism.

Let's investigate the falling as such and see what happens during the fall. The truck falls at the same pace empty or loaded and this falling is at the same pace in which the girl falls, which is the same pace as that which the frog falls. I don't quite see the role mass has in this! If the truck falls at the same pace as the girl and as the frog there has to be a common denominator in this process and since the common denominator eliminates size form and shape we can eliminate mass. Mass brings distinction and the falling eliminates all forms of distinction. Something bigger must have more mass than something smaller.

Lets take this scenario to a waterfall. When I fall down a waterfall with a boat I travel the same pace, as does the boat. That could be because I am fixed to the boat by sitting in the boat. But my sitting in the boat has certain condition and one is that I can remain sitting because I fall the same pace as the boat is falling. This is like the truck's empty cargo bay falling as fast as it will fall with the filled cargo bay.

If I fall down in a boat with the boat and the boat and me forming a distinctive unit falls at the same pace as the water that forms the waterfall falls. Should I at the time of my falling hold an empty mug in my hand and I wish to fill the mug with water, and then I will have to move the mug against the flow of water streaming down the waterfall. I will have to thrust my mug upwards at a faster pace than my descending is casting the mug down and therefore I accompanying the mug down the waterfall. My mug will not automatically fill with water or if there was water in the mug my mug will not automatically empty with water just because the emptiness filling the mug will be at a different pace than the content that is otherwise the filling of the mug. The empty part is falling as fast as the filled part that holds the mass.

The mug being empty falls as fast as the boat and I. If mass pulls the filled part what pulls the empty part? The empty space in the mug is falling as fast as the mug will fall when the mug is filled to the brim with what ever can fill a mug to the brim. Notwithstanding the content within the mug or the content within the boat or the content within the water being within the waterfall, the very lot is falling at a similar pace. By lifting the cup while falling the cup will fill

with water. I am not putting the water into the cup but I am exchanging the space that the water holds with space that the empty cup holds and my action in truth has no bearing on the water filling the space, which I then transfer into the cup. I am filling the cup with space that at that point holds water but the holding of water has nothing to do with the transferring of space.

If I leaped from the boat and fell I would fall alongside the boat. The boat will be empty but will fall at the same pace and as the same space as I fall notwithstanding being empty. The mug being empty will fall at the same pace as the boat being empty which will fall at the same pace as the water in the waterfall and I would fall. The space in the boat, which is empty if I do not fill the space, will fall at the same pace as the empty space, which fills the mug, and the mug will fall at the same pace whether the space in the mug contains or doesn't contain whatever can fill a mug. The space filling the mug is falling the same as the water that would fill the space in the mug should the mug be filled with water. The space in the boat is falling at the same pace as I would fall whether I am filling the vacant space in the boat or otherwise filling the vacant space next to the boat. It is the space that falls and not the object filling the space that are falling. It is the space that is filled or not filled that is dropping down because the space being filled is in decline. If it was not the space that fell the space within the mug would fill first as the mug and the boat fell because the empty space would first fill before it could take anything down. But since the boat falls as fast as whether it is being filled or not we can assume that the space which the boat fills or does not fill is falling as fast as it would fall whether it is holding the boat or I or the boat and I. The space not filled by mass also moves just as fast as space filled by mass. If Newton's idea of mass was valid the boat should only fall when it is full and when the emptiness was removed. But if you fill a hot air balloon with more air or with more emptiness it takes mass with it into the air removing the pulling.

When the object such as the mug or the boat or I connect with the Earth the Earth disallow the object free motion by taking any more space the object claims through to the centre of the Earth.

The object now has to give up the space it claims and take on new space that the object claims to flow by contraction to the centre of the Earth. In forming a blocking it resists the flow or the gravity or space lining up with the centre of the Earth. The flowing of space by contraction is gravity but the object being in the space that flows becomes an obstacle through which the oncoming space must drag in order to flow to the centre of the Earth. It forms resisting of allowing space claimed to release to the normal flow when the object will not relent form in favour of gravity. This is only when the object touches the solid earth.

This resisting such relenting of form and consequently forming a frustrating barrier that blocks the free flow of space towards the centre is time displacement of space and this relenting of space-time flowing freely becomes the mass factor. The density and the resistance that the particles show forms the mass that implicate the degree of the frustrating or preventing or disabling of such free flow of space through time and the displacement of space during time is space-time notwithstanding what ever irrational connection Newtonians wish to add too space-time. Allowing space to displace through time to form time is space-time and that is gravity. All I ask is to read what I bring. Don't be a coward and stop reading as soon as you reach the point where I condemn what is in place! Just move past

that to the point where I show what is wrong and how it can be corrected! Just judge me not for condemning what now is so apparently incorrect but for showing why I condemn what now is so apparently incorrect and what I bring to the table and offer as a remedy. See what I have to offer and not only what I am taking away. Don't set your sights on what there is to lose but take a view on what there is to gain! All you lose is the untruth.

Do not reject me on merits you do not wish to instate because you have the fear you are going to lose what is instated. Do not judge me by using your double standards that is useless in the face of the truth. Rather look at the double standards you employ and do not judge me by using your double standards on me. Rather use your mind to detect what is double about your standards and then investigate with me what needs to change. Don't hide the truth. Don't hide from the truth and don't hide behind what you wish to portrait as the truth. Rather come out into the light for the first time in three hundred years and admit to the truth. Follow what I say and see for yourself what there is to gain by trying to detect what is wrong because we all know there is much wrong. The comet does not collide with the Sun and the Moon is not on its way to collide with the Earth in time to come. Expand science and no the Universe for the Universe is the only aspect that has not the ability to expand. I challenge

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

all of you Newtonians to prove and not just to declare it proven because it is in use since the Dark ages. Expand your mind and double check the formula you all so vividly underwrite and support. Prove why you support the formula in a modern and a scientific way.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Explore the correctness that this formula underwrites. Be a true exploring scientist and journey with me through the following pages while we venture on the quest to find and vindicate my incorrectness by proving the truth vested in the formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

that carries the entire physics everyone uses. Let us start where the lot should start and get two Masters together on one point of argument. Galileo said all things fall equal. That says all things fall alike. The first thing anyone brings in is the vacuum bit with the feather and the hammer and since we do not live in vacuum there is no chance of finding a feather that will fall as fast as a hammer. Since the feather does not fall as fat as the hammer we immediately jump to the conclusion that there are falling disparities because of the falling discrepancy we find between the hammer falling and the feather falling. Then what would give the feather the time to fall longer than the hammer does. Everyone concludes about mass coming into play and they are correct. But they are half correct while Newton still is completely incorrect by attaching mass to the entire idea of falling. Take away the resisting of the feather and replace it with something far less air resistant and one will come to a different conclusion. We have to dissect what factor consists of gravity and what factor represents mass. Then we have to dissect which part does mass play and what part does gravity play.


The falling object experienced no mass while falling therefore the falling or moving must be gravity's contribution. While objects are in motion those moving objects is experiencing gravity. The object show mass when the object has a tendency to move but the motion towards the centre of the Earth no longer takes place. That means mass is the restraining of the motion or is that which prevents the motion or gravity taking place. On Earth, objects experiences mass by restricting gravity or motion with the Earth giving mass but taking away free motion. By giving mass the Earth forces the object to become one with the Earth and move with the Earth as a part of the Earth.

They were brainwashed by their tutors as their tutors were brainwashed before them. You are in a position where you can teach your tutors the truth about gravity if you read what is in the books. The truth is there and the truth is out and the truth will be because the truth is written for all that wishes to read. The academics on the other hand have ignored my work and my being on Earth for the past six years while I was writing them letters about gravity. They ignore me as if I am a rattlesnake because to them I am a rattlesnake. For that reason if no other they will rather go on lying to you and cover their corrupt fraud than face up to the truth and admit their work is lost. The truth will be whether it is recognised by them and they can become the first to admit and repent or they will be the last of the laughing stock that those in the future will refer to as the bunch that couldn't see when things fall equal they cannot have mass and when things do not fall by mass then one can know mass has nothing to do with the falling and the gravity. It is up to you as students to rattle their cages and make them admit they've been lied to as they are lying to you. If you do not accept the role as being zombies that is brainwashed then confront these academics that treat you with disgust and betray your trust. They might tell you the mistake is not that serious and the damage is small but then how will they know how big or small the damage is if they don't even know what damage there is or what the damage is. My books will serve as the light switch that brings the light to you. I charge your young minds to confront those fraudsters about the truth. If you reach the need you may download it because it is a fair bit of information. However it is not my views that are your enemy but the brainwashing you are victim of that holds your logical thinking captured behind the bars of a jail of corrupted ideology that forms a culture.

A question they never ask or answer is what is starting where the cosmos according to their opinion ends because something else has to start behind where an end forms and that is the location of the start of something else. Or can they answer another question: What was in place of time at the time predating what is now in place during a time before what they say is there when they say the cosmos started and from what did it develop and why did it arrive at where we are now. Before what we now have, what was then in place and how did what we now have come into place? They present gravity as a magical force.

In this meagre presentation I provide a small part on an infinitive big answer about how everything called the Universe started but that is only after I annihilate every principle those in science so dearly protect and by doing so I uncover the corruption that they hide. The corruption and falsifying of facts are there for you to see. I show what they create to justify their correctness about what they claim they can prove. As can be seen by this little presentation forming an example, I prove that Newton's principle was never been proven as long as it is in place. In short I would wish to have our scientists prove where mass is part of the planet formation as Newton so eagerly said it is. Use the planetary layout to prove Newton's "Kepler's laws" that has nothing to do with Kepler or with science and is completely fake. Then where I try to uncover this incorrectness they refuse to publish my work. You will find in this book I show what the response is when I sent an article in which I explain the Coanda effect and how that transcends to the operational functioning of the gyroscope and then as a result why the gyroscope works. The entire article is published as **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Website**. They never ever read the article never mind

considered the content and this treatment has been going on for twelve years.



If "mass" did form gravity by a value that commits a force then the large planets must be on the inside next to the sun having the small planets way on the outside.

Instead because we have a random allocation, that destroys the idea of mass forming gravity to pull.

The smallest planets holding the least "mass" are at either end and the largest planets holding supposedly the most "mass" are in the centre. This disproves both arguments that the pulling force forming gravity by the value of "mass" to establish the orbit goes according to "mass" or that the locations of planets are adhering to Newton's ideas of "mass forming gravity by pulling".

Use this picture to show me where the planets are positioned according to mass or where the orbit going around the sun goes according to mass. The entire Newtonian idea of mass creating gravity by pulling is the complete misrepresentation of the truth. I show what principles are in place do give the reason why.

Planet	Supposed "Mass" where earth is taken as 1	Average Orbit distance	Biggest to Smallest
Mercury	0.055 times the earth	57.9×10^9	2 nd Smallest
Venus	0.81 times the earth	108.2×10^9	4 th Smallest
Earth	1	149.6×10^9	5 th Smallest
Mars	0.107 times the earth	227.9×10^9	3 rd Smallest
Asteroid Belt	A Multitude of planet-forming fragments	Notwithstanding size the lot orbit alike	Debris orbiting in space at a specific distance that is not in relation to mass
Jupiter	318 times the earth	778.3×10^9	Biggest
Saturn	95 times the earth	1427×10^9	2 nd Biggest
Uranus	14.5 times the earth	2871×10^9	4 th Biggest
Neptune	17 times the earth	4497×10^9	3 rd Biggest

This is what there is and that is all there is. The measure of mass forming gravity clearly plays no role in allocating the positions of planets as Newton declared it must do. The entire idea that gravity is a magical force created by the value of mass is as unbelievable as the dogma is of those presenting this idea. Please use what the solar system provides to confirm what Newton says is in place when he says mass forms gravity. Science would rather accept Newton where there is no proof of Newton ever being correct than to admit Newton's incorrectness. Science would rather deny there is cosmic principles that is in place in the solar system, which are **the Rochelimit, the Lagrangian points, the Titius Bodelaw and the Coanda effect** than to admit to Newton's failings. They would not admit to Newton's failings because then the entire world will see they know less about science than does a pig know about history. They would rather put the error on the solar system than they would commit to the blatant mathematical cheating that Newton committed. It is the Universe that is always at fault when Newton becomes incorrect because without Newton's fabrication of science they have nothing to show for all the wisdom they try to pretend they have. Newton fabricated "Kepler's laws" has some correctness mixed largely with a farce and blending the truth with total fabrication of reality hides the lie behind something presenting the truth.

Forget about the fanciful corrupt mathematics that proves nothing when the cosmos does not confirm Newton's crooked mathematical arguments. Newton's religiosity might corrupt science but who in science would care about correctness when it simplifies the ongoing brainwashing of students studying science.

They confuse everyone about what *weight* is, what *mass* is and what *gravity* is because they wish to have everyone think of "mass" in terms of weight while they then deny weight and "mass" is the very same thing and then they confuse "mass" and gravity because they never distinguish between what "mass" does and what gravity does. This is only the tip of the iceberg and you will see when reading this book.

If you read on you are going to [ReadAbout TheBiggestConspiracy](#) ever enlisted, it's more than just the next conspiracy because it is A Science Conspiracy Network. I prove everything I say about the conspiracy I announce...read and be shocked.

THIS IS THE WEBSITE THAT WHISTLE BLOWS ON A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY NETWORK.



AM GOING TO SHOW YOU THEIR UNBELIEVABLY POOR MATHEMATICAL SKILLS! This Network which I denounce Engulfs the Entire Civil Human Race in Every Aspect and willing or not, you reading this are participating without knowing about what you support with every part of the mental intellect you have. It involves the most trusted members in the part of our civil society and we are deceived by the ones EVERYBODY trust the most, the teachers and Professors teaching at academic institutions everywhere. For over a decade I have been knocking on doors with the information I present in this book and lots more evidence, just to be ignored and to be turned away.

If they were so unconcerned about what cripples science they must hide something.

This behaviour of physicists ignoring me or even attacking me when I point out a very legitimate case of mistakes in science made me realise there is a conspiracy going on. Science has been getting away with this conspiracy since the Dark Ages. What you are about to read is not for the simple minded because science requires much intelligence and in that physicists get away with turning Creation into a joke. By turning the facts that form the conspiracy into what you think of and you accept as natural and as culture, man has been cheated and misled for three hundred years because those teaching science consider everyone as representing stupidity. To them everyone else are simple-minded peasants who are not worth having independent minds who could be able to think on their very exclusive superior level that they are able to think. That is how they get away with the conspiracy. They pretend to be intellectually superior and to understand what seems senseless to the rest of us and then look down their noses on the rest of the world. I will show you what it is they can't see and then miss. I am branded the past thirty years to be one that does not "understand Newton" because I am incapable of agreeing with Newton but after this book see how much you will "understand Newton"

They underestimate the public as much as the public are lazy too think and the misleading carry on.

The scientist go on fooling the public while the public sits and waits to be fooled.

Those in science think their mathematical abilities make them Gods-on-earth while we others are mindless human fodder and for that reason they brainwash our children at school by applying mind control as their mathematical understanding makes them gods. What they say all must believe because if they speak using mathematics then God spoke. This conspiracy is so widely active that every person on earth teaching physics participates, most probably without knowing, some reluctant and others well knowingly to set out to brainwash by thought control the minds of innocent students forcing them to believe in dark aged ritual beliefs in the ritual practicing of forces and allow the conspiracy to be enlisted with all the vigour they could muster. Those I refer to are allowed the powers to take as much tax dollars as they wish and endeavour on all sorts of projects that they could fantasize about. Their budgets are limitless and they never face questions because they are the intellectuals that we dare not question.

With the same powers we give them they brainwashed your child making science a religiosity. The

methods they use on your child are to make your child believe in science and that is just methodical corruption of their thinking. Science is the art of withholding information and disclosing information selectively. The Global-warming debacle put this on the forefront again. Every conspiracy is a scam put in place to earn money and think of the money that are spent on the Global-warming fiasco. If there is that much money spent on forming mass hysteria through the media and involving the services of a former vice President, as well as awarding his efforts with the Nobel prize just to get fear in the minds of those weak in thought, then the financial return they expect must be more than any financial reward there were in the past. Think of the return in profits the Bankers and other Mammonites envisage to gain from the conspiracy when they start to harvest what they now sow. If the Mammonites and the puppet Governments were so concerned about Global Warming they then should immediately begin to limit the fuel supply that is burned daily. I think they must first stop the problem from escalating before finding a remedy. Stop the oil Barons from making money by limiting the inflow of cash and the truth will suddenly reveal. This are the ingredients there is and what one should look for in search of a conspiracy. See what makes money, what diverts attention away from the money and why who keeps quiet.



Do you think a conspiracy has to be as dramatic as Dan Brown's conspiracy he called The Da Vinci Code and The Lost Symbol, no it is not because what Dan Brown wrote about is entirely fiction and therefore it must be dramatized to gain maximum publicity in order to sell. It is not intended to be silenced because by silencing the conspiracy then the conspiracy would remain a conspiracy and the conspiracy would not unveil the billions of dollars it must make. The entire idea is to blurr out as loud as much gossip minded hogwash to sell as much as it can. Conspiracies are kept silent and Dan Brown's is anything but quiet about anything.



Or do you think a true conspiracy theory should be as devilish looking as the Illuminate. Are you convinced one must see the evil of the devil being portrayed in every symbol



that represents the illuminate? No, those in power would silence all. It's just what happened in the Kennedy conspiracy. Those with the power hide the blame so effectively by law no less that the guessing will remain in place the next century.

The death of President John F. Kennedy is a true conspiracy and that we can see from the fact that

there are no results coming from any inquest launched at even a Presidential level. We know Hoover was involved by his involving of the FBI in the cover up because Hoover had to hide his gambling debt and his homosexuality. It was the Mafia that involved Hoover and the FBI. Hoover denied there was organised crime because organised crime or better known as the mafia had him wrapped up in horseracing gambling debt and therefore he had to keep the FBI off of the heels of the Mafia.

We know the Mafia was involved because they silenced Lee Harvey Oswald the innocent man that was openly framed because it was the mob that got Kennedy elected by faking the election results against Nixon. **Kennedy had to get Cuba back to mob rule and the gambling houses back on behalf of the mob and when JFK turned on his election promise to the mob they showed that not even a President is above their retribution. Sonny Liston the boxer and Mario Lanza the singer went to their graves because they owed the mob gambling money and couldn't pay up.**

Hoover knew the rules by which the mob enforce bad debt. So he allowed the mob by the hand of Jack Ruby to silence the investigation afterwards as they had other interested parties also in their pocket and those in high office helped afterwards getting JFK out of the way. **Did the police look for powder residue on the face, hands and arms of Lee Harvey Oswald? Did the police determine how many shots were fired from the rifle of Lee Harvey Oswald that they found in the Texas School Book Depository store and how many cartridges were retrieved? Where are the cartridges now and if not what happened with the lost cartridges.**

Those are the questions to address, but no investigation ever asked the obvious questions. We know that someone ordered the conspiracy from very high up being in cahoots with the mob and the FBI because the investigation was screwed even before the assassination took place. The conspiracy does not end its involvement there, because Congress and the Senate also had to be involved. **With Kennedy so quickly reaching for the Nuclear button, a lot of Bankers got stiff with anxiety because a few Nuclear bombs might not reach the Kennedy family in their bunkers, but it will write off billions of dollars of property all belonging to a lot of banks, either by mortgage or by ownership.**

Kennedy might have scared the Russians but he scared the money crazy bankers much more. With a trigger-happy cowboy in Presidential office someone somewhere might just lose billions and in that it is cheaper to get a few million flowing in the direction of politicians that will help the conspirers get Kennedy from the office to a coffin. This is so apparent in the manner that the Warren inquest was so self-serving righteous and maliciously an open cover-up while every one on the Warren commission was greatly rewarded during the next decade, each in their own time. **This case shows the true science of a conspiracy and even the brother of JFK got done in when he got too close to solving the mystery. But investigate as much as you like, you will keep on guessing for the rest of your life! Are you under the impression that a conspiracy theory cover-up must be as old, as wide spread and as powerfully involved as the Free Masons, which represents those that have the power to alter the destiny of the human race? If that were true then they would have the influence we find in the Kennedy case to keep their secrets covered under a veil of total silence and never to reveal any information to anyone! When the establishment guards a secret, it is well guarded.**



So then you want a conspiracy theory to be as blatant and as staged, in your face and unreliable as the UFO landings where the sites are very vaguely concealed and as revealing as it can be with the most pathetic idiots becoming star witnesses. The media then blasts their idiotic

untested testimonies over the air around the world as Gospel. It will be better to believe in ghosts. I don't ever say there are ghosts that can haunt us but I can't use physics to prove there are not ghosts while I can prove with physics there just can't be aliens. Where would aliens come from and where do they return? A visit from a "nearby" galactica will take them tens of thousands of years to reach us and for what purpose, to have sex with the biggest idiots they find? You never think why those Aliens would choose to meet the most simple-minded idiots with single figure IQ after travelling for many a century non-stop to reach us? Are you impressed with aliens coming to have intercourse with the most greasy-looking wench on earth that by her own admission in accordance with her intellect and her appearance could not find one male in three billion to inseminate her with wasted sperm, and so the alien got lucky? There is no one wanting her so she invents someone craving her. She is so desperate for sex that she invents some one even more desperate than she is. Do you fall for her stories that her ten mindless children has a father many galactica away and did not even leave her alimony to bring up his very earthly looking brats. If you have that mentality, then go for every conspiracy theory you can read because facts of life you will find too challenging to understand and comprehend fully. Then you think conspiracies must be as mind baffling and logic eluding as crop circles that are not in the fields when the sun sets and are there when the sun rises. If you do, then you are a sucker living for bullshit and fantasy, a monkey to be made of and you have the mentality a child has and you then are living in a fantasy-world only you can believe. Then this world is a place far too harsh for a person as gentle minded as you are. It would seriously be in your best interest to commit suicide very gently and even more quietly for this earth is far too complicated for your likes. You would be better off not to be here, we would be better off missing you than having you around because you epitomise the stupidity of mankind.

The truth about a conspiracy is that everyone involved with the conspiracy will fight tooth and nail to stop the conspiracy to get leaked and leave those behind the conspiracy and all those feeding from the corruption of the conspiracy exposed. Those who have the power to maintain the conspiracy would keep the waters as still as possible as to draw no attention to such conspiracy. A true conspiracy has to be as quiet and as unseen and going as unnoticed as it could be. A true conspiracy must involve everyone without anyone detecting even a hint of what the conspirers hide. There are many conspiracies going on such as the banks involvement with crime and the bankers profiting from gamble rackets and drug selling. The same goes for the insurance business profiting from lenient sentencing of courts holding very merciful judges in office so that the insurance will sell cover-policies. Our social

system in the Western World is a conspiracy. Democracy is held up as a saviour but politicians rule only to favour the rich. They use the media to brainwash by endorsing with senseless slogans.

Burglars, robbers, car thieves, drug smugglers all are the main income of Bankers and Insurance firms. If there is one chance in two million that your house would be burgled or your car stolen would you take out very expensive insurance, never in your life. You would take the chance to go without expensive insurance and take the risk yourself. Therefore if you have a chance of one in one hundred that you will become victimised in that your house will be burgled or your car will be stolen, then you better take the insurance notwithstanding the premium. In England the Bankers already got to the politicians to draft a law that makes it illegal not to have insurance. Now the Bankers and their Brothers can rob you blind legally and take you to court if you would not oblige. They set the terms and the rates of how you will be robbed. The Insurers would never have the insurance premium holders pay the equal amount of what the amount is of cars being stolen. They would set the premiums at least at three times the number of crimes committed to cover their arses and cut a tidy profit on the run. Therefore we can assume that for every one car that is stolen the premium holder would pay three times what the crime rate asked because to the insurer it has to be worthwhile. That makes the insurance firms the biggest robbers, car thieves and crime hustlers in the world because they rob three times more from the public and take three times the cars or furniture or whatever was stolen than what the criminals did. Insurers steal more from you than thieves do because they have to otherwise there is no profits in insuring and there is much profit to be made from insuring. I put the profit insurers make to be at least ten fold to what we lose by crime.

All they ask for is that crime flourish so that no one could dare to be without insurance and remain alive. Then to get everyone scared and nippy about crime, they protect the child molesters and the rapists and arsonists just to keep John and Jane Dow and the rest of the public so scared of crime they haven't got time to become frustrated with the total rip off the public suffers under the "protection" of the Police and the Politicians. That is why the "law makers" make crime ever so humane and get parents to become the villains when they try to discipline their children. It is about making the law-abiding citizen feel guilty and vulnerable at the same time while allowing the criminal to victimise the innocent so that the public can be controlled. You see how fast they put a father in jail when he goes to castrate and remove the genitals of the rapist who raped his daughter. The rapist gets a slap on the wrist with early release and the parent get tossed into the rough side of the jail for decades because no one in charge wants crime to get under control. We have gone as far as feeling grateful that a rapist did not kill his victim or that a burglar only took "earthly possessions" and that the victim wasn't physically harmed. We don't mind being robbed as long as we're not clubbed to death. That is how far these scoundrels wheeling money got into our safety. Those scoundrels are the ones governing you by employing "democracy" and bringing comfort to your door. Your credit cards, home loans, hire purchase and all the credit available is paid by blood of victims of the criminal enterprise the Bankers fight to uphold and even pay to maintain. To Bankers crime pays because the money insurers and drug-trading make they reinvest in business.

The latest trend is the kidnapping of persons and taking ships for ransom. This became just one more way the bankers find a means to "tax" the public. If pirates in Somalia take an oil tanker, everybody sits back with a smile because it is not their problem since they are not involved. Wake up and smell the shit on you doorstep. We, the public, pay for the goods the cargo carriers carried. By paying the ransom to the pirates the price of the products went up. Not only that but now insurance are paid on all other products in the event of pirates grabbing ships. Insurers will now put a levy on every container carried on the high seas just in case of piracy. Whether the cargo is carried through safe waters or not, it is money to be made by insurers and the Bankers get the benefit of the crime. Everything on earth just went up with a few cents but at the end of the year it comes to billions of currency that was captured by the Bankers going into the pockets of the wealthy and out of the pockets of the poor. Crime pays so there has to be a way to boost the levels of crime so that the insurance firms and Bankers can benefit from the source of wealth. The trend of kidnapping will only rise and in the next decade or two everyone on earth will have a stipulation added that there is a certain premium put on the head of every policyholder. In the event of any person being kidnapped, the insurers of the policyholder would be willing to pay that some of money that your policy covers so that you then are released. If you have not got such a clause in your contract, the kidnappers just get rid of your body and get the next victim to pay up. If you have not got insurance covering such an event, you will disappear without a trace, but if you do have such coverage, then your insurers would be kind enough to pay for your release. This is how the game is played. The Insurers would always see to it that they get many times more from crime than what the criminals could ever get.

Illegal immigrants are another source of currency going into the pockets of the insurers and the Bankers. When an illegal immigrant lands in a country, that person has to eat and live and

crime does it. That person didn't cross the shores to live on minimum wages and be the poorest part of society in that country. Yea sure, maybe a few percent are honest workers striving for better but the vast majority wanted more than what was on offer where they came from. These are perfect candidates because they are untraceable. The police have no names and no identity and the criminals are shadows coming out at night to harvest what is not theirs and then to live lavishly while the true criminals are the Insurance firms and Bankers that regard this affair as only being business. Tony Blair as Prime Minister of Britain acknowledged he has no idea how many illegal immigrants there are in Britain and no Brit ever asked why he has no idea. He and his party are paid not to have any idea. Why is the Ministry of Home affairs the one department that is "not fit for purpose" to use the phrase they used. It is so that no one can trace anybody illegal even if they tried to do it. Criminals are renowned to walk out of jails with early release even when they were illegal immigrants. Now why would you think that would happen? The Bankers pay the Government to have the Home Office in such a state that no one knows arse from head and the bigger mess in this department is, the more illegal immigrants there are and the more the crime rises. Crime pays but only for the Bankers, the stockbrokers and the filthy rich that can buy their own security army. Therefore the rich benefit by deducting cost as tax deduction so that the poor must pay more tax.

The illegal immigrants bring another sort of business with them. They bring girls they use as slaves for prostitution in the developed countries where there are money to be made. The girls get kidnapped and then their services get sold off. The price asked for one hour is not high but since the girls don't ask for much as they are drug slaves every man can afford to pay for the services and having many girls in a working position, the money eventually escalates into billions. Where will this money go. it goes to banks and the Bankers are the recipients of the cash in the end! Big drug busts don't stop the drug trade. It does not even influence the process by causing massive price hikes and that should be an indication of how much money goes to Banks. Don't think of rescuing the girls in distress. You try and touch those girls to save them and see how you vanish from the scene either by getting jailed for interfering with police investigation or by the criminals who will make you disappear without a trace. You touch the girls and you touch the bankers that befriended the Politicians who are the bosses of the police and in that order you will annoy the command structure because the girls prostituted as slaves guarantee Bankers and other criminals much wealth. Those with money or with power are criminals or are criminal minded because that is the only way you can have money, steal from others to fill you pocket or use them as slaves to work for you. Don't believe this crap about only honest money going to Banks because with them in charge of the Political Government of all countries they will get the law to create loopholes through which they will work "legally" and with them having your elected government working just to please them they have nothing to worry about what is legal and correct and what is not because everything is just business.

The drug trade flourishes just because of one principle, the money has to end up in the coffers of Bankers. If the drug trade is worth a billion dollars a day, where does the money go? No one can walk around with that much money and therefore it has to go to bankers for safekeeping. I have not made a study on the subject since it is not my main interest to reveal

crime statistics, but any one would see that printed money escalated by tens if not by hundred fold the past forty years. Why would they print that much more money? It is because that much more money is in circulation and the distribution thereof finally land in the banks. This gives banks the ultimate power they could ask for. Crime brings in cash and cash is their business, so crime becomes the business of banks. In order to further their campaign on behalf of criminals they get to politicians. Politicians not in favour of using "their election help" and aid by "election contributions and donations" don't get elected. The honest ones don't even get press publication. In this syndicate don't discard the TV stations and the printed press. Since the Bankers would own much of the press as shares legally held, the press is in place to further the cause of those in politics that would further the cause of Bankers and Bankers are in business to make money and crime pays good money. This is an animal that feeds off itself by consuming the consumer and enrich the rich.

Look on any news channel and you will see on TV news how eagerly the soldiers destroy the Poppy fields in Afghanistan! You see on the news how valiantly they set about to get the soldiers to the task of mowing down the poppy plants with pangas and annihilate the next opium harvest? That is a lot of bullshit! By doing that they regulate the prices of dope in the west. They don't put the same backbreaking all-out effort to get to the distributors in the major cities because doing that will ruin the dope trade. You can see through almost any CCTV camera how housewives and children and teens come to street corners and buy dope as if it is open market. The dope dealers in the west are earning the money they have to bank at banks because it is not possible to handle the cash the dope dealers earn everyday from selling dope. The dope earnings must be trillions where only three million dollars already weighs 8 tons. How the hell will the dealers handle the billions of dollars per day being traded on the streets in the west if not putting it in the vaults of the banks? The idea of Banks not being involved is garbage believed by the weak in mind and others believing in democracy. That the banks don't touch the money the dope dealer's gains is rubbish. The sheer weight of money used in the dope trade alone implicates banks being involved. The banks buy with democracy the will of the politician to forge laws that protect the interests of the bankers. The term used is donations to political parties by "lending" cash. The bankers "lend" the money and when the politician fore fill their end of the bargain the "loan" is written off.

There are many more "lobbyists" running down the corridors of the American House of Congress and the House of the Senate than there are politician official assistants in America. Why would that be? That is a smarter and more acceptable name for bribing the politicians to gang up against the electrets. It is a fancy way of bribing anyone and everyone so that the "democratic" process could be hijacked and rigged in favour of money lending. Bribing has been renamed as lobbying but it still serves the same purpose.

If Gordon Brown and the rest of the European leadership so full heartedly believe in democracy, why don't they put the death penalty to the system of democracy? Go on and give the public the choice, let the people decide. If they say they rule by democracy, then let the people decide on the main issues directly, call a referendum! Why don't they put it to the vote and disallow the one-sided advertisement funding of vote swinging so that the people can make a well-decided popular choice on the matter. They lie and cheat and bullshit before an election just to come afterwards and push the rule of the rich down the

throat of the poor. The Police say they protect. If they say it let them prove it and then let them protect and if they don't solve crimes then put the Politicians in jail with the rest of the Bankers and the criminal mob. But it is a case of the quicker robbers and car thieves and rapist get out of jail, the quicker they get back to crime and the more crime is committed, the higher the preemies get for insurers. Therefore the more the money is and the higher the profits are for insurance firms, the more bankers and industrialists gain. Also the higher the crime rate gets the more the people have to see that they are insured to cover their potential losses in crime. Therefore it pays to buy politicians to create laws that go soft on criminals in order to allow the crime industry not to collapse when crime is dealt a massive blow once in a while. The flow of crime will go on as long as there is not enough prisons and criminals must be set free to make space for more to come. The inflow always exceeds the number rehabilitated so that the dues are never met. The Politicians fail to build enough prisons because the politicians allow the criminal numbers to explode inside prisons and we pay the price by having criminals go on early release to come and victimise us again. The net result is that we pay higher insurance and the bankers get more money so that inflation can run a racket. More "money to invest" means controlling the "free world" more rigidly.

The proof we have this is true is the way the law takes sides. Defend yourself in your own home against a burglar and you are going to jail! If you as a law-abiding citizen have a gun it is a crime, but is it because you make it difficult for criminals? The public has to be defenceless so that the criminals can get the upper hand and that will stuff the money coffers of the bankers. They can't keep guns away from criminals so they take my gun away! You go and beat the holy shit out of a person that robbed you then you land in jail. If the robber gets away with the crime no single tear is shed but if you beat him blind you are the villain. This is to protect the Insurance firms that bank at the Bankers that invest on the Stock exchange that get the Rich richer so that the Poor must get poorer. The more crime is about and committed daily, the more people need insurance covering and thereby the more money insurers bank giving bankers profit to spend on the stock exchange by controlling the economy and the politics. The public has reached the point where we are relieved we were robbed but we are alive! That then is a soothing consolation. If they did not heart my child they can take what they want because I am insured.

But I pay tax to be protected by the Police and I then must get protection. If the Government puts Police in charge of crime and disallow me as a citizen to take revenge on the criminal by going out and look for the robber myself, or get the rapist to swing on a lamppost because I have his semen in my house where he raped my wife, or cut the genitals out of the rapist and molested my four-year old daughter, then they must take the blame for unsolved crime and the high crime rate. If they can't stop the thuging they must take the blame and the financial responsibility. The state must own up for the lack of providing security and the politicians, judges and Police chiefs must sit in jail if crime is not controlled. Then the Politicians must see to it that when the rapist rapes again after being released from jail everyone involved with his release, from the lenient judge down to my local politician that is responsible for crappy laws, must sit in jail with the rapist because they all participated in the crime being repeated again. Because of their weakness crime is committed, so the politician must guarantee my property or give myself the option to retrieve my belongings and then hang the criminal from the nearest lamppost. I say deform a child molester's face by cutting away the soft tissue of his lips and his cheeks. Then every child will see this creature is a monster and will start to shout the moment this child molester starts to approach the child. This will stop the monster as soon as the thing comes near a child. or else just hang them. But now we are thankful that it was just a thief that burgled us and that it is not a child rapist that entered our home. When this monster gets to court the child's rights are forgotten at home while only the monster has human rights. The entire law that charges the case is in place to protect the criminal because without the criminal those defending or charging and judging will earn a lot less from the industry if the crime does not flourish. If those in law go about to destroy crime then a lot less lawyers would be needed and a lot less judges would sit in chairs and therefore the industry sides on the side of the insurers and bankers because crime pays. Judges serve one purpose and that is to create crime by reducing sentences of criminals.

It is time government takes responsibility for laws failing and because they favour the Bankers and Insurers it is my security that is compromised by them applying lenient laws that is about protecting criminals. If the government says their Police will protect my belongings and secure me then they take the responsibility. If I am being burgled, then the government must replace my lost property because it was they and their police and their laws that did not protect me and then if the crime is too high, the cabinet must resign and give other people more fit to do the job the chance to govern. Now at this moment we know that Politicians are the most crooked over paid under worked robbers of public funding there is on earth. Do like the Chinese do with crooked officials, those they catch with their hands in their cookie jar, take a crooked politician or official into the centre of town and put a bullet into his brain. Why would other

politicians be scared of this practise when they are as innocent as newborn babies? That will get rid of the crooked politicians and place the genuine honest persons in public office. Crime pays for the elite that profit from the rate of crime becoming sky-high. The money is used to change laws in favour of crime so that crime can flourish and Bankers can profit. Bankers buy politicians and democracy through money donations. With the money they give politicians, laws are written that protect the rich against the poor. This is the way to run a conspiracy orderly. No one can trace it and know one suspects it because we all benefit. With this method the Bankers gain by inflating everyone's livelihood and eroding personal income and

safety as to gain from that. In time I might write about this but now I cover another story, a much bigger storey about a conspiracy everyone on earth has been brainwashed to hold a part of...it is about. Every time the price of your home goes up you are not richer but you do earn less. You have to purchase a more expensive home yourself but never will your salary grow by the margin the price of your home is inflated. You might think you benefit from their exploitation but they milk you dry just to control you.

There are so many conspiracy theories going around, floating like a bad smelling odour of a previous fart left behind in the room, but most of those have no more factual substance or evidential backing by truthful facts than a James Bond novel has. I also have a science conspiracy theory but mine has all the proof and all the substance of authenticity that any argument can ever hold. It involves **physics as physics are taught** at institutions worldwide. If you now don't believe me, read on and learn the truth yourself, it is free of charge. Mine is the most unbelievable one that has most truth. This book is not about any conspiracy ever mentioned before but takes into consideration what worries people. **When you see what science achieves and you hear what I accuse science of, then it seems as if I am a nutcase who escaped from the loony house and I must be the one having very dangerous tendencies to harm the innocent.** I should be locked up and taken from society. However, read my story and you'll see that I don't harm the innocent. It is those we all trust without reservations that brainwash to forge deceit through corruption and malice.

The blame is with those admirable, yet astute members in our society, the educated leaders in physics that we trust without even thinking about their trustworthiness or question their sincerity regarding their blameless honesty.

Do you believe in the absolute unquestionable correctness of science and that everything that forms science is truthful being far beyond suspicion?

Do you believe that those furthering the science of physics work with no less than absolute proven facts and the facts are beyond any doubt at all.

If you do then I have very bad news for you, news that will change the grain of what you believe is truthful. I am about to shock you into reality! This is going to reveal the biggest conspiracy ever concocted by the human mind, ever since the time a human had a mind to use.

It is A Conspiracy about Fundamental Science. It started when Newton gave us the idea that gravity is the result of mass and in gravity mass is the biggest contributing factor.

Isay that mass as a factor in physics and not the value of weight, but mass with the pulling power only pulls stupidity over the eyes of incompetent idiots raving in their personal sublimation. Let see what Isay. Would those in science cheat you and withhold the truth from you?

www.singularityrelavancy.com that is telling everyone about An Ultimate Science


Conspiracy, the biggest conspiracy ever concocted.

We accept what science accepts and we reject whatever science rejects. We put our faith in the hands of science as we humans put our faith in the hands of the clergy five hundred years ago. On what grounds do we rest our infallible belief in science, where we never question the legality? The conspiracy in science is summarised by the question that a philosopher once asked when he asked the most decisive question that he ever could ask: "In order for us to know anything, we first have to question everything we know." By not asking questions science conspired to conceal. The

conspiracy I detected in science **about science** is that **science never had questioned everything known to science**. I found a conspiracy in science that is in place keeping it alive by not to question everything in science that science knows and hiding the knowledge no one ever questions; that is the conspiracy I wish to inform every person about. The conspiracy is to never question and by never questioning, science hides that what science does not wish to have others to know about. The "not questioning" became the obsession that became a conspiracy amongst all person working with and in science. By not questioning that which science knows they hide that which they should question by the hiding of the questioning the conspiracy comes alive and not questioning maintains the conspiracy in science about science! Everyone is suspicious and no one knows what we are suspicious about!

Apollo 11 moon landing

Are you convinced about the outcome in the search to uncover the possible conspiracy about NASA really being on the moon or faking all the evidence they show?



Do you think NASA was on the moon in the sixties and seventies? Do you think they ever landed and if they did then how many times did they land?

Have you got this eerie feeling that somehow there is somewhere something about science that comes across as not that believable and you in your mind have your personal limbering suspicion, concerns and doubt but you cant see it?

Do you think there is a conspiracy in the unknown regions of science hiding in the dark avoiding the obvious detection of everyone but no one can point a finger as to where the itch is coming from? Is it not how we all feel about science!

Politicians mislead the public, bankers fake figures and mislead the public, and warring Generals fake facts to mislead the always-trusting Public.

Now it is the turn where we find science that seems to go wanting for the truth!

The question on everyone's lips is "were we there or were we not there?" Suddenly everyone questions the moon landing and everything that is connected to the moon landing in the sixties and theseventies. Why would everybody have an urge to distrust the loyalties of science?

"We" were never there to begin with. NASA was there and to demean the efforts of NASA by questioning the integrity of what those intellectual giants achieved is despicable to say the least. "We" were not there because "we" have not the guts to land on the moon. It is always a small number of giants, a handful of wise men that carry a nation or in this case that carries a human population on earth. However, to question or not to question is the conspiracy that science hides.

Was NASA on the moon. well yes, I think for sure they were on the moon? Did NASA at the time and during the event make the movies that they then showed as if broadcasting live about the event and as it took place on the moon that very minute? Don't be simple minded to think or expect that such film making on the moon was possible because the television technology allowing that was not in place yet and what the public saw most probably was a pre-recorded.

I truly can't see how the computer facilities at the time could handle such a broadcast transmission about what took place on the moon at that moment in time. There was no transmission possible to broadcast from the moon back then, live in the instant frame-by-frame photography. There was no facilities in those days to broadcast live events from the

moon to the public, but that is what the public wanted and if the public wants to be fooled then the public gets what they expect to please them. Think of what NASA achieved and see how small the related accusations are when compared. Moreover we know the American public is the most demanding people there is and if they wanted to see the events unfold while having a live broadcast that was what they would get. Think of the cabbage doll outcry that happened some Christmas some time later but during the same decade and how the American public got nasty over a silly doll. Can you then blame NASA for going undercover about some of the truth?

Everyone tries to prostitute everything for money without showing scruples about it. No one shows a sign of having a conscience because there is an all-conquering lust for money and this has no limits or boundaries. Everyone out there tries to make money by selling improvable suggestions or toxic rumours filled with ridiculous defamation and defamation it is because they can't prove what they declare is true.



I suspect the public thought by paying billions of tax dollars for the entertainment that the least NASA could do was to oblige by delivering the expectation that was the buzz at the time. Does the live broadcasting or not deter the importance from what the event is in history. Sure as the earth is round the event remains as important and as real as if the broadcast was coming from some live sport event. But they used sliding rules to calculate on board the spacecraft. I used sliding rulers to calculate at the time because hand held take-away computers were science fiction and with no computers to broadcast the entire idea was fiction. No one had the facility to put a computer in place that was capable of relating the broadcast from the moon back to earth. The technology was not in place but everyone wanted it to be in place. I don't say that it happened in this manner but from my perspective it could have led to the NASA reaction.

But this doubt that is evoked comes as a result of other doubt that is lingering in suspicion. The smallest question of doubt has another origin coming from another source in science that I found but was apparently never yet detected. The doubt that these questions raise could be the part of the conspiracy that science tries to hide. By looking at these issues, everyone is looking in the wrong direction and looking away from where the conspiracy is and then to what is not important. Of all the enterprises in the last century, the achievements of NASA rates bigger than any of the other industrial-related achievement, coming only second to what we gained from using electricity as man's main energy.

The achievements of science must leave all of us with awe. Their efforts are frightening as much as it is mind-boggling and goes beyond what anyone could ever have dreamed of in a fairy tale say two hundred years prior. If those generations that came before us could come alive today and could see this that man now achieve they would think of man as God. If those generations that preceded us could see what is taking place today, they would run from us and hide in fear of our achievements and our abilities in living as we do today!



Look at

the picture and see the engineering effort portrayed in this image of tranquillity. Not long ago in human history people would have fallen on their knees and would start to worship what they saw if they saw this and whoever witnessed this would father a brand new religion just there and then on the spot. Still unmistakably the effort remains incredible and the picture reminds us of Godly inspired wonders. If ever anything man made proved intellect way above the ordinary and into the realms of superhuman thoughts, then this is proof of such achievement. Yet it took so many man-hours of superhuman labour, planning and concentration to bring everything in this picture together. With the entire marvel this picture holds and all the greatness it portrays of man's ability to achieve, out of view it hides a dark side too the brilliance of man and more particular of science. The conspiracy comprises science as much as it involves the entire faculty of science. It is about what science conceals hiding underneath everything science reveals.

With all the amazing achievements accounted for and when recognising all that science changed our way of living on the earth and what was achieved by scientists developing this super mentality and in that also giving science all the admiration dually admitted, notwithstanding I am about to dump on you the biggest conspiracy that has ever been presented and that was ever undertaken by any group of persons in the entire human race. Think of anything you might think is big or outlandish by nature and that dwarfs in comparison to what I am about to reveal. It is so large that there is nothing in the past history of man with which one could compare it to. It involves every aspect of the life of every human being and this shadow in our midst covers the darkest secret that was ever hidden from intellectual human view. It is perpetrated by those we absolutely unconditionally

trust in all aspects, It touched on every individual walking the surface of the earth and that excludes no person of any status albeit an infant or someone in old age.

Do you fully believe in science as if everything about science is proven fact and is truthful, never questioning or rethinking one question in having a minutes doubt just because this storey has been repeated for centuries? Are you so confident in science up to the point you will put your life on the line to prove the accuracy of trusting the facts we have in physics? Are you one hundred percent sure about the honesty of science and are you sure about the trust we put in the honesty with which we regard science.

We know that scientists claim to work with facts and truth and the commitment to further the truth science presents as facts. This trust we have in the truthful accuracy of science goes beyond any and all suspicion of any kind. We all know that physics can never lie and physics represent the truth as no other form of knowledge could ever have. The presence of God or the absence of God becomes doubtful and is scientifically debatable but the accuracy of physics can never be doubted in having any suspicion. When you then worry about NASA being on the moon or not, I have to warn you to forget about NASA going to the moon and to start thinking about the moon coming to us when we are taking Newton's physics principles under review. What we see in this picture is that heat can release objects from the gravity that confines everything to the earth. Yet this says nothing to the physicist about the nature of physics and that surprises me as much as it disappoints me. No one ever gave a thought about the question that if a hot air balloon can lift "mass" up into the air and break the shackles of gravity, why is that feature in physics possible when it is "mass" that forms the confining gravity.

With raw heat streaming from engines in the picture it is flames that convert fuel into fire to form the power that is so great it releases so many tonnage of "mass" into outer space. We can lift so much "mass" into orbit and by doing that then overcome the burden of gravity where that gravity burden is the result of mass attracting all other mass to produce a pulling force.

Yet, by releasing heat from engines this power of gravity can be overcome and send many hundreds of tons of mass into outer space. That means heat can overcome gravity and gravity is a force. But heat will only react to heat and a force will be neutral to heat expanding. However in a hot air balloon it is heat that also produce lift and we know that heat produces expanding space and therefore gravity shows more inclination to heat increasing and reducing than chasing after Dark Age "forces" fighting contraction.

Holding this evidence in the front of my mind I have formed new concept concerning physics and in particular gravity in which I attach other rules to the forming of gravity. By trying to introduce these concepts aligning heat and cold to gravity I ran into the biggest stonewall formed by resistance. Then with many decades of research and studying facts science avoids I cam to form a conclusion I am about to share with you. I am about to shock your socks from your feet with the accusation I am about to make!

If you are looking at such concepts as the moon landing or no moon landing issues you are

looking at a conspiracy in science from a totally wrong area. I am going to show you where the conspiracy in science hides. There are far greater issues that science avoids than the fact of landing on the moon or not. The question should be why is nobody informing the human race about the biggest disaster that man could ever imagine. Why is there a deliberate silence about a pending but certain collision that is coming and that would end everything that man made including the life form "man"?

It is, believe it or not, but it is about modern Physics as it is taught at schools and Universities by those that don't understand physics.

If you aren't into science this might just be why you chose to study science. It is The Ultimate Conspiracy Theory, It is the Conspiracy Concerning Physics

Reading this book will intellectually be very challenging and that fact is undeniably true. However, I found that the ordinary persons with a scholastic physics background cope with the difficult explaining much better than does Super-Educated-Masters. The Super-Educated-Masters have preset conditions they prescribe to information and they can't break their mould. The purpose with which I wrote this book is to get around the network of Super-Educated-Masters who strangle any form of science that does not fit their liking. If what anyone says does not stroke with what the Brainy Bunch who controls physics agree with or echo their thinking, they just smother all intellectual publication on the grounds that it is not fitting their profile on science. I disagree most strongly but I do also supply proof thereof where Mainstream Science blocks the publishing of my views on science that does not compliment their views. If you believe science is more accurate than God, then live your fantasy out and don't read further. If you want to know the truth about how students and the public are brainwashed by mind control in science this will wake you from your slumber. Read this and wake from the culture you believe in and into which science has lulled you and made you accept science as the truth by instating it as a culture. What you read I prove even in this book and I dare any one to prove otherwise or reprimand me.

I disprove most of the view science has on cosmology which is the science on stars and galactica and how the Universe formed. I start by introducing a new view on how gravity applies and from there dismiss most of popular claims on science. Any person that challenges me on the legitimacy of content given within this book, please do so any time. However, do so on the grounds of all the information provided in this book. What I say is don't be opinionated and then reject on what is culture but inform yourself with what I provide and then gauge what I say in relation to the half truths mainstream physics provide.

THE CONTENT OF THIS BOOK A Conspiracy in Science in Progress AIM TO SHOW ORDINARY GOD FEARING MEN AND WOMEN, THE TRUTH ABOUT SCIENCE BUT IT IS NOT A RELIGIOUS BOOK AT ALL. **Moreover it shows how students are mentally abused and brainwashed to believe physics. The book is not linguistically edited and there might be a lot of linguistic errors within the pages. Please look at the meaning of the content and not the accuracy of language used because English is my second language with Afrikaans being my first. The content however is more accurate than any printing you can purchase that is underwritten by mainstream science and that I guarantee.**

You are going to read some mathematics in equations and expressions in mathematical formulas placed to defend my position but if you don't like it then just skip the mathematics because the content and grounds the mathematics proof or disprove is not important and it is there to disprove the Brainy Bunch. It is there not to scare readers away but to silence the Brainy Bunch critics by showing them the foolishness of their arguments. By using mathematics the Brainy Bunch have been cheating the public and have been brainwashing students for centuries. That cheating is how they do it and I have to show and uncover the dishonesty in mathematics.

Here is a list of books covering the issues but in much less intensity. If you wish to know the storey I am telling but don't feel like reading the lot of information you then can go to and.

DOWNLOAD FREE OF CHARGE OTHER SCIENCE CONSPIRACY WEBSITES. GO TO

A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY NETWORK<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-science-conspiracy-network/8128607>

GOOGLES SCIENCE CONSPIRACY WEBSITE @ LULU<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/googles-science-conspiracy-website-%40-lulu/8032592>

A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY WEBSITE POSTED @ GOOGLE<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-science-conspiracy-website-posted-%40-google/8028207>

TWITTERS SCIENCE CONSPIRACY WEBSITE @ LULU<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/twitters-science-conspiracy-website-%40-lulu/8027421>

A WARNING TO STUDENTS ABOUT A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-warning-to-students-about-a-science-conspiracy/8026989>

A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY WEBSITE POSTED @ TWITTER<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-science-conspiracy-website-posted-%40-twitter/7944133>

A CONSPIRACY THEORY WEBSITE<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-conspiracy-theory-website/7718434>

This book and these shorter versions are written to promote my theses compiled by six parts thesis to introduce a new way of thinking in terms of science. The Theses are in place because science as it currently is (whether you believe what I say or not) is running on so many phoney principles of which those in charge of science holding the highest office in science benefit richly from and therefore discard the utter flaws on which science in the present way is founded. Their job is to ignore the flaws there are. By discarding my work they secure their work. If they agree about the flaws, their papers on science and their degrees in science becomes something for the paper wastebasket.

Let us test Newton's attraction theory in practice



Let us now proceed and test the basis on which all physics are founded

Let us now proceed and test the basis on which all physics are founded Let us then test the truthfulness and believability of the foundation on which the entirety of Newton's physics

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

principles rests. I show you the absolute accuracy of . This is Newton's formula on which the entire

philosophy of gravity rests and it supposedly proves that mass forms gravity by force..

No one in science is capable of proving this formula worthy of being part of science and with this forming the basis of science it must be clear to what extent is the brainwashing and the mind control and the thought manipulation going on to force students to accept corrupted science that can never make sense.

Students are taught mass pulls and that forms gravity. This is methodical brainwashing by systematically corrupting the thoughts of students. Professors and teachers take control of the minds of students by forcing students to believe the physics they are taught is flawlessly correct. This forms a conspiracy practised by every person with a degree in physics. If anyone questions Newton, that person discards all studies and qualifications that person achieved. No one will go challenge science. Physics teachers take money from parents with the sole aim to **brainwash** the students that are entrusted to their care so that students will believe in science unequivocally and regardless. When teachers teach falsified information then they are worse than the mafia, that operates openly by crooked intention and in that the Mafia maintains

honesty, which the physics teachers don't have. If you are a parent then mind what you do and beware and read on! If you think I am going one step too far in accusing the academics teaching physics and I slander their names, I challenge you to ask this question again after you have read this entire book and thought about everything and considered every aspect.

Newtonian physics teaches that the mass of the earth and the mass of the moon is constantly contracting (reducing), which produces a force called gravity that reduces the distance between the two solar objects. The cosmic question that calls for an answer is, with this being true that the mass pulls mass, then when will the earth and the moon then collide? This destruction of the earth and the moon must happen first since the earth and moon are the closest.

Academics in physics insist that gravity is founded on the Newtonian gravitational principle of

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2},$$

then when is the inevitable collision coming.

Now, everyone has to raise himself for herself for the inevitable impact that has to come, but when. When can we trust the conspirers and physics academics to tell us when this inevitable doomsday destruction is on the earth's doorstep?

Newton said this must come because of mass pulling mass to clash with each other and therefore so when is the day going to be when we will not be on earth any longer. We should know!

I wish to take your mind into the world of science and moreover physics. The physics I show is the basis of physics taught to children at the lowest level of science at schools worldwide. It should be simple enough for anybody to follow because it deals with the everyday interpretation of science as we think of science in terms of science. Every person dropped a glass during their lifetime and felt sorry and incompetent for being so clumsy. If you are able to drop a glass and break it on the floor then you are able to understand the following explanation about science. If you know how to fall you will be able to understand why you fall when you fall. or are you able to know why you fall when you fall.



This principle declares that forces of attraction by the value of mass of both solar object is pulling by reducing the radius, therefore bringing the moon and the earth closer. This must lead to an inevitable collision that must end both the earth as well as the moon.

Let the Newtonians calculate when is this event is due and inform the human race when our final

day of doom will arrive by using Newton's law of attraction of $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ know! The future of life in the Universe depends on this knowledge. If we know then we have to find the ability to transplant life to Mars and beyond just to save life, as we know life is! Knowing when this will happen will give us humans a fighting chance to have a future somewhere safe!

Those Ever-So-Wisely-Educated cosmic Super-Brains always calculate the power that drives a Super Nova. Let them use those brilliant minds then to calculate the precise date when the earth and moon will destruct all life on Earth! Those Brainy-Mathematical-Masters always custom-design in detail space-whirls they invent with applied cosmic imagination. Let them bring such terrific astonishing human abilities close to home. The Mathematical-Geniuses that can calculate the inside of a Black Hole should bring their splendour to a much better use in terms of where it concerns human future.

Instead of painting a Universe fit for Alice in wonder-world, and sprinkling it with the best mathematical formula that they think must put them on par with God, rather apply the same formula and show when the solar system, as big as it is, will collapse into the Sun. Ask them to not search for imaginary undetectable, unexplainable dark matter they can't even point out, but to find when the distance we have between the planets and the sun will dissolve and when the pull of the planets will have all the planets go crashing into the sun.

Those Ever-So-Wisely-Educated cosmic Super-Brains best start form to deceive everyone by conspiring to hide the truth about Newtonian science. Those Mathematical-Masters will never even

hint Newton's incorrectness of thought because it will reveal their fraud.

It is showing that those you think you can trust are those very persons that you dare not trust!

This website allows you to see what the degree of blatant corruption is presented as physics and what Academics in physics hide.

The law of gravity says that the mass of one object pulls by force on the mass of another object where the second object then pulls back also by force.

Newtonian physics says that the mass of the earth and the mass of the moon is constantly contracting (reducing) the distance they are apart, by forming a force called gravity, or so science believes. If there is such a force as gravity then the cosmic question that needs an answer is: if this is true that the earth's mass pulls moon's mass as a pulling force, then when will the earth and the moon collide? This destruction of the earth and the moon must happen with the earth and moon being so close.



With the information at hand for centuries, then why

not use it? Why don't they tell us when?

Is there anyone that ever read anywhere about when the moon will hit the earth? Why does no one ever refer to this doomsday that has to be part of our future! Go on and hunt down as much research as you can but you will never see any researcher published anything about the day that the moon is going to hit the earth. The moon has mass that pulls the earth and the earth has mass that pulls the moon, therefore this lot pulling each other has to come closer leaving a bang as the solar system never saw before. and with Newton's gravitational laws prevailing it has to come. but when is it coming and why does no one ever talk about this. These objects with the most mass are the closest and must form the biggest pulling power from our earthly perspective.

Why is there absolute silence about this event that must take place? Have you reading this ever given this notion a thought and why did you not give it a thought before? How far did the moon come closer this past say million years? We heard about animals that disappeared million of years ago and we see impact craters investigated of events gone to the past but no

where is there any research offered on the moon coming towards the earth and the catastrophic end that will bring devastation to all forms of life. It will be the end, not to certain species or to some rainforests but to all forms of what can be called life! And yet as critical as this is, no one ever spared a thought about researching this doomsday looming in the future. This catastrophic end is coming as sure as Newton's mass is pulling to form gravity! There is not enough imagination in any one person's mind to replicate the disaster pending that will come when the earth and the moon collide. The impact will end any form of life there might be.

Academic in physics insist that gravity is found on the Newtonian gravitational principle of mass

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2},$$
 then when is the inevitable collision coming? We have to know when is it final doomsday when all form will end.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

The formula says the moon has mass pulling on the earth that also has mass and this mass pulling on each other is destroying the radius of the distance there is between the moon and the earth. The mass of both is pulling by force that forms gravity that pulls each other closer until the moon and the earth collides with a thump. This was never seen before in the history of the solar system! But when is it coming with such force as nobody can anticipate? Humans had the formula for centuries and did nothing.

For those not familiar with Newton's gravitational principles I will give you an update of science development that took place the past three hundred years, in the event you missed the latest findings.

Have you heard about the apple that fell from the tree that had a bigger influence on mankind than any religious intervention before the event or after the event? This incident with the apple changed the outlook of every person on earth notwithstanding religion, culture, ethnicity, or race. This apple that fell from a tree changed the lives of every individual on earth that remotely had anything to do with science.

Now you may think that I am referring to Adam and Eve and the probability that they ate from an illicit apple without permission. No this apple had far more reaching significance than Adam's apple had because although Adam and his Apple was important it had only had significance as far as man is



concerned in context of sins man had

and as far as some religions believe. The apple I am talking about changed the outlook man has on the entire cosmos. This apple brought the cosmos into a new light and everything in the cosmos became clearer all thanks to an apple that fell at the right place at the right time. Every time anything falls to the earth this should be your reminder that your final destiny with the moon is eminent. As objects drop or fall, then by the same margin and token is the moon coming closer but quicker because the moon has a lot more mass favouring the speed by which it must then fall. Newton saw the apple fall and realised the moon was falling to the earth as the earth is falling to the sun. Newton was the one that concluded his principles of gravity by seeing an apple falling and then he extended this rather ordinary occurrence to become a Universally spectacle compelling as a cosmic connected event.

Newton as a student saw an apple fall while he was on his back as he was loitering under the English sun. As he saw an apple fall from a branch and he made this the most fundamental event in science as far as humans recollect. Seeing the apple fall Newton concluded that the apple has mass and the earth has mass. He saw the apple fall down from the tree to the earth and realised this as a spectacular cosmic spectacle with all the astronomical implications preceding the event. As great a mind as Newton had he did not see an apple fall but he saw the way the moon is descending towards the earth. He realised there was more than one apple falling to the earth; no there was a force that was between the moon and the earth. The apple fell by the force of mass and whenever whatever fall, the falling is going by mass.

Newton saw the moon as the apple and the earth as the earth and although the moon was much bigger than the apple it was also much further from the earth than what the falling apple was. .But according to this he then put his gravitational principle in place where he

declared that between the apple and the earth a force called gravity is in place.

The mass of the apple was pulling the earth but the mass the earth has made it impractical to expect that the apple would be able to pull the mass of the earth towards the apple.

The earth also pulled on the apple by the force the mass of the earth would enlist. The earth is pulling the apple with all of the mass the earth could apply while the apple was pulling the earth with all the mass the apple had about. By measure of mass discrepancy all the falling went the way of the apple. This then brought about a pulling contest and the end result of the pulling is the falling of the apple and this enormous event of the earth and the apple pulling one another in the tug of war happened in front of his eyes. He became the eyewitness of an absolute enormous cosmic event and Newton furthermore was so brilliant in mind as to give this the deserving Universal implications, but that is the mind that Newton had!



If we go one step further and realise what Newton realised at the time we find that we don't have an apple hanging from a branch but we have a moon filling the sky, or filling a part of the sky. The principle does not change. In the one event there is an apple and in the next we have a moon. The apple is much smaller than the bigger moon but then the apple is much closer to the earth than the moon that is much further away from the earth. The earth is the earth that remains the earth in both cases.

Therefore the scenario remains identical in both cases notwithstanding many discrepancies. As the apple falls so the moon falls but the moon is a lot further and would therefore take a longer time to fall to the earth. However, by investigating both the scenarios no person that knows the least about science would dispute that if Newton's pulling of gravity by the force of

mass were true (and nobody in science seems to disputes this) the moon is falling although the moon is taking a little longer to fall all the way. But as sure as the apple was falling in that we can have the same assuredness that the moon is also falling. When you look outside and you see anything falling you can be as assured that the moon is falling on you and the moon is coming as sure as rain or anything else is coming down to the earth..

According to Newtonian science everything is falling because everything has mass and where everything has mass and in that everything is falling by the value of that mass. Go on and allow something to fall and you what you will notice is that when you see whatever falling, it actually is the moon falling except, the moon has a lot more mass and therefore the moon is falling a lot more than what a measly apple would fall. The moon is coming whenever you allow an apple to fall or not allow an apple to fall. The moon will contribute all the mass it can contribute and the earth will contribute all the mass it can contribute and both objects are coming towards each other but more the moon to the earth than the earth to the moon.and like it or not the moon is coming all the same! This is Newton's science legacy to us. but in this idea is hidden a lot more doom than just envisaging any object meeting another object!

$$F = G \frac{\text{Mass of the earth} \times \text{Mass of the moon}}{\text{radius between the two}^2}$$

Think how big the moon is and then think how big the earth is and then think how close the earth is from the moon .think of the force this must unleash and how this force has to increase as the moon is coming closer, speeding up the pace by increasing the speed of the moon closing the distance between the two solar bodies and where does this leave you? You being sandwiched in between the two!

Think how the sea will have high and low tides of thousands of meters pulling the waves to destroy the land long before the moon ever rushed into the earth with a bang. By the time the Moon appears this large in the sky, would there be human life remaining to see the event occurring or would all human life be seized by then? Think of Global Warming and this will bring us Global Warming as nothing did before.

Then again might this "global warming" not be an eye blind to cover up the real issue that is at hand, the moon coming towards the earth. To stop a panic attack that will destroy all forms of property and currency those in power then create the "global warming issue" to make us think that we are safe and that we are in charge of what we clearly never could be in charge of, and that is gravity destroying the moon and the earth? Why has no person ever thought even to mention this subject, ever only once?

Those in charge of putting the fear of God into us by arousing mass hysteria about Global Warming and carbon polluting or destruction looming such as the international press never even mention the possibility of such a collision! Why is that the case! Why is there never a hint or a thought going on about this crash?



There are probes in place to detect renegade comets and asteroids that might inflict massive damage when it hits the earth. Science does show a tendency to locate this event beforehand because this brings a certain degree of worry to those charged with finding something to worry about. Billions in whatever currency is spent to detect some asteroid or comet that is heading our way with the sole purpose to destroy the earth, but when this happens the event is by chance. These events are not predictable and are avoidable and yet they are studied in anguish. There is a much more realistic desecration heading our way with the moon destroying the earth.

This event has a better chance and more certainty, with Newton's principles applying the certainty factor and yet this possibility is never even mentioned by those charged with detecting concerns and evoking mass-hysteria! Why would those devils advocates that are doing research about doomsday scenarios and other cheap thrills never mention the time that the earth and the moon are bound to collide? If it is billions of years away, then knowing that it is still in the very far future will soothe us and bring comfort. However, if it is a possibility that could happen and we could do nothing about it but commit suicide to escape this fate, then hiding this information from the public seems the way to go for those in power. The photos depict the Tunguska event in 1908 in Siberia when an Asteroid exploded above the earth. This was devastating.

See the trees that were giants seem as if they were matches strewn. The blast depicted by this event is comparable to a flash bulb lighting a room to take a photograph. Any person who thinks Tunguska was bad news to the earth should rethink his or her degree of worrying. Tunguska and its aftermath is a Christmas cracker side show compared to what is coming when the moon is coming to our part of the earth. Try the event when the moon comes hitting the earth and see what there truly is that could bring discomfort at bedtime when no one on earth would feel the need to sleep because the ultimate doomsday is with us and upon us. Anyone needing a worry has this one to worry about because this tops the lot!

The Tunguska event would in comparison seem to be a minor local inconvenience compared to when the moon collides with the earth and both objects becoming dust particles. In this light would it not be wiser for the rich and those in political power just to keep quiet about such looming catastrophic scenario and in keeping silence not arouse a hysteric human race going mad in fear and anxiety? Think how uncontrollably mad everyone would get knowing death is upon us? So hush the peoples concerns.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

With the formula as Newton introduced it being any one with a little insight into reality could

see that as the bottom part decreases (become smaller) this will affect the top part to become

$$\frac{100}{100} = 1 \text{ and } \frac{100}{1} = 100$$

larger. I will show three values and you will see what I mean. and then

$$\frac{100}{.001} = 100000 .$$

getting the bottom really small .The bottom part's decrease increases the speed of the top part or the gravitational movement. By reducing the distance the force of gravity will grow exponentially faster!

I show you this to indicate that the closer the moon gets to the earth the faster it will come to the earth. The last minute the moon will travel about say one hundred million kilometres because the gravity pull will increase by a billion trillion times per second. During the last day's coming closer to the earth by radius reduction will be as quick as the last million years we now have. The rushing moon will increase in speed by the square of the disappearing radius and we sit between the two as a human sandwich.

This is the tempo whereby the distance of the radius between the earth and the moon will shrink. Then these numbers still have to be divided by the squared of the reducing radius making the result incomprehensibly more devastating. The smaller the radius becomes the faster the radius would become smaller and the more the tempo would be of the moon closing down on the earth. The one-year everything might seem perfectly under control and the next year everything will seem to go mad in haste. The moon will seem to be on a runaway collision coarse going out of bounds the closer it gets. The devastation it brings will increase exponentially and becoming so big no one would be able to realise the changes as the doomsday is increasing! No one would be able to keep track of the fatalities occurring. Everybody that died during the first and the Second World War plus the epidemic influenza that came after the First World War would be deaths happening in one day and during every day.

And no one in science even thinks about this scenario while Newton's gravitational laws are in place?

Can you think why not?



Those who should know better says when the sun runs out of its

current fuel, the hydrogen starts to cool down, as it will expand into a red giant and could expand out to where Jupiter is circling or so they say it is going to be. These are the estimates I have seen quoted before and are not mine to quote. The end of the sun is billions of years away. It is a lot of hogwash but it shows someone somewhere got interested and started some form of thinking about how the sun and the solar system would end. Yet no one in science ever thought it worthwhile to conduct a study into when the earth and the moon will go into destruction.


Even if this is going to happen in a billion or five billion or a trillion years from now, still there are persons that find the end of the sun important enough to be concerned about. It shows that it is not because it is far into the future that there is no apparent interest into the collision between the moon and the earth. The moon would have hit the earth much sooner than the sun would explode, so why is there no investigative research done on the earth and moon contracting by the mass that Newton foresaw? I don't for a minute say I agree with anything said about the sun, but at least it shows some investigation was done not looking at the correctness thereof, there was a thought spared about how the sun will end. It is said using Newtonian vision about what the Universe holds install that the sun is going to blow up and burst and come to an end. Don't repeat me on this hogwash because all I wish to prove that in terms of even the sun coming to a closure of a life cycle there was research done in this line of thought. It is said that the sun will scourge the solar system out of existence but never does any one mention that the moon and the earth is having a get-together long before that formed on the grounds of Newton's vision on gravity coming from mass that pulls mass. If science can look this far ahead and find a doomsday waiting on us billions upon billions of years from now, why is everybody avoiding the apparent doomsday that is much closer to realising the ending of all of the earth and its moon including all (and the only) life that was ever present in the Universe.

With everyone in science saluting Newton's gravitational contracting there was an extended effort by Albert Einstein to find the critical density of the Universe. That is the backbreaking effort that science took with painstaking accuracy to find the level of interest in determining the end of the entire Universe. The critical density idea did not pan out and that left science high and dry for answers about science. They did not stop there, no Sir, they paddles on in darkness to find the answer. They left no stone untouched to come up with a conclusion. and yet not one person in all that time started to think that the contraction will be much better monitored by researching the moon reducing of the radius it has between it and the earth. Would it not have been much easier to study when the moon will splash into the earth and from there work out when Newton's attraction will have the worst collision we can think of happening in our backyard?

Then science goes further and tries to detect the untraceable that is invisible. They try to find dark matter in a shady Universe. They spend billions on dark material or dark energy research but not a single dime goes the way of finding out when the moon and the earth will have a gravitational self-destruction event.

Do you not find that very odd. or is it just I wondering about what? Someone in science thought it was worthwhile to study what would happen in the very solar end when the sun

comes to a final exploding conclusion



Someone in science took time to bother with the end of the solar system and what will be the applying conditions during the finale era of our sun having a solar system. They even measured how big and how red the sun would be in the end but never do they bother about what is more obvious, when will the earth and moon get together. That seems very odd and suspicious to the less informed.

Science goes even much further. They sequestered Albert Einstein to measure all the mass in the entire Universe to find out when will the Universe start contracting and come to the end of its life cycle in accordance to Newton's gravitational pulling principles. There is no limits or ends to which they will not go to find the end or bring finality to whatever they try to establish. To measure the end of the Universe is going much further than to establish when the earth and the moon will meet their gravitational destiny, with Newton and his theory of mass pulling mass so fundamentally proven. But there is no end to their resolution for they never stop with their inquest. When the Critical Density Investigation did not deliver the results that would bring satisfaction, they went in search of Dark Matter. I am going to go much deeper into the Critical Density and the search for Dark Matter later on in the book.

Think of what effort it took and still takes to find the reason why the earth is expanding and what human effort went into that. They are relentless in their quest to find answers. Yet, when it comes to the time when the moon and the earth will finally collide we find only silence. It is the sort of silence I describe when I pointed out what to look for when one goes in search of a conspiracy. I find not even a whisper.

On earth nothing are kept to rest in peace. They run probes from the Artic ice fields all the way down to Antarctica. The search for information never ceases and the lust they have for knowledge never ends, except when we get to the issue of the moon colliding with the earth. In that matter the thought is never even realised and the question serving the silence is: "Why not and why never a word about this matter?" Is nobody at least interested what will occur the final days when the moon meets the earth in a full moon?



They have probes to detect tsunamis that will only devastate regions horrible, as it is it can't compare to the moon hitting the earth. There will be waves every day generated by the gravitational increase of the nearing moon that you now would associate with the worst tsunami occurrences, which would then become the mild everyday waves found on the beach. The sea will become a wild devastating machine that pulls all land into the sea with waves going as high as a thousand meters and much more. This is the scenario waiting on us holding life and no research is going into trying to predict when this is going to happen. They research tsunamis in detail but no one place on earth could be considered as safe when the moon lands on earth. Such waves will draw mountain ranges into the sea and destroy giant areas into water-wastelands. Think of the crushing force of one such a wave and then the moon is only still coming our way. Long before humans would feel the demise there will be no human left to experience the demise. That means that the sooner we come to terms with what waits on us the more time we have.

Building caves in mountains would not help because the massive waves will get us there in the final event. It is just a thought but why is there such a surge to investigate possible occupation of Mars? There is no spot on earth that will remain safe so where will those that hold the money and the power go when they need a safe haven. What would be the most obvious reason be to keep order and keep the money flowing and for what purpose would they wish to keep the money flowing?

Think about it this way, if the rich and the powerful could take all of the tax money of all the lesser human beings and start to build on Mars under the disguise of research, then when the doomsday arrives those being the fortunate, the powerful, the influential and the law makers will have somewhere to go to escape, leaving the less worthy to take the moon head on! There was an urge to get to the moon and when they got there then afterwards the interest in the moon fell to an all time low. Then those in investigating investigated all the planets without showing the least interest in what the moon might hold. Then suddenly from the blue comes some hysteric research about Mars, just after someone discovered possible prehistoric semblance of life on Mars. Why would everybody on earth now be dreaming about life on Mars. and why would all of man suddenly benefit from exploring possibilities of life extending to Mars?

If they study and find this event is still far off into the future, then do the study and tell us. If they do the study and see it is going to happen in three or four generations from now, then include us into the plans of becoming Martians or say who will be left behind and on what merit is the choice made, but for God sake, do studies and tell us, that is if we could trust Newton's physics of mass that pulls mass.

The conspiracy is in the silence of. It is not in hiding or not telling us how much the moon is coming closer but it is in the "not coming closer" that the conspiracy hides the truth.

If the **Fundamental of Science** according to Newton is **correct** then there is only one way the earth

could find its final destiny, it is when **the moon** will hit the **earth** and with doing that **destroy all** forms of **life** in the collision. According to Newton $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ the moon and the Earth are in a tug of war and the two are pulling each other closer. That is according to Newton's formula

The Fundamental of Science says that the mass of bodies attract.

Then there is one question more important than all other science research put together

Newton came up with this novel idea about a little more than three hundred years ago. What this idea says is that every object has mass and each object pulls all other objects by the value of the mass that every individual object has.

Teachings say that the moon is pulling the earth as much as the earth is pulling the moon. All teachers in physics in learning institutions teach students this and by culture every student believes this without condition or question. If I say this is rubbish no one believes me.

This concept is so engraved into our culture we have to except this without question to a point that when I say this is not happening, it then is I that has to deliver the proof of this concept being total void of truth and is complete incorrectness.

Newton was never tested before and I prove that, yet I have to prove Newton is incorrect!



I say gravity is the part that does not connect to mass and is the factor producing movement that brings on the intention of motion of all objects to carry on moving downwards, notwithstanding the blocking action or mass, which comes from intervention of the earth occupying space that stops further descending. Mass is not connected to gravity in the way as initiating gravity since mass comes in place when it stops the motion of moving downwards of the falling body.

When the body stops moving down further it where the concept starts of having mass. Mass is achieved by stopping and preventing further downwards motion by having a solid object fill the space with material that will intervene further movement of descending to a centre of the body having gravity and therefore gravity is in the performing of the descending motion. Then my Super-educated-Intellectuals feel threatened when I confront them by bursting this super intellectual demeanour they hide behind with a thought through idea of what gravity is in relation to how mass forms.

On the moon I have minimum mass (1/3 of what I have on earth), which must result in minimum gravity (again 1/3 of what I have on earth) if mass is what produces gravity. That means in space I must have no mass that produces micro gravity. They say mass is doing the pulling but then when there is no pulling it must mean there is no mass doing the "no-pulling". That is one part of the ongoing science conspiracy, changing the rules ongoing and never get to a point of understanding. If I have micro gravity I then must have no mass! Gravity is in turning the earth and this thrust downwards end in the body having mass when the thrust downwards can't move the body down any more but the intention of movement



remains. It is not you being glued or not being glued to the Earth that I discard when I question the mass idea. Mass forming weight is indisputable and that the conspirers called physicists know. It is the definition holding this whole idea about mass forming a force of a pulling magnitude that I do not share in the least. What the definition of a pulling force describes is magnets pulling onto iron and it is the total opposite of what I experience when I have mass. If it was produced by the formula Newtonian use it will be the breaking of the first millimetre of the gravity clampdown that would be the hardest to achieve whereas this first millimetre of lift is the easiest part and not the most difficult. The difficulty increases as the radius grows and not as the radius decreases.

When I say there is no mass that produces gravity everyone think I say we all are going to fall off the Earth and with me thinking that way then it is obvious that I must be a nut. Everyone thinks of me as the clown acting mad when I say mass is not to be found in the cosmos. I do not say we are not standing on the Earth where we obviously have mass, I say Jupiter and the other planets can't have mass because I can't find mass playing any role. I do not say there is nothing that is keeping me glued to the earth. I say there is no attraction between two bodies by the force of the mass that is such doing is diminishing the radius parting the bodies by the inverse square law. I say there are a connection by motion between the centre of he body and the material surrounding the centre. This is what I say when I say there is no gravity produced by the pulling power of a fictional force that mass would create.

Go to <http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/> and see the remedy I offer. The Universe has mass that is pulling mass towards one another and we are in the centre of an ever shrinking Universe. Then along came a man that had a good look at the Universe. The lot was growing apart. The Universe was growing by miles and not shrinking into nothing.

The man was E.P. Hubble. The world was expanding and not contracting which made the Universe quite wrong. Newton could never be wrong because Newton was never wrong yet. so if the Universe is out of step with science, then science will correct such an abnormality by finding a way to defraud science and postpone the correcting that the Universe had to comply with since the Universe owed the Master Newton some apology. Did the Universe not know that he whom never can be wrong is in name Isaac Newton! Decisive action was needed. When will the Universe confirm its incorrectness by affirming Newton's obvious correctness? When will the cosmos come clean and prove Newton correct.

Go to **QUESTIONING NEWTON'S PHYSICS PRINCIPLES Part 1 · 5** <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/questioning-newtons-physics-principles-part-1---S/7763188>



Looking at the astronaut float in space we believe there is a force of attraction between the mass of the earth and the mass of the person and this force of attraction is gravity. The mass must be present if Newton's principles apply. The man must have mass and the earth must have mass but it is without falling, so where is the pulling "force" of the mass in any of the above pictures?

This principle of gravity declares that a force of attraction by the value of mass of both solar objects is pulling to the value of mass in order to reduce the radius, therefore bringing the moon and the earth closer by reducing the distance between the two bodies by the square thereof. The body of the earth holds mass that pulls the mass of the body of the astronaut down by the force called gravity. This idea is not science but it is culture and is drummed into our minds like it is one of our living senses we have to adhere to.

This idea, being culture and not science is also the biggest lot of hogwash ever invented by man to scare the mindless many forming the greater thoughtless populous on earth. If this was the case, then the result of this must lead to an inevitable collision that must end the existence of both the earth as well as the moon. Let them and those with the ability to design space worms and space whirls and Black Holes now calculate when is this inevitable event is due and inform the human race when our final day of doom will arrive by using

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Newton's law of attraction or . This collision will end all form of life in the known Universe, it is that important to know. We have to know the day. However the god News is that the **moon coming towards the earth** is **not** the conspiracy under investigation but **what is the conspiracy** is the fact **that the moon is not coming close to the earth**.

That is what science is hiding from everyone.

Should you wish to read more arguments on these aspects then go to

For More about this aspect go to

A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY THEORY WEBSITE AT TWITTER

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-science-conspiracy-theory-website-at-twitter/7944133>

TWITTER'S SCIENCE CONSPIRACY @ LULU

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/twitters-science-conspiracy-website-%40-lulu/8027421>

Or in a more complex version as

A SCIENCE CONSPIRACY THEORY WEBSITE AT TWITTER

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/a-science-conspiracy-theory-website-at-twitter/7944133>

What does A CONSPIRACY to UPHOLD a SCAM mean and how would those most- honourable gentlemen with much integrity conducting science benefit from being dishonest about science I hear you ask in amazement and surprise?

There is no factor such as mass in the Universe. There is no evidence of a factor such as mass that holds any validity throughout the Universe. There is no proof that the Universe indicates the presence of gravity by the measure of mass forming a pulling power all the while science conducts an entire religiosity based on this falsified belief, where any such notion is falsified truth.

Using science based on the idea that there is a pulling force such as mass forming gravity is as valid as giving Snow White seven dwarfs and then beginning a religion on that basis. There is a factor such as weight but there is no pulling of anything towards anything by magical forces forming gravity or whatever.

There is a conspiracy of conducting fraud by claiming non- existing forces but according to nature such claims are utterly fraudulent. I have been trying for twelve years to introduce the true forming of gravity but all Physicists I have encountered prevented me from doing so. My work uses what we find in nature which is what they up to now could never explain since what there is in nature clashes with Newton and compromises Newton's philosophy. They stop me because my work makes Newtonian science utter rubbish and when removing the notion that a pulling force of gravity works by the value of mass, most of their work becomes science fiction that falls apart in substance.

Read this and see how **students in physics** are methodically **brainwashed** to get the students to believe in the absolute accuracy of science. Professors and teachers participate knowingly or unknowingly in this thought manipulation process by means of conducting **mind control**. By applying this **mind-altering process** those teaching physics ensure they subdue students into becoming mind-altered zombies. It is a process going on for centuries and which without science would have no foot to stand on in the modern environment. By presenting incorrect, falsified or unproven facts and other untruths as proven truth they exert **thought control** and thereby change the student's ability to appreciate what is correct and believable logic and then force students to discard such judgement ability in favour of accepting the institutionalised untested norms and values of science in order to unequivocally believe in science. The accepted teaching methods force students to comply by compromising their better judgment and then systematically to capitulate under teacher pressure by making their own what science prescribes what should be believed. I prove this and you get this for free so what have you got to lose. **but you can get wise to what forms a better understanding about science!** By using the building blocks that forms the Universe I take you back to the instant the Universe started and I show you how the Universe fits like a jigsaw puzzle.

If you think my accusations are baseless or the ravings of a madman then go on and

download what you have opened and read for yourself. What you download is free and I do not benefit financially from this explanation I present to you.

This forms part of www.singularityrelevancy.com from Lulu free of charge

For more about the conspiracy also visit www.questionablescience.net or
<http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/> and
www.sirnewtonsfraud.com

WHOMITMAYCONCERN,

I am P.S.J Schutte, nicknamed Peet. Being a white South African my mother tongue is **Afrikaans** and my second language is **English**. I have per suiting a new cosmic theory that I partly present in a six part theses, of which the investigating research began in 1977. First I located what was wrong in physics. I compiled my presentation of The theses called **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** and then six separate thesis parts forming the theses published through **LULU.com** which I saw as way the only manner whereby I could generate funding by which I would be able to have the twenty seven books I already wrote linguistically edited and then to have the books published on a Print-On-Demand basis. I compiled a new cosmic theory by which I eliminated all the incorrectness that Newton has burdened science with but with this being my opinion I did not find a garage full of academics supporters waiting to applaud me and to uphold my views on the matter. Yet still I was not going to be ambushed by their relentless stonewalling my efforts and blocking my efforts in introducing both the incorrectness and the new cosmic theorem I concluded. Their blocking convinced me about a Conspiracy in Science in Progress and this spurred me on to tell the entire world about their brainwashing of the minds of students. This kept me busy for the past going on to twelve years on full time basis whereby I was trying to introduce my findings to many academics without finding much joy from my efforts.

This past eleven years plus saw me go without any income as I tried to get my theorem recognised as well as get my warning noted. Going without a steady income left me almost destitute and in order to find a manner to get my theory across to the attention of influential readers, I decided to publish a theses of six books electronically as to try and get around the stranglehold of Newtonian bias controlling science at present worldwide. I decided to publish electronically which those in power do not control.

With my first language not English and the books not linguistically checked by an expert there are bound to be language errors that readers will notice. In the past I tried to check my work myself but after checking say one hundred and fifty pages for language corrections, then after days of toiling instead of having corrected work I ended having four hundred pages of newly written information which is still not linguistically corrected but holds a lot more information. The language and spelling errors compiled instead of reduced. This is because my priorities lie elsewhere. I aim to spend money on correcting the work as far as language goes, as I receive money in the selling of my theses and in the hope that I will receive money. I will have all my work including the one you are reading edited professionally and corrected as I find money to do so...But first I have to get the public aware of the problem

to get the academics to appreciate the problem.

Please note that I do find much pride in my status as being Afrikaner and would like to have my names used by pronouncing it in the manner Afrikaans dictates. therefore I would sincerely appreciate the courtesy when readers will take note that my name and last name are pronounced in **Afrikaans**, which is originally from Dutch and must be pronounced that way. Peet one would pronounce "**here**" which is the closest English to the pronouncing of the "**ee**". The "**Sch**" in **Schutte** is pronounced e where both actually are pronounced **Skutte** or "**skool**". By pronouncing my name in ool is ou do me the utmost courtesy any one can. Being an Afrikaner is what I am most proud of. If you have been a scholar at school you would know how to pronounce Schutte.

I always submit articles to well known physics magazines but my articles are rejected on the most unappeasable grounds and for the most outrageously ridiculous reasons the Newtonians can think of. I explain how gravity forms but I am rejected because they are of the opinion that my work does not meet an acceptable level of standard since I am at odds with the way science in the present think about gravity.

I say and I prove there is no such a thing as "mass" with the ability to "pull" anything. I do not say a person does not have weight but I say no person has "mass" that brings about a force that pulls anything closer by using gravity. According to the Big Bang principle everything is growing and moving "further apart" and therefore there is no "mass" pulling anything closer according to "body mass" because nothing has "body mass". But my views are rejected because my views clash with the wisdom of **the Masters forming the principles that direct the thinking of science in science according to science**. If you want to read how corrupt the thinking is in science then read on but do so with the sole purpose to prove me wrong. That is one thing not one of the **Masters forming the principles that direct the thinking of science in science according to science** carrying all the wisdom they can manage could ever do!

If I made a statement that Newton is wrong about gravity, which person would believe me? If I said that everyone in science are aware that the formula on which all science are based

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

is as false as

a politicians' honour, this fact will then come as an astonishing surprise to everyone. This

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

is the formula judged to form the basis on which the entirety of physics rests. Yet,

nothing can be more inaccurate than this formula that science form its entire basis on. As students learn this formula off by heart it starts off a brainwashing process and this procedure becomes everything that science represents. Should students not repeat this formula as the gospel truth as if it forms the only representing accuracy found in nature, that student will be sent off brand marked not to be capable of understanding the fundamental basis of science. The presumption is that the Universe has mass that is pulling mass towards one another and we are in the centre of an ever shrinking Universe. That is what this

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Formula represents That is what the lot of us think can see. we think we are forming the

centre of the ever contracting cosmos where every Newtonian can vividly see with his or her eyes through any telescope that all Newtonian minded scientists are sharing the centre stage of the ever collapsing Universe. The Universe is about to end where all mass contracts into one huge lump of material or that are what Newtonian science says.

In the books on offer through this web page and in which I am introducing a totally new concept in terms of gravity, the proof I bring is true about gravity being formed as a result of these phenomena. In the past science hardly recognised the existence of such phenomena although they are known to science for centuries. They are known as

1)The Lagrangiansystem

2)The Rochelimit

3)The TitiusBodelaw

4)The Coandaffect.

However, since the explanations that I provide holds a completely new line of thought, there are just too many and too numerous wide ranging facts behind that which forms the complete picture as a whole, this leaves me unable to include a full introduction in a space as small as that which a web page will allow. The explaining of such a totally new approach includes for instance those phenomena science this far failed to understand and which I have named as **the four cosmic pillars**. With these facts being altogether new to science, I find academics showing very little willingness to consider the acceptable value thereof. I recon it must be the result of science seeing so many idle explanations in the past and

then proving to be senseless as much as being little impressive, therefore my mentioning it without bringing and substantiating proof will be fruitless and counter productive.

I found the manner in which to interpret Kepler's formula as $a^3 = kT^2$ and I found that when dealing with Kepler's formula, we should not see a^3 as space but we should see singularity being positioned in space in relation to singularity forming relevancies. What brought the answers was putting singularity in context with . Doing that placed me in the position to discover what gravity is and how gravity operates to form the Universe. I saw that Kepler's formula should instead use . By placing in relation to gravity I manage to find an explanation for the four cosmic phenomena. Everything that has anything to do with

gravity forms a circle albeit that it is called the curvature of space-time or gravity bending light or forming a round galactica, the connecting factor is gravity which implements . Gravity or another name used to call gravity would be time is running on the measure of and every aspect of cosmology integrates as the basic concept on which cosmology is founded.

Because my views do not echo the commendable praise attributed to the greatness by which Newton is commemorated, my work is purposely and very much wilfully poorly received in the world of physics and astrophysics and by that I find very little willingness in any understanding shown in the ranks of Newtonian science. This work contains ideas about the **introducing of a totally new concept** on explaining for the first time ever the **working principles of gravity**, a matter that eluded Newton no less. I decided to offer four books that introduce the explaining of these concepts in e-book format. This method of publishing rests totally on a financial basis. I tried to introduce the four phenomena as a concept by using a web page but found such introduction is far too comprehensive in having just too many and numerous wide ranging facts that form the complete picture as a whole to be comprehensibly appreciable, and therefore on account of that realisation that I was unable to include a full introduction in a space as small as that which a web page will allow, it gave me the idea of **introducing this new concept via electronic publishing**. As my other books I sell by printing are all hundreds to thousands of Mega Bites of information, I had to revise the layout where each is to have fewer than twenty mega bites. This motivated me to only introduce the concepts in **producing small books** that then could be sold via the electronic publishing media as **to allow persons to first acquaint themselves about the viability of the concepts and the feasibility** of this new approach I introduce. If any person shows interest in finding out more about any of the books, please **click on the book of interest** and discover something in science no one yet has ever heard about.

The main issue of finding the value and the meaning of **the four phenomena** was to **connect gravity to**

. **Gravity is much closer connected** and is much **more intimately related to** than it ever can be linked with mass. By giving each of **the phenomena a measured value in terms of** solved every riddle connected to the phenomena and not only did the phenomena become purposely clear but also the working principle gravity.

I prove that gravity is and the four cosmic phenomena forms gravity by producing when objects move in relation to each other.

By using the above **the four cosmic pillars**, it enable me to **present the proof** where I now can

explain what conditions bring on the **sound barrier**. By proving it is **gravity that** the individual structure generates motion above and beyond the gravity the Earth provide is what is producing individual **motion** that the independent object earned within **the sphere of motion that the Earth's gravity provides** where the **independent and individual motion** put the relevance that gravity has beyond the conserving means gravity has **where** the space **that is serving the** independent object **is** independently in motion. **The** adding **to the** independence **on top of the** normal structural independence **is creating** more individualism **by the** independent motion of **the** individual structure **being** apart from **the motion that the** gravity of the Earth **provides**.



This picture represents a planet with the rings showing how gravity form by the four cosmic principles named as the 1) The Lagrangian system 2) The Roche limit 3) The Titius Bode law 4) The Coanda affect and every principle is visibly present. It is a factor of mass that is absent. Also there is no indication of anything pulling anything closer because the rings remain at specific distances as the rings have since the rings formed around the planet. If mass was present and formed a pulling power the planet had to have absorbed all the rings millions of years ago and no trace of any rings would be left.

- 1) I found the location, position of singularity as a factor forming space-time
- 2) I found space-time by dissecting Kepler's formula in relation to valuing singularity as
- 3) I not only found but I also proved space-time by showing how movement forms
- 4) I found that the working principals behind gravity as a cosmic occurrence are forming
- 5) I found the reason for the Roche limit and explaining the resulting of that in relation to .
- 6) I found out why the Lagrangian system, becomes as the building form of the Universe.
- 7) I found why the Titius Bode law mathematically provides the foundation of .

By proving that the Coanda affect is gravity assisted by the other three phenomena and thereby through activating singularity it formed space-time, I was able to link gravity with singularity in applying the measured value of what forms and then I could link singularity to gravity by the forming of the value of as gravity. That I managed by seeing that it is the way that forms that gravity also forms.

The fact every one misses is that any structure that is not part of the earth crust in the structure has own gravity that is stronger than that of the Earth which provides the independent individuality the structure with unique structural space has. The gravity of the Earth strive to incorporate all in the sphere the Earth has into the structure the Earth has and therefore the fact that the structure that forms a factor Newton called mass is not incorporated as yet, shows defiance and individuality in the first place.

There are three ranges of books I put in place in order to inform as many person as I possibly can. Some people can cope with 10 new facts and no more while others can cope with fifty new facts. This is not a sign if stupidity. A sign of stupidity is to believe there is a factor such

as mass that control the Universe when there is no proof of such a factor at all in the Universe. Some people can manage to relate to 500 new facts in one book. This too is not a sign of cleverness but shows only mental development. Too have the insight to see that gravity is not formed by weight or mass is what shows development. The mental development is the same as all development. One does not send an athlete to the Olympic games without physical development. Just because one person runs the 100 meter in 20 seconds and the other runs it in 10 does not make the slower runner less of a person or the faster runner more of a person. The fact that a person can run and another person like I now am because of health reasons can't run at all

makes me a lesser able person, but not lesser person. Before I became handicapped I could run averagely quick. Now with my diabetes ruining my legs I can't run, but it does not make me lesser person, it makes me someone that can walk with a lesser stride. The same goes for persons that did not develop to understand a thousand facts all in one go. For those that cope with understanding and appreciating less facts I offer books I call a website that shows lesser information but overall not lesser facts.

Important too realise is the fact that the Universe can't contract as Newtonians say it does while expanding according to the Big Bang principle. Not being able to see this is a contradiction and that this can't apply is evidence of stupidity. To think that "missing mass" can hide in the wind and later bring about a turn around allowing the expanding of the Universe is a sign of disparity and not seeing the disparity shows complete mental stupidity and a lack of understanding anything realistic. That shows stupidity having a total absence of mental ability. .And guess who are those that are stupid enough not to see there is no mass anywhere in the cosmos and those that cant see something can't contract and expand at the same time, it is those that think they are cleverer than God and they can redesign the Universe and change what they don't like about the Universe by applying their mathematical skills they perceive to have whenever it pleases them. Not seeing reality and not coping with what applies is representing stupidity.

- 1 is ISBN 978-1-920430-41-2, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 1
- 2 is ISBN 978-1-920430-42-9, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 2
- 3 is ISBN 978-1-920430-43-6, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 3
- 4 is ISBN 978-1-920430-44-3, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 4
- 5 is ISBN 978-1-920430-45-0, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 5
- 6 is ISBN 978-1-920430-46-7, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 6
- 7 is ISBN 978-1-920430-47-4, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 7
- 8 is ISBN 978-1-920430-48-1, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 8
- 9 is ISBN 978-1-920430-49-8, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 9
- 10 is ISBN 978-1-920430-50-4, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 10
- 11 is ISBN 978-1-920430-51-1, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 11
- 12 is ISBN 978-1-920430-52-8, which is A CONSPIRACY WEBSITE # 12

These are mostly under 30 pages.

- ISBN978-1-920430-00-9,whichisSCIENCECONSPIRACYWEBSITE1**
- ISBN978-1-920430-01-6,whichisSCIENCECONSPIRACYWEBSITE2**
- ISBN978-1-920430-02-3,whichisSCIENCECONSPIRACYWEBSITE3**
- ISBN978-1-920430-03-0,whichisSCIENCECONSPIRACYWEBSITE4**
- ISBN978-1-920430-04-7,whichisSCIENCECONSPIRACYWEBSITE5**

These are mostly about 50 pages.

Thentherearealso

- ISBN978-1-920430-09-2,whichisASCIENCECONSPIRACY1**
- ISBN978-1-920430-10-8,whichisASCIENCECONSPIRACY2**
- ISBN978-1-920430-11-5,whichisASCIENCECONSPIRACY3**

ISBN978-1-920430-12-2, which is ASCIENCE CONSPIRACY 4

ISBN978-1-920430-13-9, which is ASCIENCE CONSPIRACY 5

ISBN978-1-920430-14-6, which is ASCIENCE CONSPIRACY 6

These are mostly over 50 pages.

The above books are available from Google and culminated as


a CONSPIRACY in SCIENCE in PROGRESS ISBN978-1-920430-05-4

and also available as

A CONSPIRACY TO COMMIT FRAUD ON A COSMIC SCALE ISBN978-1-920430-07-8

email: Mailto: <mailto:info@questionablescience.net> Or order@sirnewtonsfraud.com

Now at this point up to now we have speculated about how a science conspiracy is not presented let's see how a science conspiracy is conducted. Now it is most revealing but very little publicised that there will be no moon | earth coming together. It is spoken of but not a lot and when it is spoken of it is told in a matter-of-fact-hushed-up sort of way. Has any reader seen this was front-page news lately? Big banners reading: ***the moon is departing and not coming closer and therefore Newton is absolutely wrong and physicist has no idea about physics***. No it is very slightly and even more sparsely spoken of. That confirms a conspiracy to hide something. However there are conspiracies in place to hide conspiracies in place that would cover for conspiracies and the entire thing becomes an onion with layers enough to drive you to tears. In the background hidden under many conspiracies there lurks a Mother Conspiracies and the purpose of all conspiracies is to cover the Mother Conspiracy.



When last did you see on TV on the six o'clock news the headlines shouting out: **It has been confirmed by NASA that the Moon's orbital distance** is increasing at a rate of 3.8 cm per year of about 3--4 cm/yr. Has any one physicist announced that the moon will not hit the earth and why this will not happen is because the moon is parting from the Earth. The moon was much closer in the past but they hide the fact that the Moon's orbital distance is increasing at a rate of 3.8 cm per year? If you saw it on any news channel please let me know. I think this news is much more appropriate to be designated as headline news than the declassification of Pluto from planet status to orbiting rock fragment. But this news will never reach headlines. Neither will the news become evening headlines that the earth is increasing the

circumference every year. What would the purpose be of hiding this truth from the public, it is insignificant? The reason is the Mother Conspiracy, which I will explain later on.

The distance between the moon and the earth is not reducing but it is increasing! Save that for front-page news. What such an announcement would shout out loud is that the Universe is not contracting by the value of mass as Newton anticipated, but it is increasing by a value that I have determined. This is my storey about the conspiracy storey. In order to accept my calculations, one has to reject Newton's law of attraction just as much as the cosmos rejects Newton's law of attraction.

Every child at school is forced to believe in the formula Newton introduced when Newton

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

saw his apple fall from the tree. It is where it is believed that the mass of the earth pulls the mass of the apple because the mass of the earth is pulling the mass of the moon because the mass of the sun is pulling the mass of the earth. If the moon is not falling, the sun is not falling so how does the apple fall? Never will this be on TV news just because according to Newtonian principle there is attraction by the force of gravity to the value of mass. Nevertheless, NASA confirms the moon and earth is parting in a way that increases the distance between the two solar systems. Knowing this proves physicist's stupidity and lack of fundamental understanding about physics. So one conspiracy was improvised to stop this from becoming public knowledge. It is called the Critical Density Theory and to form blind spats an important name was placed in the centre. It was Albert Einstein that was supposedly the chosen one to correct the wrong doings of the cosmos.

There was one culprit that was responsible for this information getting everyday news and he was E.P. Hubble. Before Hubble got so outspoken about his findings the entire world of physics new they were bullshitting the public blind about Newton's anomalies but was getting away with it for centuries. Just go and question "Kepler's" laws that Newton founded and you can see how far Newton was off the track.

E.P. Hubble saw through his telescope that the Universe was moving apart. Before this everybody agreed with Newton that the Universe was contracting under the load of mass. Newton saw the moon coming close by the value of mass as the earth was getting closer to the sun by the value of mass and to be

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

equal to God those intellectuals could just apply and redesign the entire Universe

according to each ones liking. If you had the mass you could gauge when the Universe began. If you had the mass you could gage when the Universe will end. By having the mass one would find the force that drove the moon towards the earth. Before the event of Newton's miraculous discovery of forces driving planets around the sun to the tune of gravity, this was mainly God's prerogative to have such knowledge. No one gave a fart about understanding anything this formula said because if they did they would know the correctness thereof was a

joke. So with nobody having the intellect to argue the correctness thereof, it was considered brilliant just to pretend one does understand and then become a member of the Brainy Bunch society ruling physics. All one needs is to pretend to understand and believe that mass pulls mass and then you are as clever as Newton and everyone knows Newton is bloody clever so anyone believing the Universe is contracting by the measure of mass that forms gravity places such a person equal to God's intellect. That way all that anyone is asked for when to

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

be thought of, as being clever is to repeat after Newton that is the formula on which the entire Universe stands by the principle of physics. How easy could it be to be so smart you think of your position as equal to God's position. No one dare to argue with you because you have Newton backing you and only insanely stupid or mentally handicapped will dare to argue with Newton about mass having pulling forces going around as gravity.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Newton never proved that was correct but since nobody understood whether it was correct or not nobody gave a blue apes virtue about the concept of correctness. This illusion was ending and it came with a bang that broke a two hundred year conspiracy, which guaranteed silence.



Hubble saw the Universe expanding while Newton said the Universe was contracting. Then along comes this man Hubble. All Hubble had to do is to tell those not believing him to look through his enormous telescope so keeping Hubble quiet was not easy and discrediting Hubble would be most stupid.

All you have to be is to be stupid enough not to ask silly questions and then you are brilliant. Be a Newtonian and follow your leader blindly with no questions asked. Everyone would be so amazed with your brilliance they will think of you as one of the Brainy Bunch. People will stare at you in awe and all you have to do is to believe Newton and believe in science. Now Hubble went along and burst this friendly little bubble by his unasked for discovery of the expanding.


Then along came this man Hubble with his large telescope. Everything was going well and

everybody was enjoying being clever as long as you were stupid enough to be a Newtonian. Then this man unveiled a fact that declassified Newton as a flawed worthless form of stupidity. The Universe expands and does not contract. Now once again only God was flawless with Newton flawed throughout. This the Brainy Bunch was not going to appreciate and this did not go down well with the most esteemed the Newtonians.

In order to cover up for Newton's misperception an entire variety of reasons are established, each accepted as a possible truth. The fact that Newton's principle goes begging never gets mentioned, although the only reason why it would never get mentioned is because it is the only valid conclusion and that they don't want. All other reasons they mention is overruled by Newton's principal of mass pulling. Mass pulling is the founding law that all other factors rest on. The earth slinging the Moon away can't be a factor because the mass of the earth is too great. The mass that pulls reduces the radius by the square.

The moon is moving away from the earth. Yes, have a look at all the theories presented by Newtonians on the web as to why this happens. It is the thrust, no, it is heat expansion, no, it is parting because of sea currents, no, and there is an innumerable many excuses why this is happening. Not one has to do with Newton being wrong!

They never take Newton's formula and apply the mass of both solar objects and see what the gravity is to see why the parting occurs. With the radius increasing the gravity must therefore reduce in pulling power because the distance determines the validity of the force by dividing into the multiplication of the mass.



This is the mathematical truth.as the dividing factor increases; the influence that the mass will project in

the formula $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ will diminish in respect to the growth of the distance. In that sense the gravity

force between the earth and the moon must reduce its ferocity therefore weaken. However, Newtonians only apply their ability to calculate and knowledge for the purpose of upholding Newton and never to provoke Newtonian liability by telling the truth. Never is there any mention of mathematical reality when mathematics is used. However this no one ever knew to be true outside of the intimate upper circles of physics, and this unmasking was to be prevented at all cost. A plan was to be devised because if the public found out Newton was a fraud all along and being Newtonian was the personification of stupidity the entire science world would come tumbling down on the heads of those most important Brainy Bunch.

No, better still, to save science a conspiracy was devised. The most intellectuals on earth had to cook up something and devise a plan to save their image and the name of Newton. The most intellectual minds concluded and fabricated to form a conspiracy to withhold the truth and forge a fraud that lasted almost ninety years to the day. Should anyone disagree with the term conspiracy, then please let me know what you would call what happened after Hubble's discovery became prominent on the news.

In the past it was accepted that only Newton and God never made a mistake and since the Brainy Bunch Newtonians were mostly atheistic or atheistically orientated they were not that sure about the credibility of God but the unquestionable accuracy of Newton those atheists were pretty sure. and now it seems Newton made a mistake. That just could not be. They would rather have God make the mistake than let it seem as if Newton was up to no good while Newton made the incredible mistake.

Then they allowed God to make the mistake. If the Universe was expanding it was God's fault. It sounds much better than have anyone think it is Newton's mistake. If the cosmos expanded while Newton said it must contract then this rebellious behaviour of the cosmos must end. They had to put the blame on the Universe and then ultimately on God for making such a mistake. It must be God that made the error.

The easiest is to put the blame onto God by finding the fault at the door of an uncompromising Universe. To make the conspiracy believable they had to conjoin a concocted story that would have every halfwit on earth believe it. What will make the Universe expand? It must be a lack of the something that makes the Universe contract. Not enough contracting solution will be the cause of the expanding. If it was mass that had the Universe contract in Newton's terms, then a lack of mass will lead to a shortfall in gravity and then the elasticity would not be enough so the elasticity would be tested and before the elasticity failed completely they had to get the Universe back on track. They saw a good measure of darkness splitting small bits of light. This must be it then. Who put that much darkness amongst that little Light?

If it was mass that should contract the Universe then it had to be not enough mass that would be to blame for the expanding of the Universe. Now to get someone credible enough in the eyes of the public yet foolish enough in ego to provide the cover for the conspiracy to work was another matter. The only candidate must be Albert Einstein. They had to get Albert Einstein to measure all the mass in the entire Universe. Now this is where the joke no longer seems funny. Only fools and idiots are going to fall for that. Look at the picture on the previous page and the picture above. This is unrecognisable small portions of a large and overwhelming large Universe. Who is keeping whom for a bloody fool?



Is there anybody that

will seriously try to convince me or any other sober-minded person that any human being can measure what is to be considered as mass even in the picture above? Go back to the previous page and look at is presented as material in that picture. Is any sanity left in the suggestion that any body may even think of attempting to calculate what is in such a small portion of a fragment of a sideshow of the Universe? Can there be any person in his right mind that will think he could have the ability to measure only what is in these pictures, let alone what might be available in the entire Universe? If anything can ever bear testimony of how mad those Newtonians got, then this must represent their total loss of mental coordination about what is reality and what is hallucination of a mind gone missing of reason. Their arrogance at that instant of deciding in following a direction grew into mindless stupidity.

Put the earth in any of these pictures and the task is senseless to perform. Put the sun in as a visible star and the task is ludicrous. Put the solar system in and still it will not show as a freckle. The entire Milky Way might come about as a speck somewhere, but not big enough to be noticed. Then tell me please how many Milky Ways might fit into this small part of a huge Universe. and Albert Einstein was prepared to measure the entire Universe. I know the formula he used but using that formula indicated just how lubricous the attempt was. Still, they say Einstein determined the average mass of the entire Universe. This is a small part of what he said he could achieve. This is a conspiracy as blatant as ever there was one. Believing this first require the drinking an bottle of rum and then getting high on a barrel of cannabis. Either they were fools or they thought the entire human race was brainless fools incapable of thoughts.



Fit any of Newton's

formulas to find either the mass in the entire picture or the force of gravity holding this lot together. For the mathematical genius Einstein said he was this shows he is pretty stupid in understanding the basics of physics. He tried to calculate the mass of every object. To use the formula he did use would bring no results so I am ignoring that wasteful attempt he tried in using the formula he did. If I explain that one in this book the exercise would become a comic or a funny and that I don't want. I try to give his attempt some realistic credibility for the sake of Einstein trying to achieve an effort worth some dignity.

However, all the blatant ignoring of human respect is not showed nor epitomised by this ludicrous ignoring of fellow human intellect because with what they tried to hide by showing what they did with what they tried to hide with that they got away. Everyone this far except me as far as I know never kicked against the critical density theory and therefore they were successful. Everyone that launched the conspiracy died with not one on earth being any the wiser about the role anyone had in this conspiracy! That is success storey in every sense as far as a conspiracy goes.

The crudeness that they got away with was to give the Universe the blame for Newton's misjudgement. It was that they succeeded to put the blame of the error on the part of the Universe. They turned the facts around that the expanding of the Universe was blamed and the cosmos. It therefore is to be understood that it is the Universe that has to mend its ways and correct the misconduct to befit what Newton held as correct. Newton can't take and therefore the blame of the Universe expanding goes to nature and all the while it has to contract in precisely the manner that Newton said it should. If it is not following Newton's orders then there has to be lost mass. Where the lost mass will go is beyond me but again the fact that the Universe could end also exceeds my understanding. But with the Universe being the wrong party there has to be mass that is unaccounted for. If they find the mass they can replace it (why else would they bother to locate the missing mass) and get the Universe back on tract and contracting once more.

Think how scandalously farfetched the presumption goes. Where in any of Newton's formula or cosmic principles is there any indication that there is a limit to the expanding in accordance to what Newton declared. The elasticity or stretch ability and the limit thereof they made up as they went along while formulating this conspiracy. The deduction they make is on the grounds of having a "flat" Universe that is "slowing" and losing momentum. This too is reckless deduction about singularity they have no perception of. They wanted the Universe to slow down so that the Universe would stand still and form a zero expanding change direction and then start to contract. Why would I have this conclusion? Because if $n = 2q_0 = (2/3\Lambda)(c^2/H^2)$ was correct the Universe had to have a limit. This idea is preposterous because with every end there has to be some beginning of something else. The cosmos cannot end because Kepler showed that every ending of a straight line is a circle and every circle follows as a straight line. In mathematical equations it reads as $a^3 = T^2k$ and then $a^3 I T^2 = k$ and $a^3 I k = T^2$. The formula reads as

$a^3 = T^2k$ where gravity is space a^3 that moves in a circle T^2 that goes straight k .

This means that every circle forms a straight line when an object orbits another object and every object in the Universe orbits some other object while being the centre of something else around which that object orbits. This is why the Universe can never end because going in a straight line puts such a straight line in an immediate circle. All driving in the cosmos be it steam, combustion engine or electric or even cosmic driving of orbiting objects has to be in a circle followed by a straight line followed by a circle and this continues indefinitely. The driving value of $a^3 I T^2 = k$ becomes $a^3 I k = T^2$ that becomes $a^3 I T^2 = k$ that becomes $a^3 I k = T^2$ repeating the process indefinitely. However reading this mathematical equation one see that movement T^2k of space a^3 centres around singularity k^0 because if space $a^3 =$ is equal to movement then movement T^2k forms space in singularity k^0 since $a^3 I T^2k = k^0$. **No object within the**

solar system that orbits the sun can ever leave the sun to go to another system of orbit. To be stronger than the centre of the sun k^0 the object has to create space a^3 by movement T^2k to overcome the gravity $a^3 I T^2k = k^0$ with which the sun holds the object in gravity. In this principle we find the eternal time position that captures space forever.



The planets in the solar system goes in a straight line and while they are going straight they divert from direction and circle around the sun at a specific location. This is energy distribution all work has to comply with. The wheel of the car turns as it goes straight down the road as

the car turns around the earth by going straight down the road as it goes straight with the earth as the earth turns around the sun as the sun goes straight to turn around the Milky Way and there will be more going straight while spinning as the picture grows. That is the way that all power drives because this movement connects time to space and that is one thing Newtonians have no idea about. they have no idea what time is in relation to what space is because in all of this we find singularity which is above anything any Newtonian this far could manage.

Energy distribution is $a^3 = T^2k$ where linear movement $a^3 \text{ I } T^2 = k$ depends entirely on circular movement $a^3 \text{ I } k = T^2$. By the way the most successful formula ever devised by any man is the formula Einstein came up with as $E = mc^2$. This should read $E^3 = mC^2$ and that is a translation of Kepler's formula of $a^3 = T^2k$ in that $E^3 = a^3, m = k$ and $C^2 = T^2$. This information they will never release because then they agree that the Newton idea of changing $a^3 = T^2k$ to $a^3 = T^2$ is very ridiculous and if Einstein redeployed it then it is Kepler's formula that proves to be the most successful formula ever devised. .And this formula is the

basic formula that Kepler received from the cosmos when he translated what the cosmos told him in mathematics to a verbal language used by man. Kepler had to translate cosmic mathematics into verbal language and Newton disagreed then about the finer detail the cosmos confirmed to Kepler. The cosmos said $a^3 = T^2k$ by using mathematical numbers to solidify the proof and remove any doubt where Newton then changed it to $a^3 = T^2$.

How bright did Newton think he was to change what the cosmos gave Kepler to something that befitted Newton's ideas about the cosmos? Newton's idea of $a^3 = T^2$ is as false as a three dollar bill because this means that all third dimensional values are equal to square values making $2^3 = 2^2$ which means that $8 = 4$

or that a flat surface is equal to the same surface being square. It also means that you being in three dimensions in front of your mirror looking at the reflection of the square image can have a debate with yourself while your image in the mirror replies on your argument. When this happens, don't call the police it is too late. Just jump from a building and make it easy on everyone including you. Newton's idea of $a^3 = T^2$ is clear that the man had no understanding of any mathematical principles. Using $(2/3A)(c^2/H^2)$ puts the entire Universe equal taking away development of space in time. It says that the quasar they see at this moment never changed in say the three billion years it took the light to get to us and the space holding material that they see is what there is at the very moment they see it. They never gave tolerance for Universal development. This is the crap you get when following Newton $a^3 = T^2$ without thinking. Then $(2/3A)(c^2/H^2)$ is ridiculous because every second space changes at any place in the Universe and that is just because the moon will never crash into the earth or the earth crash into the sun.

Another formula I wish to explain is

$$n = 2q_0 = (2/3A)(c^2/H^2) \text{ where .}$$

n = density

q_0 = Deceleration Parameter (*where they get that from only they would believe the fantasy*)

A = Cosmological Constant (*every spot in space has a different gravity value so how a Newtonian would*

get a constant applying is a fairy storey come true but that is Newtonian motto)

c = speed of light (can never have a fixed value because gravity slows down the speed of light even to become a minus as it is in a Black Hole)

H = Hubble Constant (this too is a dream Newtonians cook up to give Newton some form of legitimacy)

I am not going into this further because I have other books dealing with the issue but the so called Hubble constant has so many variations and changing values that they had to call a halt to the number of people investigating this field and all of those reached another totally different value. That throws the values connected to the q_0 = Deceleration Parameter, Λ = Cosmological Constant and the H = Hubble Constant out the window. You can cheat to reach a number but when honesty prevails the outcome is a joke. Even the speed of light is no constant because the very same Einstein proved that "gravity can bend the flow of light" and therefore light holds speeds according to the gravity applying in that specific part of the cosmos.


Should anyone wish to read about the working of singularity then download the book **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Website** it will serve as a starter. I do not wish to go past the understanding level that easy reading is required because then it would make this book only enjoyed by experts and that is the last thing I want. I want to unmask these crooks called the cosmic experts and the way they hide behind senseless mathematics.

Look how far I have been thrown off the track and in that we find the purpose and the success of any conspiracy. Get the argument to divert into a million other non-consequential arguments and in the end the conspiracy had success because nobody got anywhere. And in that I am a sucker every time but I learned to keep the argument heading on track again after such a diversion.

Now nobody would be surprised to learn that somehow Einstein found not enough material to bring about a contraction that would save Newton and get Newtonians to save face. Einstein did what he was told but clearly he was just a puppet in the conspiracy because he came back with the numbers that clearly showed the Universe was heading for eternal expanding in view of what they thought applied. He called his model the Open Universe as if there was a Closed Universe also as an option. I am not getting into that because I have devoted many pages in more serious books on this matter where I show how futile this view of the Universe is. There can be no open or closed Universe because open and closed brings about limits that has to stretch and anyone in view of a Universe with growing limits or confined limits are not looking at the Universe in which I live. We can't look at the Universe because we are inside as part of the Universe. We can't view space as if we are looking at space because the space we think we see is time in eternity developing as space but we have to look at space being time within space forming. We can't look at a quasar and say that is what it is. We have to look at a quasar and realise at the time we see the quasar that was what we were while we were at the time so small we were not even part of space or time. We also are at this instant in a developed quasar of which the ends we will never see forget about finding the ends to what holds the quasar. We are not God looking from above but we are humans looking from within what we are. We look not at space but at time because space is what history time left behind in light. We look at space and look at our history at a time we, or

something as small as the sun we regard as huge had no room to be within that what we look at because at that instant the sun did not exist that is how small the sun back then was. The Universe is not getting bigger but is getting smaller allowing small things within to get bigger in relevancy of growth.

Notwithstanding how impressive the mathematical reasoning is, there is one fundamental flaw in all the suggested possibilities. The Universe according to Newtonians can develop in three possible categories with two more being a cone and a sphere. I am not making it my task to promote or to argue the pros and the cons of each system because all these suggestions are ultimately completely flawed.




The Universe only has an inside and can never have an outside.

Every one of the pictures I present and the others I do not show have the Universe holding an outside. The Universe only has an inside in which I am. Time in singularity forms the outside and because space is the departure of infinity from eternity the space that we think we see is ultimately time and time never ends. Because what we see is time where space is the presentation of the history of time we see what we see without having an outside or a stop to what we can see. We are inside and looking at the smaller end we is looking towards a direction that has no end.

Looking at the Universe we look at the past. We can't see the future; we can only see where what we are in also were so many trillion years ago. But what we now see looking at galactica back in time was so small that what we now think of as big had no relevance to exist in terms of what then filled space. We can't look at a galactica and presume we hold equality in time. Trillions of years filled space from what we see to where we are. We are in time in the present but seeing the galactica does not put the galactica in the present. It puts us a trillion years back before there was any possibility for a sun and an earth to be at all with no chance back then of even finding a thought of life as a factor. We are in time in the present while what we see is the past we look at being in the present billion years ago. What we see grew as much as where we are and time moved on just as time moved on where we now are. We can't project anything into that space or situation and we can't project us into what we see because what we see had no "us" and what we have has evolved so much we can't even realise how it evolved. It's like looking at Julius Caesar and asking why did he not make radio contact with Rome just as he crossed the Rubicon. It could have settled the aftermath in so much better fashion. We can't ask why did Rome not put Attila the Hun under tank fire and prevent him from taking Rome because we know that in the future the tank will become the most decisive weapon man has invented. It is different eras and what we see is what we were and not where we are. If we were there we will still would be going trillions of years to get to where we are and it takes time to be going further from there to where we now are. We are in time and space forms the history of time as every instant go by.

Don't confuse time and space or space with time. When we look at the Universe we look at our past. That which I see is a hologram written in light of something that was that never again will be. It is not something as substantial in substance as the moon or Mars but is a thought God provides of an era long gone into the past. I can't look at the Universe in which I am because I am the Universe in which I am. Every atom is the end of a Universe and the start of the next Universe as Kepler said $a^3 = T^2k$ and those Universes made entirely of atoms are divided by time formed as space by the history of time. When we wish to look at the future we have to look into the darkness because we are heading in that direction. It is the darkness where we are going so we have to look at the darkness around us to try to see where we are going and also look at the darkness to see where we came from. We are a speck coming from the past being in darkness heading to the future also in darkness. Either we look at where we are and only find darkness going smaller and going bigger because that is where we are in the Universe or we look at a quasar and find a non-existing spot too small to be within the quasar and in that point where there is still no point in the quasar try to find our position while knowing we are too small too have any position in what the quasar was at that time a couple of trillion years ago. We did not have a position in the quasar back then and we now are in our own quasar but we are so small in the dark vastness we are undetectable within where we are. This issue is much too complex to debate in a book as light hearted as this because this issue borders on testing sanity and renders not the cosmic limit but our mental limit. To find where we are going we can't look to the past because we are the future of the past we are looking at when we gauge at space filled with material trillions of years ago. Where we now are we find our position are so small that wherever we look we find eternity covered in darkness surrounding us from everywhere.

But beware of a conspiracy because a good conspiracy has in it a program to allow any person to divert from the route of tracing the essence by starting to argue the content and then land in a completely new debate that has no essence of the conspiracy one wish to detect. So therefore let's get back to where we were before we were here. Let's catch the conspiracy as it ran pout of breath and into a new idea. Einstein came up with some bad news. He did not only saw a Universe expanding endlessly, he draw a catalogue of how the Universe will work. The man again did not soothe Newton's shortcoming but built an argument with models included to exacerbate the issue. Now they had to come up with a plan that will kill all further questions. They now longer could relay on Einstein because Einstein was cashing in on Newtonian despair. Remember, the Universe is supposedly going to crash into itself according to Newton and now these models all show a Universe doing exactly what the Universe should not do.



Again the incorrectness of every model is showing it has an outside. Outer space has not and can never have an outside. To correct the model we have to put where we are in the middle with as much going smaller that what is going larger. We will never see the smallest and we are unable to see the biggest. The sphere we search for forming is not formed as a result of outer space forming a spherical limit to space, as outer space is not representative in the largest structure because there is no largest structure. This is because the Universe has no outside.

The sphere that forms represents the smallest part, which is within singularity becoming space and forming space 3 by the movement 2 where that forms the sphere that forms singularity as singularity return the favour to form a sphere. In that we find the eternal value of space $^3 = ^2$ by the relevancy of singularity trapping space in the movement thereof. Everything forming space $= ^3 | ^2$ will loose all the space that movement forms $= ^3 | ^2$ when movement of space is lost. That is what happens in a Black Hole where movement of space stopped and time reclines directly into singularity producing the opposite of what the Big Bang represented. That is the Big Crunch not that big and not very crunchy where it all ends. The Black Hole is the smallest and the largest at the same time of what the Universe offers as an object filling space (or not filling space). In the Theses the explaining gets a lot more technical but now we have to get back to the conspiracy and show the silliness of

those hiding the conspiracy and posing the funny part as reality. The conspiracy and what it represents in information becomes a silly joke and it works, as a conspiracy because as far as I can trace I am the first not to be fooled by the fantasy of the feeble theory underwriting the serious part! All the models and theories show the Universe expanding while every one needs a Universe to contract. This was not helping Newton while in purpose it should be helping Newton. If everyone saw that Newton was a blubbering fool that was mistaken about the cosmic principles that the Newtonians underwrite as better-than-Evangelic-Gospel then they all were a pack of idiots that new nothing about what they professed that they know everything about. They had to get around this because now all theorists were ganging up to abandon the drowning ship representing Newton and came up with theories how the Universe work by expanding. All the models had one thing in common they didn't represent Newton's contracting principles because the growth supported Hubble by "being bigger", abandoning Newton's "getting smaller" idea.

In astronomy and cosmology, **dark matter** is a hypothetical form of matter that is undetectable by its emitted electromagnetic radiation, but whose presence can be inferred from gravitational effects on visible matter. This is totally fiction and is as fabricated as modern science could be. According to present observations of structures larger than galaxies, as well as Big Bang cosmology, dark matter and dark energy could account for the vast majority of the mass in the observable Universe. This means if they can't see it they can't show it and that is brilliant to fool all the sceptics.

Dark matter was postulated by Fritz Zwicky in 1934, to partially account for evidence of "missing mass" in the universe, including the rotational speeds of galaxies, gravitational lensing of background objects by galaxy clusters, and the temperature distribution of hot gas in galaxies and clusters of galaxies. Fritz Zwicky is the "Father of Dark Matter," coining the term itself, as well as gravitational lensing and the sky survey technique. He devised it but I can't say if he was part of the conspiracy or if his ideas were hijacked and misused by the conspirers. But in the end these ideas came in pretty handy to use in the rest of the conspiracy. Dark matter is believed to play a central role in structure formation and galaxy evolution, and has measurable effects on the anisotropy of the cosmic microwave background. All these lines of evidence suggest that galaxies, clusters of galaxies, and the Universe as a whole contain far more matter than that which interacts with electromagnetic radiation: the remainder is frequently called the "dark matter component," even though there is a small amount of baryonic dark matter. The largest part of dark matter, which does not interact with electromagnetic radiation, is not only "dark" but also, by definition, utterly transparent. Most impressive but here is the catch... If they are asked to show it they already admit they can't. because it is utterly transparent. If they are asked to prove it they already admit it is illusive and therefore they can't utterly transparent. They can make up the story on the trod as we run along because no one can prove them wrong because they can't prove they are correct.

The vast majority of the dark matter in the Universe is believed to be nonbaryonic, which means that it contains no atoms and that it does not interact with ordinary matter via electromagnetic forces. The nonbaryonic dark matter includes neutrinos, and possibly hypothetical entities such as axions, or supersymmetric particles. Unlike baryonic dark matter,

nonbaryonic dark matter does not contribute to the formation of the elements in the early Universe ("big bang nucleosynthesis") and so its presence is revealed only via its gravitational attraction. In addition, if the particles of which it is composed are supersymmetric, they can undergo annihilation interactions with themselves resulting in observable by-products such as photons and neutrinos ("indirect detection").

This is the same as saying there are "anti matter" eating up matter. If they are asked to say what is "anti matter" or what is "anti matter" made of they can't say. It is a name and naming nonsense is a great Newtonian pastime. They do it to relax and to become social with other Newtonians, which may or may not be part of some mating ritual. If you named something you then it is as if you explained something because naming it and creating mathematical formula goes hand in hand. There is no need to be realistic because the end of any Newtonian intellectual capacity is to give a mind blowing mathematical formula of which the practicality remains a mystery and then give it a name. The name must be so impressive that just to remember it would take up all the effort any Newtonian has in reserve so getting to the point of proving it taxes the Newtonian's stamina beyond breaking limits and then there is no need for it.

One thing it does not answer is if the **dark matter** does have mass it must have pulling power as gravity. Then what is the **dark matter** waiting for to unleash the gravity by mass to pull the Universe back into forming contraction. Why is it not pulling now if it is going to pull at all? Either it pulls by mass or it does not pull by mass but it can't have some retarding switch that will kick in at a time when it pleases the Newton's. What makes the **dark matter** slumber mysteriously while waiting to jump on the poor defenceless little Universe and force it to comply with Newton once more. No one can prove the **dark matter** is not there since no one can prove it is there. This is how one goes about to devise a conspiracy. You keep it quiet and while everyone smells a dead rat but no one even thinks of looking in the right direction. The conspiracy is a success if everyone accuses anything but detect the true conspiracy.

I am Petrus Stephanus Jacobus Schutte going by the nickname of Peet and I am a married male, with a sane mind and I hold very sober habits being a lifelong teetotaler therefore my mind is clear. When you read what you are about to read it is not the hallucination of a drunkard's mind.

I see myself as very responsible and am not well liked because of my straightforward personality where I say what needs to be said to maintain honesty above friendship and what I say is always not infusive or congenial to make friends. I wrap no feelings in cotton wool for the sake of peace.

I mention these facts to establish beforehand that I am not a danger to society. Although I am the only one in the entire world that disagrees with modern mainstream science I am not criminally insane. I would not attack your dog before your dog will bark at me because I hate your dog. I would rather attack you and not your dog after he barks at me just because I don't like you but I do like dogs. I say this to convince you that I have never been jailed in all my life on grounds that I attempted to mislead or fool or tried to mislead anyone, as you can see. I try hard to be honest

The proof of my honesty is that I am one of the poorest people in society. I write books that don't sell because I try to convince people about a mistake no one on earth is aware of and therefore no body

bloody cares except me. I am the only one that can see a mistake and seeing it in the full consequencethereofby what it holds to the entire human race it is frustrating me senseless. Take it from methat not selling books is not a very profitable enterprise and is frustrating at any level.

If I was less honest and went about bullshitting everyone about the accuracy that Newtonian science portrays in the modern era it would at least sell some books because some dinosaur Newtonian physicist would be pleased about it but then I was a cheat although I would have been richer but being as poor as I am I in favour of trying to be as honest, in that I am can't be a cheat.

I studied physics and in my first year of studies I came upon a mistake concerning physics. My roommate told me it was Newton that said what I disputed and I remember telling him I don't care who this Newton fellow is but he is wrong and ever since then I stuck to what I said. That discovery made me disagree with the establishment forming principles in physics. Later in time I have detected much more than a mistake. I have discovered a Pandora's box of mind manipulation and brainwashing going on to force students to accept science notwithstanding.

If you feel I am exaggerating or that I am a mentally impaired asylum escapee that found a writing pad, ink and paper and that I now start to scabble senseless suggestions to while away my social frustrations then I challenge you to prove that students are NOT being **brainwashed to **believe** what they are taught in **science**. I started off being likable and being nice but the deeper I delved into the conspiracy the less I could care about who thought what about what I said because I am going for the truth as hard as I can.**

If you are a student you better read on. and if you are a teacher I advise you to read and get wise in realising what you do. I am going to give facts that will support me in the claim that science is all about falsifying facts to prove what is crooked in order to make those that should know science look good while in fact those in physics and teaching physics know less about physics than does a cat know about opera.

This mistake is about the cosmic phenomena called gravity. Detecting the mistake is simple because it is uncomplicated to understand. Academics in Science say that a feather will fall with the same speed as what a large hammer would fall. It not so much what they say but it is about what they hide about physics. If you are a student in physics then this information you are about to read is most important. In the classes you attend in physics has any one confirmed a location where one might find the centre of the Universe? Have you been told how mass causes gravity to pull by force? Have you as a student in terms of the fact that you are being a student been informed how mass confirms gravity? What evokes the force that establishes the pulling that confirms the mass that produces the gravity?

If no one went to the trouble to tell you why, then isn't it about time that someone exerts himself to do the honours? On the other hand have you been asking what evokes the force that establishes the pulling that confirms the mass that produces the gravity? Why have you not gone to the trouble and just ask this simple question, its science.

It would be most interesting to hear the answers those lecturers will come up with since these questions I now put to you and as basic as these questions are in science, these questions have not found answers, up to now that is. I wrote a six part theses in which I found a means to define gravity. I did accomplish this for the first time ever since the time Newton introduced gravity. This is more than what Newton achieved and it is more than what the whole lot of Newtonians achieved in three hundred and fifty years. I could do that by accomplishing one thing all others thought not to be possible! Before I achieved finding what gravity is, I first had to find the centre of the Universe because it is there that anyone can locate gravity. I can now show how gravity forms because I detected the centre of the Universe to where gravity moves. Indecently it is also the very first spot where the Universe started at the very first moment when it started.

Is there any Newtonian applauding my effort and congratulating me on my achievement? If there is one such a Newtonian that Newtonian still awaits birth. I couldn't find one Newtonian even being prepared to read what I have to say about what they have nothing to say about. I could therefore not locate one publisher that was prepared to publish my work because before publishing they first have to read my work and no one was prepared to even glance at my work let alone read it intensively with publishing in mind. But I need to get the information out to everyone to get anyone to read my work. In achieving that I had to resort to private publishing because from the nature of my work I take Mainstream science head on and am confrontational on most aspects of astronomy including astrophysics and the founding principle guarding the authenticity of physics. If I say physics is a hoax made up of corrupted facts you then will stop reading and therefore would you blame any publisher that is not willing to come near my work?

To have a publisher backing me in order to publish my book the publisher had to find an academic prepared to back up my statements that Newton is a criminal that committed extensive scientific and mathematical fraud! In that sense there does not seem to be any publisher that wants to go head bashing with the Physics Custodian establishment of science on official science principles, which I have to do to convey my message in no uncertain language.

I argue that if it is the correct practise to have gravity to move to a centre then it should be such a centre one would have to locate. If gravity is what moves space towards the centre of the earth as the law of gravitational principle demands gravity must flow to the centre of the Universe. In that sense I followed where gravity leads and I located singularity, the point where gravity pulls the Universe "flat". Einstein was the one that said or proved that gravity pulls the Universe flat. If you don't believe me I located the place then explain what he says in this statement.

By merely putting gravity in the Universe by telling everyone that gravity is acting as a mysterious FORCE that is pulling towards a common point in an allocated general centre is rather avoiding the question with simplicity because the question about how and why remains unanswered. Not knowing the answer to where the centre of the Universe is, will leave any Academic physicist empty and not knowing is the same as suicide on a mental level. That is why you are primarily a student. We all are always students. Being a student is being in search of information and knowing you might never achieve the prime information in physics must be devastating to eager minds.

Ask yourself the following: If gravity pulls towards a centre and gravity holds the Universe attached the question arising from that simplistic answer is then .where is the centre of the Universe? Newton never found the answer. Newtonians took all of three hundred and fifty years not to find the answer. Do you wish to spend a lifetime searching and never find the answer? Then become the next generation of Newtonian Masters. However if you discard the falsifying of facts that I charge Newton with, physics will present you with an answer as we follow Kepler's lead.

Should you decide to download and read this book, it will bring along a new perception about Kepler. Science sees to it that Kepler stays the least appreciated Cosmologist where as in truth Kepler proved gravity, proved singularity, proved space-time, proved the Big Bang, proved every dynamic most of the wise persons afterwards thought about. Yet no one gave Kepler any recognition up to now because science denies Kepler his limelight. All they can see is the way Newton raped Kepler by falsifying everything Kepler introduced. All I had to do was correct Newton's changes to Kepler to find the answers.

Object of different sizes all fall equal as seen on television so many times. An army tank will fall as fast as the tank's corporal that fall with it while being either next to it or on top of it or in it the two object of much different mass would always fall equal when free falling. The corporal does not stay behind and watch his tank speed to the ground while he is following much slower and at a sizable distance behind. How can a large mass pull as equal as a small mass pulls to travel equal at the same speed over the same distance and still be driven by the power of mass creating gravity. Have you given this a thought?

Any object will float in outer space without showing any sign of mass. To cheat us as science always do about these matters science tell us that when any body floats in outer space the body has mass but the gravity is micro. That is as much a fabrication and a distortion of the truth as the entire mass idea. The body has maximum gravity and micro mass. The body

requires gravity or a movement of 11,7 km per second to counteract the gravity the Earth applies.

In order to find movement heat must apply. To escape from the earth a lot of heat must apply. To loft from the earth say in a balloon heat must apply and heat must be released to get airborne as well as get into outer space. The more heat is released the more any object will escape from the surface of the earth. In that sense heat is anti gravity because by releasing heat gravitational confinement is overcome. Expanding increases density therefore gravity is something about density! All the less dense particles float and all the dense particles fall. That connects to gravity

The faster the body moves the further will the orbit of the circling body be from the centre of the Earth and that also depends on motion or gravity. Being big or being small is not part of the requirement and therefore mass has no influence as a requirement. When the body starts to move slower the body starts to descend. Big or small does not matter and having mass or no mass does not matter. It is when the motion of the structure reduces to a speed that is below the rotating speed of the Earth that the body starts to plunge to the Earth. Galileo said all things fall equal and then all things can't fall by mass.

The falling or not falling and the escaping or not escaping only depends on the speed of motion and mass as a factor is only required in relation to the imagination of the physicist. While falling all objects show equal mass and having mass differences puts no extra load on any of the factors in any way. The buoyancy of all objects in the atmosphere depends on the speed in motion of the object. There are many other factors that come into play and all those factors forced me to write four different books on the subject where every book highlights different aspects that play an apart. The only aspect that plays no part is having mass or not having mass. Mass can't pull when all things fall equal as Galileo stated.

Pleasedo notthinkIamabletobringyou allIknowabouttheproblemwehave inscienceinthis small book.Ihave written37books so farand Ihave stillnotaddressedtheentireissue.

This information I present in this book does not tell the entire story even about the conspiracy.

Thisinformationdoes notbegintotellapartoftheentirestory.Thisinformationdoes noteven introduceapartofthebeginningoftheintroductionoftheentirestory.

Yet, in thirty plus years in science and through out the entire world of science I have not come across one individual inscience that shares my concerns about the problems with inscience and I am not aware of any person working or teaching or that holds a teacher's post in science on whatever level or working with whatever form of science who would admit to a problem in science and considering what I present, that constitutes to an academic worldwide cover-up when faced with the mountain of evidence I gathered. It still helps me nothing because of the conspiracy.

There is a deep, dark conspiracy hidden in physics that some willingly participate in, some absentmindedly participate in, some not being aware of the conspiracy but still very willingly participate in and other too stupid to realise they help to further the conspiracy just because they don't think of the consequences of their stupidity by which they promote the conspiracy.

In the end no matter why or how those teaching in science are involved the only action of importance is that students are brainwashed by methodical mind control and I challenge everyone on earth to show I am committing slander against science. Everyone in a teaching position is participating in absolute mind control by brainwashing students to believe science and this forms the conspiracy going on in science.

Should anyone not believe me, then don't believe me after you have read this book or another similar book A Conspiracy to Commit Fraud on a Cosmic Scale but is just much less technical and then still after you completed either of the books or both of the books then I dare you to hold the opinion that I am deforming the characters of those teaching physics. Prove to me after reading the evidence that there is not brainwashing going on to conspire against society.

No one in science would face up to the fact that there is a serious problem in science from school level through pre- and postgraduate up to nuclear science and astronomy or astrophysics. Science is in a denial about what they know and what they are oblivious to and this denial forms a conspiracy by which everyone in science controls all thinking of what forms the principles by which science is dictated.

I offer the solution whenever science would admit there is a problem but everyone in science is running away as fast as they can from the problem where they are unable to see the problem and if only they would, but they will not even stand still for one minute to look at the solution I offer.

There is another book also published by www.Free-eBooks.net

CS@Free-eBooks.net, Going under the Title heading of

A Conspiracy to Commit Fraud on a Cosmic Scale

Part of www.sirnewtonsfraud.com

<http://www.lulu.com/content/le-book/www.sirnewtonsfraud.com-part-2/18132511>

A Conspiracy to Commit Fraud on a Cosmic Scale informs about the same conspiracy but the detail used is much less in complexity and the focus is less on mathematical input while the overall focus provides on much more simpler verbal explaining. It is for those favouring less technical detail and broader information. The first objective in this book would be to establish what a conspiracy would be because everyone has his or her own idea about what forms a conspiracy?

Physics students, it is your duty to pull the plug on the powers of the All-Powerful Academics in Physics and stop their dishonesty. It is your task as the next physics generation to stop the criminals that are filling the corridors and the lecture halls of physics departments throughout

the world by acting as if they know all there is to know and all they know is to fool the next generation of students. Stop their teachings by forcing them to stop their criminal fraud. Force them to explain the deception such as the one they call THE CRITICAL DENSITY, which is a conspiracy to commit fraud. Let them explain how an expanding Universe can suddenly and abruptly turn in direction of developing and start to contract as Newton stated it is doing at present, and when facing all other concluding evidence showing that the Universe was expanding since time began they come up with the utmost unrealistic garbage only an idiot can devise. Tell them to bring proof with evidence that the cosmos is contracting as Newton said. In THE CRITICAL DENSITY conspiracy all they say is that they are waiting to see when the cosmos would stop its criminally insane behaviour and start to listen to the laws of Sir Isaac Newton. They shove all the blame of wrongdoing onto the cosmos and take away all error from Newton. If the cosmos does not contract as Newton said then when will the cosmos mend its ways and follow what Newton said and to start contracting! It is a conspiracy to cheat and lie and crook the human race in order to keep Newton untouched. With The Critical Density shambles the modern Newtonian set out to defraud the world in the same manner as their **Master Sir Isaac Newton** has done centuries ago. Newton said the cosmos is contracting. When Hubble proved the cosmos is not contracting, Newtonians looked where the cosmos went wrong by not following Newton guidelines he so clearly set the cosmos to follow. It has to contract and not expand. Those in academic positions fabricate non-existing material no one can detect to cover the real conspiracy they try to hide. When the argument arrives of contraction versus expanding they wall this down by referring to the search for a substance that can't exist and could never be detected. It is not the dark matter issue that is the real conspiracy but the dark matter forms a conspiracy to hide the facts that the true conspiracy covers up. It is this mother conspiracy I am gong to uncover and present.

These whom I named in Honour of **Sir Isaac Newton** as the are the guard of the **Newtonian High Priests** carrying the name as the **Newtonians** are **Men** amongst mankind, that charged the Universe with not applying to standards set by **Sir Isaac Newton**, and then went on proving how incorrect the behaviour of the Universe was in not adhering to the direction gravity has according to **Sir Isaac Newton**. Since **Sir Isaac Newton** can't possibly make a mistake, it then was presumed the cosmos made the mistake by not following the gravity settings laid down by **Sir Isaac Newton**, the one that cannot falter nor could his teachings fail, carrying the illustrious name of **Sir Isaac Newton**. It must be the cosmos being at fault by expanding without seeking the approval of **Sir Isaac Newton** to do so in contradicting **Sir Isaac Newton**. Up to this point in science and in despite of an array of evidence pointing to the cosmos growing by expanding in every sense and with all pieces of evidence gathered by science from all over the Universe (including the solar system), the theory of contraction is still hailed as the infallible Newtonian truth. Every one that is part of physics, shares the Newtonian vision of a contracting Universe where the lot would one day again come together and Creation will end where Creation started some time ago. The Universe has mass that is pulling mass towards one another and we are in the centre of an ever shrinking Universe. The Universe is about to end where all mass contracts into one huge lump of material, and this conclusion contradicts all evidence gathered by science. Without any evidence available to its authenticity they gave this Newtonian processes a name, they call it the Big Crunch.

If you don't believe me marry Newton's contraction with the Big Bang and see a divorce in place before any Church consummation of such a union could begin. but then again just as unlikely union in principle marriage between Galileo and Newton is in place and the mindless masses never once frowned on that! Students in Physics, it will serve you well to read the following arguments very carefully and come to a conclusion about what gravity is and what mass is and how it is impossible for the concept carrying the idea of mass then become responsible to form what we think of as gravity. Mass can't ever and doesn't bring about gravity. In fact I challenge anyone to prove that a factor such as mass do exist.

Planets do maintain positions like a geared clock but not according to mass that pulls although a balance provides the orbit. Newton claim that mass is used and with that the idea of proof is automatically placed at the door of Newton. We talk of planets orbiting and that is what planets do. Planets don't creep up to the sun by the value of mass. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. The saying goes that planets orbit indicating they follow a circle and this uses other rules than mass applying position. That is not what Newton said. In conversation we speak of the planets orbiting and this orbit is in terms of the Titius Bode law. If Newton was correct the planets pull but that would be blatantly wrong according to what we find the cosmos applies. Never do we refer to the planets pulling the Sun or the Sun pulling the planets, but we speak of seasons coming from orbital positions. Being in orbit has to neutralise the pulling and then cancel the pulling concept that also became culture.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Using the formula as Newton provided, disallows any other concept other than moving towards. The person Newton got his ideas from and the work he raped completely, that of Johannes Kepler

explained this very well, but Johannes Kepler makes no room for any pulling of any sort. In the work of Johannes Kepler he said that the space being the orbiting route a^3 remains at a specific distance k while the orbit T^2 takes place. and in all my other books that addresses more information I take Newton to task on his dismembering of Kepler's formula by corrupting Kepler's work and with what amounts to fraud, Newton takes science on a goose chase that holds no truth. There is no pulling by mass of mass in any way. Kepler said the space a^3 , moves T^2 in terms of a ratio k adhering to a centre k^0 .

We have either one of two that has to be incorrect. If Newton is correct, then the normal way of how the cosmos is functioning of the planets rotating T^2 is incorrect. Then we must start saying planets are pulled to the Sun. If the normal form of speech is correct and the planets are merely orbiting the Sun, then Newton is wrong. Newton said centuries ago that gravity is the force of attraction there is between objects that hold mass and it is the mass factor that brings about this attraction, which Newton claimed there is. The Big Bang Theory proves Newton's idea is not only being wrong but Newton's idea of attraction is a joke. If the Big Bang is expanding the Universe, then how can the Universe contract at the same time?

With the knowledge in hand for decades why did science not move away from the contracting idea? Why are they chasing an idea that shows how blatant the corrupt conspiracy is in bedded? Academics are very aware of this misconception Newton had and still academics in physics are promoting the ideas of Newton as the unwavering truth. Teaching Newton is participating in deception and promoting Newton is criminally deceiving the public and while doing so, is committing an act with criminal intentions. Then, in the face of all this evidence contradicting Sir Isaac Newton; they remain upholding the correctness of Sir Isaac Newton and keep on teaching students about the unwavering correctness of Sir Isaac Newton. I show precisely how gravity produces mass but mass can never produce gravity. I show with explicit detail when, how and where gravity forms mass but mass can never form gravity. What I prove annihilates every Newtonian claim. Still they persist in promoting the lie just to favour science and that is a lie.

When any person, notwithstanding what reasons given, repeats such a lie unabated while being well aware that the information passed on by such a person is incorrect, then the person commits deceit. When anyone is repeating the information that is passed on as being unblemished factual substantiated and verified truth while such a person knows very well that such information is void of proof or lacks proof, then committing such an act is a criminal enterprise. Academics in physics commit every one of the above indignities and yet see their actions as being lawful and even much praiseworthy and hold their role in society in the highest esteem imaginable. Physicists would not tolerate any form of criticism.

They fail to see the crime that they commit while tutoring physics. Whatever motivation they may claim to have which they offer to serve them as forming their driving force, the fact that they perpetually perpetrate in unlawful behaviour, by spreading untruths, such actions on their part put those academics holding such highly regarded positions in the league of ordinary cheats, gangsters and common criminals. By deliberately and constantly falsifying facts to further whatever humble cause and produce illegal claims repeatedly, remains derogative

behaviour and is unlawful by nature, notwithstanding what morality it should serve. To promote the lie is to hide their misconduct and it is not to promote science.

A Preacher or Pastor or Priest lying on behalf of God is not lying on behalf of God and to think the Preacher or Pastor improves or underlines the Greatness of God by lying on behalf of God is very mistaken, because in reality such a Preacher is falsifying the truth for his or her personal benefit and trying to impress the congress about his importance and not the importance of God. Lying is wrong and doing so even in the name of God remains despicable. To say God sent you to do anything is self-promotion because the truth is you told God you are going to do with or without His consent and you use his name to justify your enterprise in any case. That is falsifying facts not on behalf of God but you use God to falsify your justification. The same applies to academics in physics. There is no argument that can change this truth about falsifying the truth and when doing so there is no hiding behind any excuses of ennobling to benefit mankind that will change such truth into righteous conducting.

Ask your professor to show how an expanding Universe can also contract and your professor will tell you about Einstein's Critical Density theory. This theory I prove is the second biggest fraud ever devised by any group of persons in the history of civilization! I am about to introduce you to the biggest fraud ever devised but it is not the Critical Density elusion. The Critical Density elusion is perpetrating fraud and the conducting thereof is upholding deceptions instituted by Newton that then formed the institution of lies they call physics. The Universe does not contract in any way; means or form and even such a suggestion is incorrect! The Moon and Earth are not moving closer but are moving apart. The entire Universe is growing in space and nowhere is space depleting by any norm used because what is space is time. That is exactly what Galileo's swinging pendulum shows; it shows the contraction of space is reading time.

Academics are very aware of this misconception Newton had and still academics in physics are promoting the ideas of Newton as the unwavering truth. Academics teaching these misconceptions are committing fraud, notwithstanding the portraying of their role in society being unblemished, spotless while they are covered in a lily white blanket making them being whiter than snow and having such a holier than thou attitude. Teaching Newton is participating in deception and promoting Newton is criminally deceiving the public and while doing so, those doing so are committing an act with criminal intentions. Then, in the face of all this evidence contradicting Sir Isaac Newton; they remain upholding the correctness of Sir Isaac Newton and keep on teaching students about the unwavering correctness of Sir Isaac Newton. They put down conditions of learning to this effect and are expecting students to repeat these untruths and unproven facts by forcing answers to that effect in examinations. Students must accept cheating to become accepted in the ranks of the learned while also carrying the cloth of righteous in knowledge and unblemished virtue. Forcing the acceptance of this untruth about physics is equal to preposterous subjecting students to physiological torture and heinous mind conditioning, scandalous thought control and brainwashing. This applies to everyone serving as a tutor in physics notwithstanding whatever status the torturers might have in society or the morality they attach as a reason to commit such atrocities.

If you are a student, then you are conditioned by academics in controlling your thinking by enforcing pre- mind setting and in which they methodically force you into believing in Newton and this is an on going process conducted for centuries in the past, while it is the truth that Newton is completely void of any tests that may secure any form of confirmation and in securing proof then also by that establishing proof. They never prove Newton's philosophy on gravity but those persons conducting teaching in the subject of physics force all physics students to learn Newton's gravitational concepts and accept the facts as if it has been proven beyond all other facts. The condition of being accepted in physics is to accept Newton without questioning the proof that is never supplied. Let your professors now prove how it is that Newton's teachings are correct and then examine you on the process they use to prove Newton's concepts. At present they say Newton is correct and then they test you on your ability in repeating that Newton is correct without ever proving to you that Newton is correct. Let those physics professors now prove Newton and then test you on the manner they use to prove Newton to be correct.

The truth beyond all other truth is that Newton's gravity has never been proven (because try as you may it is not possible to prove Newton's formula forming gravity mathematically) and because academics know that, academics require the blind acceptance of Newton by students. This unconditional acceptance of Newton's correctness relies only on the pre-conditioning of students' mind set and academics depend only on the student trusting the academic "say so" about the institutionalised correctness of Newton. Pre- conditioning students into blind acceptance depends on the academics' insistence that students approve Newton's concepts without pre judgment or students insisting on scrutiny of any sorts. Academics depend on students never questioning their say so or demand proof about what academics teach. Those academics in teaching positions insist that all students accept Newton's accuracy.

In order to get students to accept Newton's hypothesis, academics resort to brainwashing pupils and students. Please let you lecturer put in all the values of the formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2};$$

and then with the values applying prove Newton is correct. Pre-conditioning students into blind acceptance depends on the academics' insistence that students approve Newton's concepts without pre judgment or students insisting on scrutiny of any sorts. In examination students have to outright and blindly follow academics' say so only because academics say so. Academics depend on students never questioning their say so or demand proof about what academics teach. Those academics in teaching positions insist that all students accept Newton's accuracy. This is methodical mind control as much as it is the brainwashing if ever there was brainwashing. I show what they enforce. If you are one of those believing that Newton was ever proven, then what you believe to be true is a lie because Newton can't be proven and that is the truth! The time has come to face your teachers and force them to stop the ongoing old culture of bullying students and conditioning their thoughts by enforcing on them dogmas, which is, mind control! In order to get students to accept Newton's hypothesis, academics resort to brainwashing pupils and students.

They teach you that the Universe contracts and to state their case they force students to learn

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

that gravity is proved by Newton introducing the following formula They say that M_1 is the mass of the Earth and M_2 is the mass of the individual in questions mass and the multiplying of these factors with the gravitational constant produces the force of gravity when this gets divided by the square of the radius. Please let you lecturer put in all the values of the formula and prove Newton is correct. If he can't and I know for sure he never can fill in the symbols and calculate the force of gravity, then read the rest of the web page that follows to see how far academics in physics go to brainwash students into believing in Newton's fraud. To cover their ongoing fraud they create a mythical mystical non-existing dark energy.

Those in power of physics wheel the one conspiracy after another conspiracy extending the cover up with another cover up by falsifying the truth to hide the untruth and it is not clear what they would hide when trying to hide from people discovering where they refuse to reveal what the truth is. Why don't they not just come clean and admit that the Universe is departing instead of arriving? They keep cosmic science in disconnecting darkness with patches of light in between as sensibility being between the dark that disallows the light to connect. The senseless darkness of failures to accept the incorrectness holds the light disconnected but the light parts darkness with tiny strands of unrelated correctness and only connects by the dark that does not connect by understanding. They have a concept and in time the concept is proven to be incorrect. When they discover what they thought applied was wrong, those in physics do not step forward and admit to what is wrong. No they change nothing but keep everything wrong in place or not in place by concocting a conspiracy to keep everyone happy and everything as it is in place. That is how they can unite the idea of the Big Bang with everything moving apart since the time it began in line with everything coming together and Crashing in a Crunch while no one has any vague idea of what is going on in-between. Here is what they essentially in essence really hide. This is what all the scheming and deception is they try to cover. This what follows and what you are about to read undoubtedly for the first time ever in history. It is the true conspiracy that every crooked angle in science is covering! This what you are about to learn is the mother of all the conspiracies that you are aware of.

Have you thought in terms of physics about IS THERE DEATH AFTER LIFE?

Science believes in science with no reservation. Therefore scientists believe in science with a belief more that Theologians could believe in God. To Physicists everything there is must be science. They formulate a mathematical equation and when doing so they explain everything in man's field of knowledge. Those in science propagate that we will live up to a thousand years in a very short time in the future. However ask them to explain aging and they have no idea what they are talking about. Science puts life down to some acids and a jolt of electricity and with that life could be programmed. According to science life is mostly electricity stored in the brain and by electricity the body moves life around through the body. What a lot of hogwash and this attitude of simplification is so Newtonian junk as Darwin's simplified ideas are about the origins of life. To any Newtonian any suggestion in a simplified form regardless of proofs everything science requires to become accepted as fact. The overwhelming majority of physicists with Doctoral degrees in physics will not have the ability to read this article and too understand the arguments. That is because there is a shortfall in their argumentative ability. This inability runs very deep in physics.

When there are aspects in nature that physics can't address then we have to look for the shortfall in physics. Physics holds the opinion that God cannot be proven or be substantiated. The fact that physics can't accommodate a certain fact or feature does not exclude the fact, but it underlines the failure in physics. In example when we look at the fact that I can think and my thinking is a fact beyond proof, yet physics can't prove how I think or why I think, except put it down to a flow of electricity in my brain and body. Other than that they have no capacity to know what life is or to understand the concept of life. If they shock a frog leg with electricity and the muscles show spasm they then conclude life is electricity. It never

dawns on them that life is the ability to generate electricity whereby muscles are controlled and life is a lot more than just the flow of electricity. This shows the absolute fallibility of physics and not the absence of my thoughts. The Newtonian mindset is to keep physics above reproach and beyond suspicion while it is desperately poor in all senses.

If physics tries to put my thought down to some brain activity somewhere in my mind it shows how incompetent the reasoning is behind the argument. The activity is electrically induced and could be harvested by jolting on nerves from some exterior source and that does not prove that my thinking comes from the brain being jolted by electricity, it proves I am some thought with the ability to jolt the brain into action by supplying the electricity. As the medium could arouse action by stimulating the brain with electricity, so the true "I" has the ability to stimulate the mind with a jolt of electricity to provide electricity. If it was the electricity that did the job, we then could jolt a cadaver's mind and get the cadaver filled with life. The brain is not what stores life but it is life that keeps the brain with life. If life was in the brain we could generate the brain back into "life" by shocking the brain with electricity hours after death.

Once life is lost, no electricity can restore the factor we call life. Life we know is in thought and thought is the presence that physics never can accommodate and yet the entirety thought to be life in whatever form is held by thought and with thought the body is motorised by life. Yet, when physics can't accommodate thought we do not discard thought as an absence that is unproven. My thoughts are with me until I die. If physics fail to accommodate God then it is not God not being a reality but it is physics being an utter failure. I can and I do prove God as the absolute factor in the cosmos, but before one can get there a lot of Newtonian garbage has to be discarded and a lot of misleading Newtonian disbelief has to be dismissed. If you look at the night sky you will see many specks of light. In that understanding but more advanced to understand than any person can realise we find the proof of God.

It is not in the light but in understanding the principle forming light and the understanding behind the concept of light that we can mathematically prove God by using mathematics. I have done just that but it takes a book of 700 plus pages just to explain the reality forming the concept. Atheism is not proof of intelligence but it is proof of the lack thereof. My dog is the biggest atheist walking this earth but he is that because he is stupid and has a lack of mental capacity. One must star to understand light and understand the light is the Universe. The Universe is not material and darkness but it forms by light. One doesn't see a galactica, one see light that formed a galactica a very long time ago. That what I see is the Universe and the Universe forms by light left behind by time as space. I am not going into that because I wish to try and keep it simple.

Have you as you sit reading this part at this minute sat back and gave a thought about the light enabling you to read? Such a thought brings to mind the most simplistic answer one can imagine. The light hits the page bounces from the page and contacts the lens of my eye where the lens conveys the photons becoming electricity to a part of the brain that translate the electricity to an understandable message and that makes one read. It is as simple as that! Ever gave a deeper thought about light streaming across the night sky, coming from the visible limits we think of as ends of the Universe we do not even realise it is there? How does the photons manage to convey one complete picture coming from as far apart and as wide an

area as it does? With a few photons connecting the eye or lens no one ever noticed the wonder of light. The photons reflect a view that seems as if coming from all the billions upon billions of stars. But most is coming from darkness covering an area no man can measure. Yet how many photons can actually connect to the lens of the camera or to the eye considering the size the eye allows light to pass through? We see by using a few photons.

We see with a few photons going past our lens a Universe representing immeasurably many photons. Still a few photons coming from a single direction directly ahead eventually tell the entire storey of a Universe larger than we could ever understand. What we see is bigger than any person can comprehend and what we apply to see that much is smaller than any human mind can comprehend to understand. It is very simple to take the process of seeing by means of photon conducting very lightly and I have never heard one of the Brainy Bunch really in sincerity uncover the process to its utter and full potential. Moreover let those Mathematical-Masters put this notion into an equation and conduct the understanding they have by proving the concept I just explained. It is impossible that light from such an array of assorted sources can simply come together at the eye lens and show a picture of objects spanning across a Universe as wide as our mind can receive where the objects they reflect is beyond human measurement and the quantity we can apply to receive a vision is inconceivable many. With that small space within our eye how can we see the space that is large as the Universe that we see? I am never going to try and Simplify the idea behind understanding God in terms of physics, but very deep inside this understanding we can begin to fathom the presence of God in terms of physics, not religion according to a Bible, but in terms of Physics. But understanding that is way ahead and light-years advanced from what I explain in this book. Light is much more than the medium science takes it to be. Light connects the Universe in a way we cannot contemplate. Light being far apart originating from regions not in the same time or Universal space connects in a way that present us with a picture holding the Universe in an understandable content. From the point we stand and we watch the Universe the significance of what we see surpasses the sense of understanding of what we are experiencing and more so surpasses what we are able to understand. How can the few photons that our lenses catch coming from such an area as the night sky cover transmit the complete picture of what we see. Take a few seconds and study the picture of the night sky then rethink the picture applying the full content in the picture to what the size of you eyes is. Think how big the picture is that your eyes take in and translate that area to the size of your eyeball in an effort to determine a ratio.

One will be forgiven if one thinks of the ratio as eternal to nothing. Yet a few pages back I showed that according to mathematics there couldn't be anything as nothing. Consider the path the light followed from the source connecting to light from all other sources where all particles of the other light may come from and bringing a full picture to the lens one use to look through. In your mind connect a line from every atom producing light and connect the lines to your eyeball and see how you can manage to fit all the lines, as small as the lines may be. Understanding this can only come when we understand singularity and I still have to find a person educated or otherwise that would be able to understand this idea. The

If it is lenses that enable us to see what we can't see in outer space it also means we cannot see the light, which is outer space because we haven't got the lens to match the curb of outer space. Newtonians think of outer space as geodesic zero, with nothing in outer space but space. Geodesic zero means the light travels in a straight line from where it originates unhindered all across space to where the light connects the eye. Such an idea by itself is outrages because the stream of photons reduce in space to such a minute quantity that taken the area the photons travel and the space in vastness it covers, the chances of one photon coming across many hundreds of light years through billions upon trillions of cubic kilometres of space and selecting my eye to convey the electricity is less than infinite. Yet such conveying takes place every second of every minute. The position of the location of the second singularity, which is the precise duplication of the first singularity but in a diminished capacity, is obvious to miss when one is not applying a detective mentality, as one should in scrutinizing the cosmos. Culture will have us believe that when one sees a colour shining from an object the colour is associated with the object. Logic tells a different storey. A yellow dot is all the colours in the spectrum but yellow because it is disassociating with the yellow. That goes for red blue and all other colours we may visualise. I think the norm accepts this as scientific fact with very little argument or substantiating proof about that required.

If light came as individual streams of photon flurries, then our visage would translate that as such shown in the fragmented as telescopes enlarge images. If the light only held what we think of as light, we would be unable to see the dark parts because only the light parts would contain light. The total picture we could see would be a picture unconnected bringing across some photons in the manner where every object stands apart not being related in any way and that will be what we see, if it is anything that we see. That we know is not the case but that means geodesic zero is as much rubbish as anything Newtonians regard with simplicity and with careless thought. Geodesic zero means nothing and how can I see nothing as darkness because "nothing" is not darkness, nothing is "nothing" and the darkness I see is darkness showing the darkness as something. The darkness we see is as much "light" as the "light" we see because we see Light" and in that we see "darkness" as another form of light.

What then about colours that are technically not colours as is the case with black and white? White is simple. By spinning all the colours in the spectrum the colour white shines through. Black is quite another matter. A friend of mine whom is one of the best painters I have ever come across told me that one couldn't paint black but have to make black a dark blue to show shade on the canvass. That apparently is his success in achieving the realism. He also went on to explain how many variations of dark blue form the shadows in one simple tree. This remark set my mind in motion. One cannot see black because black has no colour to show, but black is the colour most prevalent in the universe. One can see only by colour and since black is not a colour we should not see black, but we do.

The fact that we see light means that the dark next to the light cannot be "nothing", If the darkness was the representation of "nothing", then that should be exactly what we must see, nothing but the stars. Taken from the top picture some stars and leaving the rest to


nothing is what we see in the picture below. A blind person sees nothing but when we look at space, we see something that we think nothing of as we see as space. One cannot have the ability of sight and see nothing. It is light that we see and it is light that we use, which enable us to see. That proves the darkness that we see in outer space is light that we see without recognizing it as such. If the darkness was the representation of "nothing", then that should be exactly what we must see, nothing but the stars. Taken from the top picture some stars and leaving the rest to nothing is what we see in the picture below. A blind person sees nothing but when we look at space, we see something that we think nothing of as we see as space. One cannot have the ability of sight and see nothing. It is light that we see and it is light that we use, which enable us to see. That proves the darkness that we see in outer space is light that we see without recognizing it as such.

What puts us humans in a category one higher than animals (or so we like to think) is our ability to think about that what we can see. The less developed an animal is the more it has the attitude of eat or be eaten. The higher developed animals are the more the animal finds reason to argue. One may teach a crocodile not to eat you if you start feeding the animal. That is a mindless reptile and yet it can think above eat or be eaten. What we see is not merely the truth and it requires reasoning to see the truth and substantiate between culture motivated observations and thought through decisions.

To all the Super-Educated-Mathematically-Superior-Intellectuals physicists believing in mathematics and those trying to replace God with mathematics, prove the ability to live by calculating thought as the sole factor of life where it is life that controls the body and not the other way around. Should any of them insist that the mind is responsible for life, then revive a cadaver by filling it with whatever acids you claim produce life or shock the corpse until it roasts or force movement onto the body. If it can't revive, then go and calculate by mathematics the precise ingredient it is that has left the body and therefore has filled the body with death. Mathematics is as unaccommodating to reality as Newton is to cosmology. All those Super-Superior Newtonian mathematicians, use your Newtonian inclined mathematics to explain my previous argument about how all the light that fits and fills the entire Universe can bring one picture of the entirety to fit into my eye. The fact that your Newtonian physics will not allow you to calculate this does not remove my ability to see the entire Universe in as large as it is through one tiny hole at the back of my eye. When you understand this entire concept you will have the ability to understand God's presence in the Universe and until then your mathematics removes your ability to understand physics and Newton promotes your blind stupidity about real cosmic physics. Moreover, I prove all of this ability mathematically but only after removing the falseness of the factor of mass and from the myth presented as Newtonian corrupt science. This article is as much about proving what energy is as it is about knowing the difference to the state in which a living person is and in which a dead person is. Newton considered all forms of energy to be the same, and oh boy, was he mistaken. It is not surprising he formulated gravity the way he did. There is a worldwide fashion amongst the very well educated that in order to be regarded by those with the know-how as a supremely informed person, one must at least be an atheist. The key to science is apparently to be completely atheistic. Atheists do not believe in the life after death, a Creator or a Force that does not exist outside the technological criteria of mathematical science.

Everything that does exist only exists because it exists in the perceptibility. Any force that might lie outside this norm is quite unthinkable and that thought could never present itself as to be present in the material universe. The irony of this fact is that those well-educated scientists have only one source of information and that is light waves. Still they permit themselves to be atheists in their blind state of ignorance. I do not condemn them, because they apparently know more than I will ever know. However, because I am not that knowledgeable, I must feel my way through the tunnel of ignorant darkness like a blind person. However, in doing that, I stumbled across a heap of questions that has no answer, even by those who carry the flame of knowledge. That forced me to form my own theories, think and come up with sensible conclusions, which answers all those questions their light of knowledge could not answer.

I declare to be of average intelligence and like millions of others on earth, all these millions are believers, like me, and are confronted by the same questions these super intellectuals are seemingly incapable or unwilling to answer. Then I realized the super intellectuals only have one source that lead them and that is measured light. Let us look at the definition of energy. Energy is, as I understand it, indestructible, which means it cannot be destroyed. Energy can only be transferred from one form to another form. Let us look at the example, which is used to teach scholars at school. We take a rock and move it from a ditch up a hill. On top of the hill, we have a lot of potential energy that was transformed from static energy by means of kinetic energy. In the transformation, other losses occurred, like heat, sweat vapour and friction losses. The science apparently does not take into account energy losses brought about by anger, fighting and frustration brought about by incumbency. These are also energy losses. After all the sweat and wrestling, the rock is on the top and we have a situation with potential energy from which we can derive kinetic energy when the rock is rolled down hill. I do not agree with any of the above mentioned, and will later state my point of view. I will however declare at this point that Newton's statement of energy and work being the same thing is utter nonsense.



This is the simplest example we teach children in school. I too had to teach the children this nonsense, in the period when I too was a teacher.

Life starts of being in a sperm that has to couple with an egg. The sperm only carries life but does not even represent life. If life leaves the sperm you can do to the sperm whatever you wish and it would not represent life. Therefore the sperm is a vehicle for life and life forms the sperm sell. If life abandons the sperm sell the sell goes back to atoms. If it were the other way around, the sperm cell would remain intact and start hunting for a new life form to hold. The same argument applies to the egg. Theee egg carries life and life supply the other half of the life that will become human. If the egg does not hold life any longer, then the egg will disintegrate

Brain waves monitored

ADAM.



into billions of atoms once more.

This is extremely important to realise that from the first second of life forming life collects tissue that will become a human body. It is not like your halfwit Newtonian professor believes that the human body represents life. From the first moment life forms the body and it is not the body that forms life. Therefore you with your life forms your human body and it is not your human body that takes the responsibility for life.



It is by the thought process that life collects material to form the human body and the human body does not collect life as it goes along. Every one in modern science think it is the brain that controls the human body but are they so completely wrong. You use your thought process to control your body and in this thought process you form your body to be as strong as you wish it to be. Can I make you strong; no I cant because you are already as strong as a giant. What I can do is help you realise you potential strength by helping you learn to control your muscles. Before you complete any action of movement a thought first have to apply. It is the thought that command the muscle and not the brain that commands the Muscle. The thought takes charge of a cell in the mind and then takes information stored in the cell of the brain, which a thought directs to a channel that by electricity which the thought is also responsible, inflicts current in the muscle to pull the muscle. The thought generates the electricity that collects the information and the thought sends the electricity carrying the information to the muscle that has to do the job. It is the thought and not the brain and there science falls flat in their hogwash they use as information.

Life which is what you are, not a decomposable body, started accumulating material by thought when you were sperm and egg, and after the Unification you started accumulating useful building material. It is done by mind controlling the body. Don't allow the atheistic senseless stupidity tell you different. If your body was what is in charge which is you, then when you are dead someone with life can pump some oxygen into you and shock you with electricity until you bounce around like a ping-pong ball and you will begin life again. That is total rubbish. If life leaves the body there is no structural formation left to control and maintain the structural integrity of the body.



Even in the very beginning life formed the sperm and the sperm did not represent life. You can't have a tube filled with sperm and when you find the lot are dead you revive the sperm with an electric jolt. You can't have a jar filled with D.N.A and by regrouping the composition you build the body of the person once more. You build your body through thinking with your mind. You construct your body cell be cell by using your mind to do so. How can I prove this? The instant your life vacates the body; the body's ability to restructure the structure leaves that very second. The moment life vacates the body, the body degenerates by fragmenting the structure until the entire construction disassembles into forming atoms again. It is life that keeps the body into form and without life the body de-fragments into atoms once more. Your body doe not hold life but your mind by thought controls your body.

Your medical doctor will tell you your body represents your life and when you die your body dies.

He will be of the opinion that when your human body dies you have died. The problem with this attitude is that while your human body is still intact, one should be able to resurrect the body by supplying heat and electricity. That is not possible. That is the way one goes about killing people. By electrocuting people on a chair the state removes life from the body and so giving the body electricity does not bring life to the body. Therefore the body does not use electricity to instate life but by duplicating the transmitting of electricity the body becomes confused and the body relinquishes life. It allows life to depart.

Your physics teacher I professor will tell you that you are what you are because of the body you have. Hogwash I say that concept is and I prove it is rubbish. You build your body with your mind but you control your mind through thought and without though you will not even move a muscle. It is by thought that you tell a muscle to move and it is by thought you tell the muscle to get active and by the same thought you form the muscle which puts the ability in the muscle to form the strength. I prove that this is how gravity forms and it does not form by the pulling force of mass. Newtonians such as your physics teacher or professor wouldn't even read my books in which I prove they (those teaching physics) are all brainwashing students to believe that physics is what Newton said it is. I prove they are submitting all students to mind control in order to force you to believe physics is what they teach it is. If you want more facts to see if I am correct about your teachers brainwashing you and submitting you to cruel mind control you are welcome to go to www.sirnewtonsfraud.com or **another slightly more complicated version I call** www.singularityrelevancy.com or another slightly less complicated version I call www.questionablescience.net , where the websites will show you how much those you trust deceive you with science they can never prove.


Those that think they are experts in physics has no idea about physics and I challenge all of them to prove Newton is correct, not to surmise that Newton is correct or to force students to admit and confess at Newtonian physics is correct but to prove it is correct. Prove the

formula
$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$
 does form gravity by forming a force by the value of mass or prove that the formula
$$P = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 a^3}{G(M + m)} \right)^{0.5}$$
 does put planets in positions allocated according to mass.

It is life that allows the semen to swim and it is the life within that allows the egg to be receptive of the semen. When either the semen or the egg holds no life and the egg or the semen is still intact. There is no life forming possibility. The semen does not swim it is life that allows the semen to swim. This proves that it is life that allows movement from the beginning of where we think life starts.



This is a picture of a cadaver. It is not something to be scared of because it is a body NOT containing life, as anyone of us will be someday. So it is the same as you being scared of you as you are going to be somewhere in the future and that is pretty silly. On the condition that you were born the only thing you will be someday is dead. If you are alive then you will face death. What we have to answer is what is the difference between this cadaver in the mortuary and me. One is that the cadaver has no life and I show vital signs filling me with life.



A human is not the body you have

but the mind that forms the body

The biggest factor is movement and that movement is linked to thought. Considering the implication of this is vital if you wish to enhance your physical strength and build your body. There are persons in hospital in a coma for years and they apparently show no thought because their muscles don't move and therefore they wither away. The thought gives control over the body and the thought form the muscle and the thought form the size of the muscle. This cadaver or dead person can't get up and walk as I can. Why can't this dead body get up and walk, it is because the dead has no thoughts. If you think the Newtonian idea is correct that life is part of the body then rethink. I dare you to conduct some tests. If life is electricity as they say it is, then why can you shock that cadaver until it hums like an electric transformer and life will not return? If life is as they say it is electric convulsions then try and shock the brain with electricity and you will find no response. The fact that you can manipulate muscle spasm with electric convulsion shows that life controls the brain by charging electricity and that process is done by thought in life. Life generates electricity that life then implements to control the body life extends for the purpose of serving life. Life is in charge of the body and of thought and not the body being in charge of life. By electrocuting a body with life you merely short circuit life's actions with a stronger jolt of electricity but the electricity is just a modem through which life controls muscles and growth in the body. Then you burn the electricity conducting connection that life has as life controls the functions of the body and do that long enough and life may not find a manner to form conduction of electricity whereby the organ control will become suspended.

Your mind charges electricity and that electricity are created by thought and thought is life. By creating thought you form your body and by forming your body through thought you establish you level of strength. That is why when you are in shock you are able to perform in a manner not even you ever thought you are capable of. Around your head there are electricity

flowing which science named "brainwaves". These brainwaves are just a form of electricity and that current is the same as what flows around every electrical motor or any planet charging gravity or any star forming a gravitational field.

Now we take the scenario of a person's life. When that person is born, and after that momentous occasion of the birth episode, he or she continues to live on this planet for the best part of the next sixty or seventy years. In this, period a great deal of energy is used to walk, run, laugh, cry, think, produce and reproduce. By doing that, he would from time to time state that he feels tired or without energy. What energy is the man referring to. I have once heard a scientist that made such a fool of him. That scientist declared that if God was energy, God could be coal, because coal is energy as well. Now I would love to invite him to a meal and see him devour a plate of coal. If coal is energy, he can make a meal of it, and then live very cheaply. What he does not seem to grasp, is that there are many forms of energy, which differ totally as we distract the heat and in doing so one can tap the energy. However, coal cannot walk, run, jump and laugh. I cannot even begin to imagine one brick crying and moaning because his friend was thrown into a fire. Coal cannot have sexual intercourse producing an offspring and then caring for it afterwards. Life on the other hand does have that energy quality. This means that there are different values and forms of energy, of which life is one. If life is no different to other forms of energy, God could be another total different concept of energy. This is the problem that I have with these "SUPER- EDUCATED- MASTERS- OF- FACT" geniuses. They can make the most bizarre statements and could be away with it unchallenged.

When circumstances starve the body of food, life occupying the body would begin to devour the body in order to sustain life's ability to occupy the body. To life the body is only a vehicle to serve its purpose. When the body starves, the body does not suspend life until conditions are favourable to have the body reinstall life. It is so very typical of the "SUPER- EDUCATED- MASTERS- OF- FACT" to uncomplicated issues to serve their insight. They make something such as life so simple as to pretend they are completely in control of the knowledge that subject has to offer.

The body does not turn life off, life eats up the body until the body is so feeble it can't host life any longer after which when life then rejects the body. The body does not maintain structure but as soon as life evacuates the body, the body breaks down the structure it held when it hosted life. Life maintains the body and will even devour the body and consume the fibre until the body becomes useless to life and until it can't serve life any longer. After life abandons the body, the body returns to a state of atoms with no resemblance of what it were when life formed the body. It is life that constructs the body, maintains the body, and controls the body and consume either the body or as food that is some other life form that had a body.

The world contains a wide spectrum of different occupations that people earn their livelihood from. Seen from my personal occupation, there are two types. Those that farm and produce wheat, corn, barley, nuts, sugar cane, vegetables and many other produce. These are potential energy producers. They produce food, for the other group of the human population that uses this energy product to maintain their strength to apply it to other methods of occupation. Cattle and sheep farmers produce meat that is used by others to convert into

energy for their personal use

All people have one thing in common. They devour one form of energy, which is known as food. That is needed to maintain a life cycle, and the consuming of food must be done on a regular basis, to enable a human to live and reproduce for a lifespan of seventy or eighty years. The only precondition is that life would sponge on other carbon-based forms of life, whether it is plants or animals.

This person maintains his way and means of life, thus transferring energy from a form of food to a form of work. Then one day he collapses and becomes still. That person becomes unable to move. We call this state that the person is in, being dead. Even if I take a shovel of food and force it down his throat, he still would lack the ability to transform that energy to movement. But why would this then not bring back life?

Simply because he does not breathe any more. And why isn't he able to breathe? The reason for the person's inability to function, as a human should is because the cadaver is dead. When a person is considered dead, he lacks energy to such an extent that he cannot bring his own body to the grave. Others like me, and I have to carry him to his grave. We, that are alive, and maintain the process of translating food into life, have to carry the dead (he who is without life) to his grave.

The only difference between him and me is the energy form known as life. However, life is not the same form of energy as food, oxygen, heat and electricity. Even if I force all the food down his throat, and pump his lungs with air, while I heat his body with a blowtorch and shock him with electricity, he would still find himself unable to walk himself to his grave. That means the one form of energy is not the same as the other form of energy.

It is widely accepted that there seems to be a generator in the brain that generates electrons which enables the body to function. We know the flow of electrons is due to the process called electricity. On the other hand, do we? In a later chapter, I shall point the difference out between this flow of electricity. However, for the mean time I would stick to this accepted fact that electricity is conducted by the flow of electrons. Now, you can shock the cadaver with electricity until it hops about like a ping-pong ball, if life has gone absent, conducting a flow of electricity would not reinstate life.

You could put the cadaver on life support, with a heart machine a lung machine and all kinds of other machines. This method has nothing to do with life being precious, but fare more with the money paid by his medical aid, being precious. Once the cadaver's line of financial support dries up, his life instantaneously becomes worthless.

Then the cadaver finds the problem that it seems unable to live which means it is dead. Death means the brain is unable to send electronic signals by means of amino acids to the muscles, which would enable those muscles to continue with its normal function. The cadaver finds itself without the energy called life.

At this stage, I think that I pointed out to the difference between a body filled with energy called life, and a body that lacks energy and is called death. However, the energy that I

pointed out called life, is miles apart from the energy that consists of food, air, the burning of it and the destruction of it. There is a broad difference between the food process and the actual form of energy called life.

Now I would like to ask those Super Intelligent Atheists and consumers of food and air to explain where the energy form that is called life has gone. Energy cannot be destroyed, but can merely be transformed from one form to another. This is scientific gospel. Life as I pointed out, has a different value to heat. Life cannot be destroyed, that means it has to be transferred from one form to another form, and life itself is not heat, electricity, or food, because applying all those other forms of energy cannot raise the dead.

The fact that energy must be transformed and cannot be destroyed is proved by science to be unquestionable. The life energy started assembling a body albeit sperm or an egg before conception or procreation took place. If the sperm was dead the sperm would not swim and all those that swam in vain died. They did not hang around as lifeless sperm to be vitalised with life as soon as the next opportunity arrived.

The very second life left the sperm or the egg without fulfilling the process of fertilisation extending the ability of life to assemble more material in order to form a body filled with life, life left the sperm or egg and in that the body holding the sperm or egg destructed. It is life that captures material to form a body notwithstanding how small and in that no one can remove God from physics. The idea that life sprang from somewhere as soon as a sperm was there is as mad as having mass being able to form gravity. With my physics I can prove God being responsible for the flow of time within the Universe and if Newtonian science are not able to accomplish that, it is Newtonian science that is dismally inadequate, but then again that is what Newtonian science is in almost every sense.

The only answer I can conclude is that science is ignoring their own findings to prove their own religion fashions. With life being an undisputable form of energy and energy cannot be destroyed, it seems very unscientific to propagate atheism as a fact.

From these facts, one has to conclude that there does exist another form of life after death.

A Conspiracy

in Science in Progress

<mailto:info@singularityrelevancy.com>

ISBN 978·1·920430·05·4

Written By P.S.J. Schutte

KOSMOLOGIESE EN ASTRONOMIESE TEGNIKA All rights are reserved.

No parts of this book may be reproduced by publishing, or alternatively, electronically without the prior written consent of the copyright owner.

For many years I try to show the mistake in physics but the way the academics deliberately block me serves as a clear indicator of a conspiracy rather than just plain stubborn ignorance on their part. Now I am going to introduce the readers to what I tried to show the scientists, which is the remedy to curing the mistake. If it was only two or three that showed me away I would not be this frustrated, but the Super-Educated-Masters get annoyed when they encounter new facts they are not acquainted with and the lack of feelings superior frustrates their narcissism. What Newtonians are accustomed to is the believing that they are mentally superior and blessed with insight only granted to god-like scientific visionaries.

Those Super-Educated-Masters think they are the Brainy Bunch should read this and they will see they are misinformed by misinformation that gives them a presumed self-importance. Members of the public have no preconditions about preconceptions. The public are eager to learn and does not crave only to teach by knowing better, while those thinking they are brilliant don't have the capacity to learn. I seriously came to the conclusion those Mighty-Clever-Newtonians have no idea how to understand my work because they are either too lazy to think or unable to think. Either way they show no capability to respond to my message correctly but their response indicates total unawareness of what I try to convey.

For persons that wish to know more or that wish to confront me about what I say, there is a much more detailed version named "**THE ABSOLUTE RELEVANCY OF SINGULARITY THE SIX PART THESESES**" that is available from Lulu.com.

This book **A Conspiracy In Science In Progress** aims to show God-fearing persons the truth about science. Science is not there to fight religion but complements religion. If religion was as fraud as science is, then I personally was an atheist, which I definitely never can be. Moreover it shows how students are mentally abused and brainwashed to believe physics.

Why would you be most likely correct when saying the Universe is a sphere, as it always is depicted in pictures?

Have you ever thought about: „where is the cosmos coming from,„ where is the cosmos going to...

..and most of all. Why is the cosmos traveling through time.. what brings about the direction of expanding? The answers to these questions carry great significance. and in this I book I answer them all.

My studying Kepler helped find answers to all the questions, which was deemed impossible to answer. The following is only a few of the many questions that I do answer.

Where is the centre of the Universe?

That I can answer. and I also can answer.

...why is the Universe still growing since the Big bang...

...why did the Universe start so very small...

...why did the Universe fit into a neutron at one time...

...how did everything expand from fitting into a neutron...

...why does space grow from small to large.

...where is it going while it is growing ...

...why was the Universe any specific size...

what was everything before the Big bang

The content of this book might seem to be intellectually challenging and reading it might require much concentration at some points because the ideas I put forward will be very new to any person reading it and will call on intense detailed analysis of information. I found the building blocks used in the building of the Universe and by applying the four cosmic principles I am able to go as far back as before the Big Bang event. The Big Bang only happened recently when atoms formed the known Universe. I do not touch on this aspect but I inform the reader that what you read was never written before and absorbing new information is difficult. You are confronted with facts you never heard before. So therefore notwithstanding the level of the person's academic qualifications or how well developed background the person has, this is not your average garden- variety storybook. However, in the end after you have come to realise the conspiracy in science, you will be a lot wiser than you were before. The information might ask for a lot of your attention span at times, but then again challenging the mind to think is what makes life that interesting!

I managed my discoveries because I DISCOVERED A MISTAKE IN SCIENCE.

This is a very obvious mistake that should have been detected at least three hundred years ago because this mistake is so biennial one can't believe grown up person's will miss it, let alone those person's groomed in mathematics and cultured in physics with all that most superior education. It is not possible that person's having such intellect and such insight into these affairs could miss it. except in the case where they purposely wished to miss it.

This is a mistake not even well hidden and not that much out of every day context. Everyone subconsciously knows about this and therefore misses it. The mistake is so blatantly

missed that questions starts to rise about the reasons why the mistake is been missed by so many for so long. The mistake could only have been missed, if it was missed on purpose and the missing was clearly motivated by other issues. That makes this no mistake that came about because of human oversight and misinterpretation of the not so obvious like something said with the slip of the tongue, or mislaying keys because of a lack of concentration, but it is a deliberate miscalculation of the obvious to mislead and falsify facts in order to mismanage and financially profit from such an oversight.

This is a crucial mistake since it touches every aspect of the foundation of physics and rocks physics like no Earthquake ever rocked any part of the Earth ever. it puts science on a cross road that will change cosmology forever. Correcting the mistake will alter the way the humans have a clear concept about physics altogether and forever. It will give the human mind an understanding about situations that no person before had the mental capability to understand. It will clear the view of the human on matter as will relieve the human mind from an intense and everlasting handicap. Man will never again in the future look at the sky as man did before the unveiling of this mistake.

It reverses the essence of cosmology. It alters the explaining we have about the Sun and put stars into perspective as never yet were possible. It explains what different stars are and put stars into context as it lines the most biennial star such as the Sun in ratio with the most bizarre such as the Black Hole. It explains the Black hole in a way a child could understand the concept. It shows the normal evolution of stars and the normal development of stars from the cosmic cradle to finally resting as Black Holes.

It changes the most fundamental foundation of physics. For the first time gravity as a concept becomes clear. The why and the how about gravity is finally explained and the dark ages mentality we have on the question of gravity disappears as the force is laid to rest. This mistake reveals that everyone pretending to know everything becomes those that know very little of what they pretend to know all there is to know.

I formulated gravity and it was not that formula that Newton used to formulated when he formulated gravity. I was unbelievably excited with my new found wisdom and my over exiting discovery. I saw what the clearing of one mistake could mean to the entire world. I saw how removing one misconception could clarify so many blind spots humans have about the Universe and the practical layout of the Universe. I wanted to share my enthusiasm about the mistake with those that could correct the mistake and bring new light to every one willing to listen to the new vision cosmology would bring. Those in charge of physics could correct this one flaw and by correcting this one flaw the jig saw puzzle we had on the cosmos would all fall in place. I contacted the custodians and arch fathers of physics and enlightened them to my discovery. They were the wise in know how and if it was possible for a person such as I to see the mistake and the Universe that would open when one remove the mistake and replace the mistake with the correction, how much further could the wise men in physics take the translation coming from such a correction of the obvious mistake. I contacted them with hard evidence on the matter.

From them came no reaction. I tried again and changed my approach because I thought my

approach brought on their missing the essence of the problem. My mistake was indicating they had a mistake. After seven years of constant knocking on doors not one reply was ever returned by a single academic professor. Not to disprove me, not to point out my mistake and my error, not to silence me.

It was as if trying to open a grave by shouting down to the coffin and expecting a joyful reply. It was as if trying to chase a ghost with a catapult. It was as if trying to photograph a spook. There was this silence befitting a graveyard with all the ghosts pleasantly gone to the after life. There was this curtain that absorbed all sound coming from me and killing off any response coming back to me.

I took the mission one step further. I thought with personal touch and eye-to-eye intimacy I could see what part they couldn't see about what I saw and then explain my lack in clarifying the matter as to enable them to see what I so clearly saw. I was more than just aware of the importance there was in detecting the mistake and that put all my sincerity into solving the problem.

I went to see countless academics wherever I could and whenever I could and that I did with much hope riding on their sincerity and it was done with much cost on my part. I thought by having a person-to-person and an in depth personal conversation about the many factors arising from the matter, it bring new light to the table, either on my side as I then made a gross error, or on their side. I went on this mission of sharing information with big costs and effort on my part. I thought that such a personal visit conducting a debate on the issue would either bring clarity to my oversight or bring their oversight to their attention.

A fat lot of good all that did me by spending all that time and money going into all that effort. If they were sincere it was only to save their work by not recognizing mine. The mistake is so obvious and in view of all concerned with science that it is impossible not to notice it and yet, not one in science notices it.

From them I got a cold shoulder; from them I got silence or at times blatant aggression. I was received with what was all part of the Academy of science's tactics to prevent me getting closer to the conspiracy by ignoring me. When they did reply it was to belittle me and to aggressively silence me. Their attitude was not something on my part. It was due to something science is trying to hide. It was not I that should be blamed for noticing the mistake but it was they who silenced me because I noticed the mistake. I uncovered a three hundred year old grave in which was hidden a conspiracy far bigger than what the earth ever knew before. It rattled bones that were buried since Elizabethan times and ever since then no one ever cared to bring the mistake to the open where everyone not in science could see what science hides. Then the truth finally dawned on me. I was overstepping my boundary because I was in the centre of something bigger than what I discovered. I was in the centre of a conspiracy where everyone conspires to keep what is the secret to remain the secret and to collude never to divulge what the conspiracy hides.

As I said before I uncovered a mistake in science and by that abandoned the using of mass. From the onset, the mistake seems as insignificant as it is small. Because the rest of the book

is about the mistake, I do not intend on elaborating about the mistake itself. The mistake came about with the culture of education and the mistake in itself seems harmless. When admitting that, one must also admit that any pilgrim that got lost and died of starvation through an incorrect travelling direction, made the very first part of his ultimate mistake by looking in the wrong direction. How harmful does looking in a specific direction seem, and yet such a mistake leads to his ultimate mortality. The traveller could when taking his first directional flaw with that the first incorrect step, only put his foot skew in avoiding a rock. Or he could have turned his face to avoid a branch and that move pointed him in a direction that lead to his fatality. It is not the mistake that becomes the penalty and it is not the origin of such a mistake that leads to the penalising, but the ignoring of accepting signs telling the wonderer of an impending error and his stubborn ignoring of such telling sign that makes the lost party pay the ultimate price. By ignoring the mistake, for whatever reason, the ignoring of such a mistake is his undoing because the price due comes from the inability in recognising the sign indicating the presence of the mistake forming the reason for his final demise. The sooner such a person sees and admits the wrong, the less will be the consequences of his final price to pay.

The Newton mistake is one born in culture and the penalty from this mistake is bred by arrogance. At school minds are young and accepting, although developing. Through many tens of millennia humans came to a habit in surviving as a specie where culture taught them that accepting the elders advice is the same as to ensure survival of the following generations, and by such doing is also following the quickest way to an adult mind. By accepting the elders knowledge and experience without question proves the dominance of the tribe in relation to other tribes of the same race. This is culture we cannot do without and still maintain progress. It is an inheriting method humans grew on and is the corner stone of all civilization. We cannot abandon it. This was the method whereby civilisation became practised.

The scholar sits in class and receives from the Master information that is completely his days breaking news. As far as his mind can tell the news is as actual as anything notwithstanding the fact that such news may be with the human mind for thousands of years. Whatever the teacher tells him is bound to be a first time experience so new he has no time to digest the information. Taking into account his youthful ways (which we all had), he has little stomach to scrutinize it because learning is a painful process to all. Without pain and perseverance there can be no education of any sort. He does very willingly accept the facts as tested and correct without flaws of any kind. The scholar has to because in any education system time will not allow students to ponder about detailing information and securing a prognoses to all learnt every day. What ever the Master tells the scholar is taken as Biblical correct without any thought about testing the results. Where there are cases of scholars having doubt and subsequent questions, the Master takes such behaviour as being obstinate and being a reflection of the student on his (the tutor's) personal integrity and knowledge. The young mind will very soon discover that his behaviour is not tolerated by the system, and the truth is the system cannot tolerate such behaviour for the good of the rest. Time must be spent on learning and accumulating as much information as that which the young mind can accept. The young trusts the knowledge of his elder and superior by not

questioning anything.

No information could be more affected by such a culture than that of Newton. You both understand Newton and are smart, or you do not understand Newton and accept that there will be very little future for you to have in the world of science. Newton is science. No Newton understanding automatically becomes "no science" education or learning. Without Newton, there are no other and science will be a vacuum of containing nothing. This is very unfortunate but is the ultimate of truth. It is either Newton's way or no way at all. With this culture also brought along the stigma that only the minds of the sharp and the sighted can accept and understand Newton and when not understanding Newton one tends to fail your personal I.Q. test. It is a sure sign of the slow witted when the student fails to recognise what Newton said. The only way to advance in science is to understand Newton and indicate to all your peers how brilliant your mind is in accepting information. As I am writing I still fail to understand Newton and therefore I am looked down upon as a slow wit unable "to understand Newton after all these decades of studying Newton.

I am constantly reminded of this handicap and recently an editor enlightened me on the issue. That is why

I feel obliged to refer to my position as ILL EDUCATED in terms of Newtonians that are as privileged and moreover blessed to being SUPER-EDUCATED in "understanding Newton". All students have little understanding about Newton, and that I can and will prove through the next pages of this book. The mistake Newton made and which I discovered is laughable small, yet it took me (not being that bright I may add) almost one lifetime to recognise the mistake whereas it took mankind three hundred and fifty years of research without recognising the flaw. Others in the past may have come to see what I saw, but if there were such persons they never saw what I saw because if they saw what I saw they should also see that behind such an almost invisible puncture hole in the tube of insight is a reason for science to deflate and not accumulate. When "understanding" Newton it becomes the very same as learning Newton from the heart and accept that what you memorise is what you know. The memorised knowledge is beyond question, as it has to form part of the identity of the student having secured the knowledge.

It is not the hole forming the puncture and preventing inflating being as such the obstacle that is of importance but what that hole does to the tire and the car and the travelling with the car that becomes a menace. In the extreme effort to keep the journey on course, the Academic Masters are spending an all out effort in inflating the deflating tire faster than the deflating tire can deflate. The recourses attached to this effort is enormous and without cause. It will lead to nowhere and that is where science is heading in their attempt not to head in that direction.

When students become masters the Masters seek to break new academic ground. Masters do not ponder the ways on which they lead their students, but have an all out effort in establishing their own support of new territory that will distinct them from the rest in future to come. My uncovering of such a mistake as I did could only be from a person as ill-educated as I. I did not go through the learning process where the learning process is the very same as a brainwashing and mind controlling process. This remark may seem harsh and is not intended to be such, because reality demands no other way in education. The mistake and the carrying of the mistake with the support in refusing to recognise such a mistake is part of human training and there is very little to do but to admit that such may occur whereby to follow in acting to correct the mistake after the discovering, rectify what ever can be salvaged and build from there.

Friend and foe think me of alike as being slow of mind and not understanding Newton. This was what I was told on more numerous occasions than I care to remember. Pointing at the mistake I see, I am told by the wise as well as the nit-that-wise, such as I that through my lack in education I cannot dream to understand Newton and therefore are committed to the position of the ILL-EDUCATED, a position I learned to accept with grace. In all cases there are more sides than one and therefore I think of myself as poorly educated, the contras to my position must be those fortunate to be SUPER-EDUCATED. In this letter there will be the addressing to you holding the position of the reader and (I hope) the un-bias judge, with me presenting my case to the un-bias (you) in concerning the third party, the SUPER-EDUCATED. Referring to the party in opposing me as the SUPER-EDUCATED is by no means in disrespect and much to the contrary holds my whole-hearted admiration, (at time somewhat limited I admit.)

The information in this book I purposely made easy to read, and easy to follow. The content however, is only a drop compared to a bucket of information contained in all seven parts of "MATTER'S TIME IN SPACE The Theses". All information presented to you, in the first part of the book is an introduction to the second part. In the latter part of the book the conclusion comes. With out a detailed introduction in the first part, the information in the second part will be of little value, as the information is very conclusive about the first part. I have been researching cosmology since 1978, on a part time basis. The conclusions may seem simple; that however is in retrospect. Some of the arguments took me up to six months to arrive at since I do not have all the information on hand.

Through the first three years alone and by e-mail as well as normal mail, I have contacted more than 1500 academics in physics in numerous countries all over the Globe where I got addresses from the Internet and conveyed this unfortunate occurrence in physics to them. I sent them

post and sent them letters over the e-mail and by land post with no reaction on their part. Not one academic once contacted me in any way image or form. Nothing came back in any form being positive negative or neutral. It was as if I never made any contact. It was as if my e-mail address was not active. To this day, there was not one person, which I contacted that replied or contacted me. No sorry, I stand corrected, that is a lie. One academic contacted me and said he read my book up to page four and stopped because he did not agree with me. He got to page four reading a book of over one thousand two hundred pages and at page four of over one thousand two hundred pages; this genius concluded he was in disagreement with me. He was bright enough to draw a conclusion at page four of a book containing one thousand two hundred and forty odd pages. However, this much I'll say in his favour, up to now he was the only one that ever mailed me on matters concerning my work.

Then to some I sent letters in book form by addressing to their post boxes in addressing the problem that I saw in physics. At this stage all the letters I have sent on so many issues became so numerous that I was able to compile the various letters into one letter that made up a book format. I bought a printer of many thousands of Rand to do the printing and did the books as professional as I possible could. Their ignoring my work was not the result of an inferior presentation or a compromised format. The books contained so many issues and covered such a wide variety of material that I found it impossible to believe those academics had no opinion about my work. Yet from that I received not one conformation that any one received any letter or book. The letters I sent to professors through out the world became so numerous that I started forming books from the letters I sent explaining my point of view about the mistakes and in finding answers and corrections about the mistake there is in physics.

No reply came back. not to discuss my point, not to discuss their point, not to elaborate on the mistake I so clearly saw, not to defend their views about the point I so clearly saw, not to set my mind at ease with some explanation about facts I am unaware of, not to say they are aware of the facts I am not aware of, not to say they are aware of the facts I that am aware of and was drawing their attention to such facts, not to thank me for contacting them confirming their unwillingness to discuss the issue. Not to plainly tell me that I must not contact them again in future for they shall contact me in further corresponding if any such need arises on these matters (at least my Bank manager wrote me this very reply when I approached him for an overdraft although when I was still actively farming but at the time it was during the drought and with my financial position being what it was at the time, I know that on his part he did so just out of common courtesy and not because I was a well respected customer as he called me in his correspondence). There was not one occasion where a reply came saying they thank me but please never to contact then again because they do not wish to correspond with a person with the likes of my type of person. Not one said they were aware or unaware of the issue I pointed out and not even once came one acknowledgement about receiving any documentation carrying the information on paper of the facts that I pointed out. I found that odd but still I went on trying to find some response somewhere about the mistake I discovered and which I was trying to uncover in science.

Remember, this mistake I pointed out was most serious as you will learn in due time. From my point I have the issue is of such a serious nature that science can't function properly

when not correcting this critical error. It is so crucial that it should drive science to a halt. Yet, in all of these concerns I was the only person on Earth seemingly worried or at least having any concerns about the most apparent flaw any one could ever discover.

I could for my effort write them from the grave for I was not even a spook they could not notice although I had the ability to offer the solution that could correct the occurring flaw.

I wrote and printed many books showing my concerns I have about the mistakes. At one point on one day I posted eighty 80 books in one shot to most Grande Universities that were exceptional in their Astronomy Departments and to this day I have received no word in response. This effort I made was just before I went to hospital to have open-heart surgery of a most critical and life threatening nature. I was on the doorstep of death and this was said to me in three different wards in three different hospitals. At the time my health went out the window and I seriously thought that it could be my last attempt while being alive. I printed and bound eighty books while being too weak to pick up one book. I had to ask my sons to pick up and carry the books once I had then bounded. It was an asserted effort on my part that drained my last strength. I say this not to put more blame at their door but to explain how seriously I judged the issue to be and what efforts went into the addressing on my part. That I did it virtually from my death bed was not the concerns of the academics I sent the books to, but from my side it was a last effort because I had more that a good chance to not have pulled through the intense operation. In the books I addressed the issues in detail and the work I named ***An Open Letter to Selected Academics*** in which I proposed the full remedy to the mistakes I indicated. At the time I thought it more than likely that it could have been my last commission I gave myself.

It drained my funding totally and I got nothing back for all the effort and the money I spent in trying to charge a reaction. The only response was just a vacant silence; they did not even E-mail me in response acknowledging that they received such a book that I sent their way.

These letters were my attempting to get all this Brainy Bunch and the Super- Wise - Academic's attention drawn to a theses I have been working on that contain seven books where each is a Thesis in own write since the themes the volumes cover was never yet addressed by science in any given manner. For a seriously long time I tried to get some academic to read any or all or some of these books seriously because the facts a touch is very vital (well in my opinion they are) to the future of science and especially in cosmic physics. But no sooner does any academic learn that I criticize Newton and all interest in whatever I have to say just disappears out the window.

Not even the great Houdini could make anything disappear as fast as the interest the scientists showed when they got the idea I was no praising the brilliance of Newton. It was then that the letter writing started to get some one interested in something I wrote. Even saying I can explain the sound barrier did not provoke much interest especially after hearing it proves Newton had no idea what he was talking about.

The Theses are as follows:

After the operation and while I was recuperating I had an in depth search into my motivation and why I was doing it on my part. I even mentioned to a friend of mine that I was going to dump the entire project because if they didn't care, then why the hell should I care. Then it dawned on me that backing off meant I lost the fight and I have never lost a fight in my entire life. I wasn't going to let them beat me without them proving that the mistake I was accusing them of, was actually my mistake I made.

If they couldn't prove me wrong their ignoring me was not going to win their fight because not fighting back was not going to bring them victory. At this point I was still very gullible about academics being honest and sincere and forming the pillars of civilisation and the anchor in society. I was very impressed with their positions and did not yet see the blemish that I later saw. But the rude awakening came as it hit me like a ton of bricks. All those nice fellows were not all that nice and innocent. As time lapsed my efforts became numerous my suspicions rose about their hostility towards me and their apathy towards my warnings. As time went on I became more aggressive as I became bolder because slowly my eyes opened as I saw the reasons why I was so blatantly ignored. They were fighting for the cause just as I am fighting for the cause but they are fighting to protect what causes my fighting.

At this point so far after all my numerous attempts in trying to establish some contact with academics world wide I wrote seven books in a combination I titled "Matters Time In Space: The Thesis" covering the entire issue of my work. Then there are five more books wherein I combine all the various letters I wrote to academics through out the six years of ardent trying to establish some line of communication. The last letter I addressed to academics I include as part of the content of this web page for your insight and which forms part of one of my books where I join and elaborate on the letters that I combine to form a unit as a book.

In my work I do some things no one having a sane mind should do. I break rules never broken by man since the end of the dark ages. I transgress what no one dared to do in the past three hundred and fifty years or more. I cross a line that is forbidden to cross by any man not being part of the living dead or mentally insane. I go into the darkness of the foreboding chambers of insane madness and mental instability where the most monstrous beast would walk while even he then will be hanging his head in shame.

I venture into the darkness where only those that did the unspeakable crime will enter.

I go into avenues only those with the mind of the animal will have thoughts so criminal and I became one that must be banished from everything civil. Yet, even to this day my madness is so overwhelming that still I have no shame for what I committed.

The Books holding the letters are entitled

- 1) **An open letter On Gravity**
- 2) **An open letter Announcing Gravity's Recipe**
- 3) **An open letter Addressing Gravity's Formula**
- 4) **An open letter About Gravity's Prescription**
- 5) **An open letter Explaining Gravity's Rules**

In the light of all proof and when facing evidence that I do bring, I further even dare an atheist to prove me wrong about Creation. In mentioning this word Creation by name in a science book I break a ground rule enforced by the atheistic dominated world of science. I overstep all boundaries because I prove mathematically that Creation (the entire Universe) came about in the manner exactly and precisely as the Bible states.to the letter). I challenge anyone to prove it did not happen in that way as the Bible describes.

Then I go further and do the unspeakable, the act that proves insanity, the dead that vanquishes the force by distorting the gods presence in physics, becoming the reason why the cause of the future Earth will be destroyed. why what would have been will be no more because I unleashed the wrath of God onto man bringing punishment forth that man cannot endure, while with that evilness I sent my sole to physics hell just because I criticize Newton!

I challenge any one to prove that Newton's gravity is not a deliberate hoax instated to con the world by deception and fraud. I dare whom ever to prove that Newton's vision of gravity is true. I challenge any person to bring proof about any part where any of my theory might be incorrect and furthermore I challenge any Academic in physics to prove that Newton's mass pulling mass by creating gravity committed to unleash a force is anything other than delusion. I charge any one to bring proof that the cosmos is contracting by the force of mass and that mass produce gravity as Newton advocated when he committed the biggest counterfeit and circumventing of the truth and reality that has been perpetrated b y any one in all of times. If you are of the opinion it is ridiculous that I say that Newton committed fraud then answer the allegations I make and which I prove. I know every Newtonian is shouting for my scalp on hearing this allegation and I did consider the penalties I possibly face that goes with such allegations before I made the allegations.

I knew that since the death penalty was abandoned in most countries I contemplated the fact that I could not receive the death penalty for my attitude towards Newton. I could no longer swing on ropes in some gallows or receive electrification by chair or lethal injection although I knew there would be a drive to reinstate this penal conduct in the light of my transgression and the serious nature of my horrific crime against all of humanity. The time has past where I could be charged by the elite in physics whereby there was showing on my part much insane behaviour and that my nature threatened the very fibre of the human race's survival. They could no longer create civil disobedience to entice a crowd so highly emotionally inflated that the crowd will willingly stone me to death or lynch me by elicitation of hysterical mob raging marauding.

With me having such serious allegations to face that those that brought the charges hanging over me could prove to the effect of me willingly and wittingly committing a crime so serious it equals anything to the effect of hierarchy. I could no longer be held accountable for blasphemy against god Newton and by that that I had to answer the charges of hierarchy and address the evilness of my ways in front of the elders from which the punishment I would receive would be a justified torture to death as William Wallace received. I could no longer get whipped until death brings me everlasting relief to my raging madness as well as relief to my enduring agony I suffer from dementia as a result of torture by the hand if the whip master. I knew with some comfort that I will not be arrested and brought in front of the International Tribunal for Human justice in Den Hague where my case will be heard in front of a panel of justices that was paid beforehand to convict me as that will excluding all chances I may have of me not meeting my destiny and death.

Still, I also knew notwithstanding all the security that the new civil liberties brought to modern man, I had to be on guard and vigilant in every step my family or I took because there could be a C.I.A. intervention and an assassination at my family or I, but even that was a small price to pay for my heinous crime against all of humanity. But concerning that even in such an event of assassination by bullet from a distance using a high power rifle meant that death I receive in such a case was quick and simple, very much compatible with the simple minded person I am and the simple life I lead up to that point of being assassinated.

They could no longer hang me from any lamp pole like every pirate should suffer, because the evil I did by criticising Master Newton outweighed any treacherous dead that any pirate could ever have committed. They could no longer decide on a pole of their choice to hang me high, without giving me a fair trial and then for further punishment after my death to leave my body to decay while everyone smelling my degenerating corpse will have a life long remembrance never to commit such a heinous crime, such an unspeakable sin, such a disregard for the holy and the precious and show such an ostentatious example of mental vexing. However I realise regardless of what civil law allow to the academics in physics world wide, in their esteemed opinions, I still deserve such punishment in the eyes of God and of man and that is even including the opinions of all other life forms on Earth for I do what no man may dare do.

I challenge the correctness of Newton's view about gravity. This was testing to what limits I could press the civil ness and the civility of society. Think of my bringing charges to the door

of Newton in dissecting my tenacity by the very morality of the issue and do so in micro detail. I took the liberty that modern society granted me and pushed past all boundaries civil order can endure by criticizing Newton on gravity and mass and force and the Universe in general. This is testing the crux of what the modern mind can endure and still remain civil.

I even improve by showing where, how and why Newton's gravity and mass and force and the Universe in general are incorrect if only I could find an audience prepared to listen.

I show that Newton is incoherent and that Newton broke mathematical principles to validate his corrupt view about physics. He stole the work of Kepler, raped the content there of, vilified the meaning and corrupted the truth in Kepler's work. Then he pinned all the blame on Kepler by naming this corrupt lot after Kepler, as being Kepler's laws giving the lasting impression it was Kepler showing the insanity that Newton in person was guilty of. Newton disgraced the work of Kepler by destroying the correctness thereof and even in this book I prove this statement where my proof can leave no doubt as to its authenticity, and yet I am sure that again there will be not one Newtonian amongst the lot of them that will even glance at this book just because I criticize Newton.

As soon as they find I discuss Newton in a negative light the Academics stop reading notwithstanding what the content holds that they read, for if it is negative even in the least, they find such reading unbearably degradingly disgracing. I think they are the stupid bunch, and they realize it. I think in the end I am the only one that truly understand Newton and can therefore see the mistakes he made in the formulising he did on the subject of gravity coming from mass, while they wouldn't dare read what I have to say about Newton because they then have to defend Newton while they have no idea how to defend Newton. While they are supposedly the ones that are the experts on Newton, they are the ones not understanding a word about Newton. If they read what I say then they would be in a position where they had to agree or disagree on what I say about Newton and then they would also have to give reasons for agreeing or disagreeing on what I have to say about Newton. It is not possible to understand Newton and not also understand the mistakes he made. It is not possible to understand Newton and see the laws in physics as well as in mathematics that he broke to justify his incoherent claims.

If only there were one incident where just one Academic turned around and showed me where my mistakes are in my assessment about Newton's reasoning and could indicate as to where or why I go astray. Instead those Masters in Newton are saying that I am too stupid and uneducated and because of such low ranking I have the inability that all stupid people share which makes that I don't understand Newton. Because Newton is so highly regarded and is such a genius and with me being so low down on the intellectual ladder I have to accept that it is not for every one to understand Newton because Newton requires insight and years of study.

One has to be worthy of understanding Newton to be able to understand Newton. I am sick to my sole of hearing that trash because there is nothing to understand after one realizes that it is Newton himself that was the one that didn't understand any of Kepler's work and for that matter neither did he understand cosmology in general or any aspect of cosmology. Newton

did not understand Kepler! Or the other the spontaneous academic defence of their not having a position defensible about Newton is that usually the meeting abruptly comes to an end as to bring an end to an embarrassing situation on the part of the academic I visit by appointment is the manner they use to ending the conversation.

They end the meeting abruptly with conversing the words to the effect: Mr. Schutte, Newton explains the situation very clearly where he can leave no doubt and there is no point in debating the issue any further. Then in the same breath without reading one word of my work further they add that Newton's explanations are accepted across the world for many centuries and by millions of highly educated and very intellectual persons and there can therefore be no doubt about the correctness of Newton's work and as a fact it is proven through out time that no one ever could prove Newton wrong on any aspect of his work. When I challenge this in protest, I am silenced by a rude gesture.

Some even add that because no one to this day was ever able even once prove Newton wrong it is accepted in cultured circles that therefore any attempt in doing so is fruitless and foolhardy. Only the insane would attempt it. and while they are saying that they hold a book in hand that if they read one paragraph on they would see that I bring the evidence I put in front of you now. I made an hour-long appointment to see the Academic in question. I drove many hours to honour this hour-long appointment. An appointment of one hour normally takes sixty minutes. Not in my case. The busy professor suddenly after learning about what I have to say has other appointments and my engagement timely ends abruptly.

While ending my appointment in a fraction of the time they permitted me to show my work, they are at that time minutes if not seconds into an hour appointment. As soon as they read the first negative implication about Newton or his work or any connecting evidence to his work the reading ends. With that my appointment ends a few seconds into my appointment and this comes where my appointment should just be starting. It is an hour appointment that should take a full hour where the time was appointed or dedicated in seeing me with my problem with whichever professor I made the appointment. The abrupt ending comes in the shortest of time, notwithstanding the time and effort as well as cost on my part to come to honour the appointment.

Then there were those I never got the chance to even start the meeting. When learning over the telephone what my book was about and that I was in dispute about what Newton had to say about gravity, I was sent packing before I could come and visit. At first I thought they didn't get my books or in some way my book never got their attention and to avoid that I insisted on seeing those Academics in person. If they saw me I could see they got the book in person and there was no chance that someone else got the book or that the book got mislaid by accident. Therefore I insisted in delivering the book in person as to avoid their missing my book.

On those occasions where I got to the main gate and announced myself I was ordered to contact the professor which I had the appointment with before receiving a pass to enter the premises. At some Universities I was told to leave my book at the main gate where security checks passes and prevent unlawful entry as security will see that professor so-and-so will

receive my book. When having a telephone conversation with the very esteemed professor I was about to see I was told there was no need for me to enter the front gate because the professor was of the opinion that him and me had nothing to discuss. Whenever I protested he then said he was not acquainted with my work and first had to familiarise himself with the content before contemplating on any further meetings of any nature.

I then was told to leave the book I wrote on the matter of Newton at the main gate before the meeting could take place, as there was no reason for any meeting to take place on the matter of me finding fault with the work of Newton. I was refused entering the institution because the professor could see no reason for meeting me notwithstanding the money I paid and the effort I made to travel a great distance to meet this person. This happened frequently in the past when I told the academic and academics (plural) that I had written a book where I highlighted the errors Newton made. But eventually I got wise and did not mention this fact before the meeting. It was then that I was blown away after a few minutes of meeting me. No sooner did the Professor learn about the nature of my visit and ended our meeting abruptly.

One of my books I named Xepted Newton mistakes and not once did one academic see it fit to give me an interview on the content of the book after hearing the title I chose for the book. I was eventually forced to change the name to Xepted Science Mistakes, which brought me just as little joy in the end. On so many occasion I was told they see no point in reading my book about Newton's mistake since there are no mistakes and therefore there is no point in meeting me on the matter. I came to the conclusion they are on the offensive because they are on the defensive about Newton and that they do because they make no sense of Newton in when considering even a small percentage of his work. If they had made sense of the lot they would be able to see the mess he made. That was my line of thought before I got suspicious of their honesty and when I got a lot less gullible.

It is easy to refer the blame onto me and my "not understanding Newton" where I have the disposition of having no academic standing or authority and I could be shunt away without me resisting their shunting me away. While they rid their location of me by using authority the truth is that it is not I that don't understand Newton because I am the only one that does understand Newton. It is they that misuse their authority and prevent me addressing the issue that prevents me from insisting that they then explain what it is that I do not understand. To tell me that in the case where I officially studied Newton I would then form some frame to use in order to understand Newton but since I am no official student or any candidate that pays for studies, they have no time to explain to me what it is that I do not understand. It can't take that long to show where my error is if I was at error in the first place. I am not that stupid and I am informed about Newton's prognoses on gravity. It is not as if I have no back ground knowledge and had to be schooled in the most primitive basics of the thesis about Newton. The behaviour they show is both not rational or sober for the guard they produce to shield them from me is not responsible behaviour of men with clear reason.

.And since I still show no remorse as much as I show no regret for my insanity. Therefore it is obviously clear that I should be the one that can gain no grounds or benefit from at least one case where there is one academic somewhere showing one bit of doubt about Newton and therefore are prepared just to read my books from start to finish

without their commitment having Newtonian bias that is interfering with their judgement. Not once could I find one academic that would sit with one of my books from start to finish and read past the page where I start to show Newton's defects, although I am so obviously correct on every matter that I state. I am washed off the Earth for I show little regard and even less respect for the consecrated sacrosanct hierarchy of Newtonian wisdom and for that attitude I find no ear in the world of science prepared to listen to my views which they deem as clear insanity.

Later on as my personal naivety about their honesty diminished I could have some blame about my conduct as I addressed their mighty Holiness their Royal Highness The academic professors in astrophysics and in physics, for any misunderstandings they could use against me about the misgivings I had about Newtonian science and they might now condemn me for showing a lot of antagonism and disrespect, showing that I had an attitude, but that was not the case at the very beginning. I am sure that it could at this point influence them where I don't kneel as low and shiver with fear when they enter the building with their wisdom surrounding them like a whirlwind, but this was not the case a few years back and certainly not at the start. In the beginning I showed much respect and that got me nowhere very quickly but also painstakingly slowly and in the overall experience I went through was expensive.

Remember with my health, my diabetes and my heart condition I am unfit to work and I used this in conjunction with my brain to try and edge a little income from the opportunity to sell a book on the matter. That would bring some income and relieve my penurious ness bringing on my situation of destitution and my contribution to science in handing over the solution would be a reward to the world of science in repayment. It is going both ways and to me it is the only door leaving the poverty behind. I lost my farm on poor decision-making but that was in some cases because of health issues that I made poor decisions. But my health was the main reason why I capitulated to the pressure of the creditors and in the end resolved the farm practise. I had a product and there were no buyers because everyone was buying rotten rubbish. That truly got me fed up in the end.

Later on I started attacking their religiosity with venom. Now I go into detail and prove what fools those honourable Academics in physics are and how they corrupt the young mind to brainwash the young and vulnerable in accepting the detestable criminality of lies and deception that they call astrophysics. I challenge any one to show the correctness of Newton's view about gravity in the face of the evidence I am about to bring. I charge students to challenge the academics with the evidence that the academics present to portrait what they advocate as being religiously correct. In order to reach the heart of gravity one must discover the heart of gravity. Those academics that are pretending to be so sure about Newton I give the following challenge:

To find the truth you know is going on, then answer to your person in privacy and in all honesty the following questions that I put to you. It will gauge your state of brainwashing and show the amount of damage that you have suffered this far in your particular and specific case.

Do you know what gravity is?

If you knew would you tell me what gravity is.yes beside it being a force.well we all know that those who should know says that gravity is a force but other than being a force that acts on behalf of mass and pulling unsuspected objects all over, what is gravity, which is besides being Newton's pet force.

If you don't know what gravity is besides knowing that gravity is one of the four forces and it is Newton's original force, then do you know someone that knows what gravity is?

If you still answer in the negative and you still don't know what is that which is behind what is causing of gravity, then have you heard of any one that knows what gravity is?

Maybe there is one Academic professor or a NASA Scientist that knows about some person that knows one who knows what gravity is, other than knowing the fact that gravity is presumed to be one of the four forces and being Newton's personal pet force.

There has to be an Academic professor or a NASA Scientist known to someone somewhere that knows about some person that knows one who knows what gravity is, because there are so many Academic professors and even more numerous in numbers amongst the many NASA Scientists with super human mathematical abilities in the art of physics acting as if they

know. There are even those going around with ideas to renovate the cosmos by assembling space whirls. They apparently plan great voyages that will take man over great distances while they are so informed on the matter of gravity and space-travel amongst gravity. With their absolute phenomenal calculations as they present physics they are showing such abilities in a class which no one can imitate, which must represent a picture about having super knowledge on gravity in the most precise detail.

When reading what they say they are able to accomplish in terms of astrophysics it stands to reason that they know gravity to the smallest detail there is to know and know everything anyone can ever consider knowing or hope to know about gravity. After all they can present the cosmos as they are able to explain the cosmos with gravity taking centre stage in the past, present and future of the cosmos. This they accomplish by presenting a few mathematical formulas in which all of the Universe then are defined.

They can calculate all the matter throughout the entire cosmos, adding every atom by mass into the conclusion of their calculations when they determine the critical density that the cosmos has, which is responsible for the entirety that is providing all the mass that provides all the gravity. They have gone as far as even calculating the explicit required quantities of atoms that forms mass which should be available, which they then find to be short falling in the mass availability through out the vastness of the Universe and the missing mass is not establishing the matter density throughout the vastness of the Universe required to bring Newton's vision on contracting to reality.

Their calculation ability is so vast that they have the opinion about the mass they measure that is forming the gravity they require which is probably less than the requirements needed to substantiate the cosmos' effort in rendering a constant supply of gravity that will eventually secure the returning of everything to where the cosmos came from. This eventuality they named The Big Crunch even before locating the Big Crunch. It is like naming a baby even long before knowing how the procreating is taking place that will lead to impregnating of some member of the specie (which member it will be is still unclear) where it later on will lead to conceiving the baby. That is the manner in which science dogma is enunciated but that is how clever those are that knows everything there is to know on gravity, or so they pretend to have in their promotions.

They know gravity to split detail where the detail goes to such precise extend that they are able to calculate how much gravity the missing dark matter in all the Black Holes must be to provide a force allowing the cosmos in experiencing the next big implosion that is coming somewhere in the future. That the implosion must come even in the face of insufficient gravity is a certainty otherwise Newtonian physics is completely inadequate in their cosmic vision about the Universal future! With their having this qualified virtue of intellectual splendour spawning such phenomenal abilities they then would have to know what gravity is!

Well, if you don't know any one that knows anybody that knows someone somewhere that is familiar with the ins and outs of what is causing gravity, I then can assure you I know about someone that knew all there is to know about gravity. I am the person that knows

someone and that someone knew gravity. but he is dead now...died a premature death long before his time (have you ever heard of any person that died spot on at the second that was his time where he was suppose to die). He sadly passed away and is no longer with us. Still I would like to introduce him to you. and about his work of course, if you would page on.

If that is the formula the cosmos abide to and that is the formula controlling the cosmos the radius of all things should lead gravity on an eternal quest to voyage towards and finally into the centre of the Universe. If gravity is taking whatever there are to where all things will end and all things are forced by gravity in relation to move according to mass to such a point that will unite the entirety of what is into what will be that outcome can only be where the centre of the Universe are allocated at this moment. The first thing to do is to find where r^2 ends because where r^2 ends it will be where we will locate the centre of the Universe.

The factor r^2 should eventually lead everything to the centre of the Universe in the end. then where is the centre of the Universe and where is the factor r^2 going and conclude in the end at the final destiny?

Facts I advise the reader to become acquainted is the following:

Did you know that not one of the supposed laws Newton invented going under his name or the name of other innocent person's such as Kepler, does apply in the cosmos just as Newton described it must. It is not I that is at odds with Newton, but it is every principle applied by the Universe that totally contradict every declaration that Newton made.

This is my introduction and this is my prologue:

But before I can commence with that task I have another duty administer: I AM ABOUT TO WARN EVERY PERSON IN SIGHT OF MY WORK ABOUT MY SLENDER ABILITIES.

Therefore in the light of what the most respected academic group on Earth accuses me of, I therefore

have to issue a most serious warning to any person with the intention of making some kind of inquiry to the content this book holds, then the most concerning matter involving any content within the pages of this book you hold are that you must please seriously consider that where the stating declares the possibility that the content in this book has been (**written by.**) then don't take the announcing **Written By Peet Schutte (Petrus S. J. Schutte)** very seriously for there are grievous doubts leaving considerable dispute about the possibility, which underwrites the authenticity of **Peet Schutte** achieving the (**written by. Peet Schutte**) status. **Please take note of the following dehortation.** In the light of the reference to me serving in the capacity as being responsible for authoring, (**written by.**) in line of keeping fairness and justice to members of society, where all civil beings should carry reputed honesty, then: **Please be warned before any reader starts reading about the following extremely serious admonition:** I am bound by my conscience to warn all intended readers that **I am placed under caution by the Academics in Physics. Those most esteemed members responsible for the guardianship and maintaining the ethos in physics are of the opinion that I, Peet Schutte, am unable to write any book on the science of Physics as well as Astrophysics. Therefore, I, Peet Schutte, must declare that I should be considered as not very able to write anything, because I am incapable thereof. I suppose, I merely generate new information, which I establish as thoughts and then gather as concepts. I further collect the result as words, which I put on**

paper using alphabetic symbols. I then compile that in a format that others may confuse with a book, but a book it cannot be, since the Masters in science found me unable to write a book. But before you go further and follow my arguments, I first have to level with you about how academics view me in the position I hold. Please do not allow me to fool you, for this then cannot be, or represent a book. Now I have done my duty in warning everyone and in that, I denounce further participating with any purposive intention to wilfully bring down the crux of civilization by acting unacceptable and irresponsible.

I didn't write any books since according to those wise enough to judge me without ever reading my work that I am not schooled to do so. It is my guess that I merely generated uninformed thoughts, which I collected as alphabetic symbols and plotted that in ink on paper. This effort I achieved from harbouring my delusional ideas spawned by a dehumanised brain. It only proves my weak and under developed mentality, due to my lack of an informed insight that is a typical symptom that all those have that is suffering from a disadvantaged past that one can only have when the person obviously lacks formal education. While you are reading the letter deciding to regard or dismiss my work, then also please keep in mind when reading my language used and also please give credit where it belongs. If you do find linguistically improper use of words or misspelling, then remember that I am a feeble minded motor mechanic and not a literal giant.

I do find much pride in my status as being Afrikaner and would like to have my names used by pronouncing it in the manner Afrikaans dictates. Therefore I would sincerely appreciate the courtesy when readers will take note that my name and last name are pronounced in **Afrikaans**, which is originally from Dutch and must be pronounced that way. Peet one would pronounce "**here**" which is the closest English to the pronouncing of the "**ee**". The "**Sch**" in **Schutte** is pronounced exactly as school is where both actually are pronounced **Skutte** or "**skool**". By pronouncing my name in **Afrikaans** you do me the utmost courtesy any one can. Being an Afrikaner is what I am most proud of.

I submit article to well known physics magazines but my articles are rejected on the most unappeasable grounds and for the most outrageously ridiculous reasons the Newtonians can think of. I explain how gravity forms but I am rejected because they are of the opinion that my work does not meet

One such an article I may use because I said I was going to use the material as an open letter I gladly show. I submitted an article in which I show what the manner is in which gravity conducts movement by means of singularity.

I wish to produce two of the mail response I received when I contacted members of the Physics Academic establishment and show my case while also showing the response I received. Readers will find witness of what I accuse science of and their demeaning attitude from the e-mail of two that I present.

To whom it may concern and all others reading this document just the following concerns:

>>> "Peet Schutte"

Dear Prof Iben Maj Christiansen, I have received your e-mail reply and I will follow your advice to the letter. The book containing four volumes that I sent to you accompanying the proposed article, is the collection I named The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity and as such the collection forms a small introduction to the thirty-two or so books I wrote on various matters concerning physics, but as such does not officially even start to introduce the spectrum of every aspect of my work. I have been in contact with numerous Academics and about one in one hundred reply. When the one in a hundred reply, the academic always uses a most aggressive tone which I came to accept as what I receive from academics, and because of that I was most delighted to find some kind remarks from you as a practicing academic, and might I add, the first such kind remark in ten years of my trying to contact any person in physics that would take note of what I have to say about a new line of thought.

The New Cosmic Theory I try to convey in total is much information and every time when publishers reject the publishing of any entire book I propose, the rejection was on the grounds that "the discourse is not falling within the main-stream science discourse" and therefore I was subsequently advised to write articles on the subject as to find recognition. I was told that only then could I achieve publication of any entire book. Now I find that trying to publish articles has my work rejected on grounds as follows and the following is directly coming from the reply in which one of my articles was rejected recently: "You submitted an article of 15 pages to the "Journals Name Left Out". (I do not wish to include the name of the journal for obvious reasons but quote the reply as I received it). "The content of this paper doesn't constitute a theory in physics. With a lot of words and some simple algebraic relations, there is no way to "explain" the world of physics. You seem to be out of touch with modern developments. This is also shown by the fact that you don't quote any relevant literature." It is not possible to introduce the totality of my work in 15 pages (or whatever a journal would allow) and remain coherent during such an introduction about anything.

I am trying to introduce a study I have done during twenty-seven years of research and there is not one word that I can quote from any other source since every word comes from conclusions that I make and I prove with the use of logic and believable uncompromising mathematics. All I try to do is to find a medium wherein I can tell some interested parties where to go to read my work and then for them to judge me on their merit and not be sidelined by rules set by academics in charge of publishing. Let everyone read my work and then after that let all readers be opinionated by personal opinions applying. Everyone goes on about the unfairness Galileo endured at the hands of the Catholic Church, but at least the Church allowed Galileo to publish his work so that the entire world could take note. Every one in science as well as the Church thought Galileo was out of touch when he declared the science wisdom prevailing at the time was incorrect, and five hundred years later we know who was out of touch. I do not compare my work with that of Galileo but I find the same restrictions brought on me by the Powers of the day controlling science.

In this light I am most delighted by your attitude.

I have tried to acquire the addresses of the academics on the list I include, which I highlight, but was unsuccessful in doing so. Could you please show further kindness and supply me with contract addresses so that I could contact any one and try to arrange such a meeting as you suggested.

Peet Schutte.

Please find our Email Disclaimer here-->: <http://www.ukzn.ac.za/disclaimer>

Dear Peet,

Those on your list I know are in science education. You need someone in pure physics.

I am afraid that you will continue to get rejections if you do not relate your work to existing theories and previous work. While it is possible that a lay person hits on an insight that has been overlooked by academic trained in the field over many years, it is unlikely. We assume that work offering something new would be related to existing theories, either by building on top of them or by showing how and where they fall short. If you do not relate to existing work, it is repeatedly going to be dismissed as mind spin too easy to shoot down.

I am sure you understand. Iben

The following is the response I received when I submitter a paper on how gravity forms by singularity forming.

Dear Dr. Schutte,

You submitted an article of 15pages to the Annalen. The content of this paper doesn't constitute a theory in physics. With a lot of words and some simple algebraic relations, there is no way to "explain" the world of physics. You seem to be out of touch with modern developments. This is also shown by the fact that you don't quote any relevant literature.

I am sorry to say, but the Annalen is not able to publish your work. I am sorry for having no better news for your.

Best regards, Friedrich Rehl

Co-Editor Annalen der Physik (Berlin)

--

Friedrich W. Rehl, Inst. Theor. Physics

* University of Cologne, 50923 Koeln ____//____Germany fon +49-221-470-4200 or -4306, fax -5159

hehl@thp.uni-koeln.de, <http://www.thp.uni-koeln.de/gravitation>

* Univ. of Missouri, Dept. Phys. & Astr., Columbia, MO, USA

Dear Prof Friedrich W. Hehl, I have received your e-mail reply and I wish to respond on your letter. The article of 15 pages to the Annalen had in mind to introduce a very wide-ranging concept contained in many books. I wish to promote books in which I introduce a much larger and much more detailed cosmic picture.

It is four books that actually form four volumes of one theme supporting **The New Cosmic Theory**. I wish to unveil a totally new approach to the thinking in cosmology. The concept is proposed in the article I sent to you which is "revealing" **The New Cosmic Theory**

In the article as much as the theme I wish to go where no one ever attempted to go before. I introduce guide you to the point where I go so that you may see where my books and the article lead you. **thedomainsingularity**.

When you read work about the Big Bang you have to go right down the development (in reverse order) to the point where the Theory of the Big Bang points at a spot named singularity. It shows the very start from where all material developed. At that point one will find **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** and there has never been any attempt by any person ever to venture beyond the dimensional birth of the cosmos, which is called the Big Bang by going into the era where singularity prevailed. I take you there in my books as well as the unpublished article.

However, going there requires a very high degree of concentration and calls for understanding that a very little number of persons are capable to show. I try to show how the Universe goes "flat" as Einstein said the Universe goes "flat". Even by completing this unimpressive letter you will also know how the Universe goes "flat". Even where you failed to read the article I sent you, then by just reading this letter you will be able to find where singularity takes the Universe "flat". But it requires a mental capacity to understand because where I venture no one ever in the history of mankind reached into before.

I do not speculate but even in the unpublished article I show with pictures and sketches as well as "some simple algebraic relations" where to go to where the Universe starts, but you failed to read that because you are opinionated as to what conditions should the Universe have before the Universe will allow any one into physics. That is a pity. One should learn from the cosmos and not tell the cosmos what it must be to qualify as the cosmos. Then in the article I show you by almost taking your finger to the spot, the very point where the Universe ends and that too I qualify. You might dispute my arguments and show me about what you disagree, but it shows very little understanding of reason on your part about qualities man should have before understanding the Universe.

I go into a Universe that was in place before light was in place in the Universe and only darkness prevailed because light calls for space and in that era of singularity space was not even a thought yet. I show why the Universe goes "flat" and in a "flat" Universe only the value of 1 holds value since singularity is 1. If you can understand 1 or $5^0 \times 7^0 \times 3^0 = 1^1$ you have all the mathematical skills required to understand the applying concepts. To reach a value of 1 does not require big mathematical equations but to reach singularity requires 1.

The collection I named **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: The Theses** and the collection as such forms a small introduction to the thirty-two or so books I wrote on various matters concerning physics with gravity in mind, but **The Theses** as such in the entirety of the four books does not officially even start to introduce the spectrum of every aspect of my work. I have been in contact with numerous Academics and about one in one hundred reply. When the one in a hundred reply, the academic always uses a most aggressive tone which I came to accept as what I receive from academics, and because of that I was most delighted to find some kind remarks from you as a practicing academic, and might I add, the first such kind remark in ten years of my trying to contact any person in physics that would take note of what I have to say about a new line of thought, because the few others that replied were extremely aggressive about me confronting Newton. I only began to submit books to publishers after twenty-seven years of studying Newton and the role Newton play in cosmology and thereafter which was ten years ago I began promoting these ideas. **The New Cosmic Theory** is a process wherein I try to introduce a study that is ongoing for about thirty-seven years, give or take a few and I did not jump into the frying pan having my first thought about the matter published as an article when I sent the article to the address of Annalen der physics.

This is the ridiculous concepts that Professor Doctor Friedrich W. Hehl, Inst. Theor. Physics supports. Because I don't conduct tainted mathematics and highly suspicious Newtonian concepts covered by completely ridiculous malfunctioning concepts, I am despised as the ridiculous small-minded novice that is openly very feeble in thought. I do not support complete rubbish as this formula indicates the Newtonian vision of physics represents, but for my failing to go along with total trash my work gets rejected time and again by every science publisher I approach.

I was told, "With a lot of words and some simple algebraic relations, there is no way to "explain" the world of physics". Now I am going to go as public as possible and to use "words" to explain physics and to show how incredibly untrustworthy the world of Newtonian physics is. All you that think Newtonian physics is in reliability equal to God professing through the mouth of Newton don't be cowards by not reading my work as you always do but confront the conspiracy all of you are part of.

$$F = \frac{r^2}{M_1 M_2}$$

What you should answer is "how did this formula become equal in every

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

respect to this formula and never change any aspect of its characteristics or identity.

You go and do this swapping of relevancies in your mathematic class and then see what your mathematics teacher think of your modus operandi. This is what physics believe is true and because I question this I am wrong.



Newtonian science creates a factor such as mass to fake reality and misrepresent true physics. As long as they cheat, they seem clever and supremely superior when compared to the rest of us inferior beings. They live in a Universe fit for Alice in Wonderland! Please, for the love of God, show me what role does mass play in this picture. Show me how the biggest stars is locked together and the smallest stars are pushed to the outside. Show me where gravity "pulls" what to where and how gravity forms in this picture. Show where will dark matter hide. The idea of proof comes automatically to the door of Newton although Newtonians will deny this fact as if they deny the honour of their Master Newton and that is what they have to do. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. The term pulling does not suggest any circling because no one can be pulling towards and do that while circling. Going in a straight line serves the term pulling. Then the saying goes that planets orbit indicating they follow a circle. That is not what Newton said. Never do we refer to the planets pulling the Sun or the Sun pulling the planets, but we speak of seasons coming from orbital positions. Being in orbit has to neutralise the pulling and then cancel the pulling concept that also became culture. The Universe is growing!

If there was a pulling, and the word orbit cancels such an idea, then there has to be some

sort of prevention taking place that disallows the pulling to commit the direction of travel. I know it is said that the orbiting object falls as fast as it circles and by falling while moving to the following side on position it never reaches the Sun, and yes, it makes sense, but there has to be some form of resistance replacing the planet in the next side position and preventing the falling or the pulling from taking place. The person Newton got his ideas from and the work he raped completely, that of Johannes Kepler explained this very well, but Johannes Kepler makes no room for any pulling of any sort. In the work of Johannes Kepler he said that the space being the orbiting route a^3 remains at a specific distance k while the orbit T^2 takes place. and in all my other books that addresses more information I take Newton to task on his dismembering of Kepler's formula by corrupting Kepler's work and with what amounts to fraud, Newton takes science on a goose chase that holds no truth. There is no pulling by mass to form a force of gravity in any way. Newtonians are faking the truth because the truth makes them seem small while Newton's misrepresenting cosmic principles allow those in science to paint their own Universe as they wish to present the Universe in the way they fake the story. Show me where there is evidence confirming a factor such as mass. Show me what makes the circling of Jupiter going around the sun faster than any of the small rocks in the asteroid belt. Newton placed mass in the formula he said Kepler represented. Could anyone show how much is the giant planets closer to the sun than the small planets are, keeping in mind that the pull of gravity must be exceedingly greater between the sun and the large planets.

Could anyone show how much does the giant planets orbit faster around the sun than the small planets do, keeping in mind that the pull of gravity must be exceedingly greater between the sun and the large planets.

Could anyone show how much is there a greater gravity-whatsoever between the giant planets and the sun than the small planets have less of, keeping in mind that whatever Newton mass would benefit because the pull of gravity must be exceedingly greater between the sun and the large planets. Whatever Newton saw, was in his dreams. Anything they say that mass gives is cosmically unrealistic.

What will the mass of the gas planets have that put them in a different stance when going around the sun than what the small planets have? By small I refer to Earth, Venus, Mars and say Mercury. All planets orbit equally.

The fraud factor came about when Newton destroyed the work of Kepler by changing the work of Kepler without understanding the principle factor guiding the work of Kepler. The fact that one can use $a^3 = T^2k$ puts the Kepler formula in the realm of singularity. I give away free books that explain how this comes about. One such a book is [The Absolute Relevance of Singularity: The Article](#) as well as another book that indicate the working more specific and is therefore require a higher degree of understanding because it is more technical is

[The Absolute Relevance of Singularity: The Website](#). I wish to reflect on the modern conspiracy to hide Newton's failure and the deception to cover up Newton's fraud by engaging in almost unlimited fraud in the modern era. The science of the era had Newton's principles wrapped up.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Having the formula that Newton gave as $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ enabled those who could "understand" the principle of "mass pulling" bringing about "a force" that Newton called gravity. This force was supposed to pull whatever was present in the Universe closer to whatever was present in the Universe. The formula gave man an equality to the power only God had because now it was the ability of the physicist to redesign the Universe and correct the failing God made in the Universe. The formula enables science to play a game designing the cosmos and the game

used $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ as the prime basis. The Universe was working on a force valued at the measure mass that produced this force and the Universe was coming together pulling everything into one spot. The Universe was contracting. until a man came along going by the name of E. P. Hubble and this man destroyed the godlikeness of Newton and therefore all his followers as well. This no good science cheat could allow!



E.P Hubble is generally credited with discovering the red shift of galaxies.

From his own measurements of galaxy distances based on the period- luminosity relationship for Cepheid with measurements of the red shifts associated with the galaxies, Hubble and discovered a shift in material that clearly showed material was moving apart. The distance between materials was growing and was not contracting as Newtonians believed.

Hubble and Humason were able to plot a trendline from the 46 galaxies they studied and obtained a value for the Hubble-Humason constant of 500 km/s/Mpc, which is clear evidence of a Universe that was growing from every point away from every point. In 1929 Hubble and Humason formulated the empirical Red shift Distance Law of galaxies, nowadays termed simply Hubble's law, which, if the red shift is interpreted as a measure of recession speed, is consistent with the solutions of Einstein's equations of general relativity for a homogeneous, isotropic expanding space. Although concepts underlying an expanding Universe were well understood earlier, this statement by Hubble and Humason led to wider scale acceptance for this view. The law states that the greater the distance between any two galaxies, the greater their relative speed of separation.

This discovery was the first observational support for the Big Bang theory, which had been proposed by Georges Lemaitre in 1927. The observed velocities of distant galaxies, taken together with the cosmological principle appeared to show that the Universe was expanding in a manner consistent with the Friedmann-Lemaitre model of general relativity.

All of this shouts one thing **"Newton is wrong!"** Newton's lovely contracting Universe was not contracting or receding but was expanding by growing. Newton's failures surfaced and with it the entire Humans population could see Scientists in Physics new less about physics than does an ape know anything about maritime exploring. This took away.no, this robbed those that thought they are equal to God their insight into God's cosmic manufacturing process and this showed the world that they were not only not equal to God but was just like Newton, utter failures as professional that knew nothing of their trade. If this evidence of Newton came to the knowledge of those idiots forming the general population that they knew even less about physics than the general population, then they were as much the idiots as the general population. "Understanding Newton" always made them in physics superior with the ability to know what God and Newton knew and that vision gave them recognition and the superiority to look down on those with lesser abilities.those that did not "understand" Newton. If this came out that the Universe was not contracting by the measure of mass, then those that the educated Newtonians saw as the ones that knew nothing then uncovered the intellectuals as "Understanding Newton" but with Newton the intellectuals did not understand the cosmos. This revelation would uncover them as being the real simpleminded persons who thought they knew everything but was the ones that knew nothing about cosmology. Those unfortunate ones that did not "understand Newton" as being was then correct all along when they did not understand Newton because Newton was wrong and the intellectuals that "understood Newton" were ones that apparently knew nothing. Then those that did not "understand" Newton was correct because with Newton being incorrect those that understood Newton was the simpleminded minority that did not "understand" what the majority knew by realising Newton did not "understand" physics. They had to devise a plan to save the day. They had to cover Newton's deceptions with more deceiving. They had to go more criminal by topping the corruption to a higher degree as even Newton went before the discovery. They had to devise a plan that would outsmart everyone. They created missing mass in the critical density scam. This is how it works.

I have many books explaining how Newton corrupted science and this would take too much space to explain that. There is a book for free www.sirnewtonsfraud.com. I am not going into

that at this venture.

They devised the critical density theory. How smart can those that are smart get when they truly try to be smart to save their skins? How brainy can the Brainy Bunch be when they deceive a plan to outfox all of the Human kind? You can go to the Internet and read all the multiple arguments about the Universe going flat and contraction therefore come about although not one sod amongst them know the least how singularity works. You can read in books I give for free how singularity works because I plot singularity as 1 and not as a complex formula. It is in **The Absolute relevancy of Singularity: The Theses** and the more complex explanation is in **The Absolute relevancy of Singularity: The Website.** There is too many arguments presented to mention so if you have a year or two filled with boredom, then search the web and go waste your time on fairy tails. You will see what they present when they have no idea what they present.

Here it is in a nutshell; what this is, it is not a theory that is called the critical density theory but it is a criminal venture conceived by the intellectual minds in physics to go criminal and defraud the world. They decided that the blame of the Universe not working as Newton said it does has to be placed at the door of the Universe. The Universe is lacking matter to contract as Newton said it should. Newton still remains absolutely correct. The blame for the mistake is diverted to the cosmos and away from Newton. Newton did not lack the insight to see the Universe expands, no; the Universe lacks material to contract. The Universe made the flaw and now we must find how the Universe will correct its flaw. The Universe went wrong by not having the sufficient mass and therefore the Universe must correct the lack of mass to get the Universe back in line with Newton. The blame for the mistake must be laid in the midst of the material within the Universe. Newton's formula stands correct and all suspicion goes in the way of how the cosmos was designed not to applaud the truthfulness of Newton.

Students, read the work I offer free of charge and learn how you are brainwashed and how your mind is pre- conditioned into believing in Newton's myth of pure deception which Academics call physics. If you are a student in physics who don't believe that you are subjected to unlawful brainwashing, then read on. Download work I offer free of charge. They come up with the most ferocious mathematical formula that should prove everything they say but all the formula proves is that the one trying to use the formula is out of touch with reality. If ever there is a person trying to impress with the fantastic mathematical formula explaining how the Universe works to his calculation, ask him or her to formulate when the earth and the moon will hit each other. Every formula they use found its basis on the perception that mass forms a force of contraction called gravity. Before they start to bewilder you with breathtaking calculations, call their bluff. ask them to show when will the earth and the moon collide. Tell them to use the mass of the earth and the moon and divide the product thereof with the distance between the earth and moon and then show what a force there is driving the gravity!

All those unrealistic arguments the Brainy Bunch offer as to why the missing mass or dark matter will bring a clarifying solution to avenge Newton has one damning flaw. Whatever they

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

bring as an argument is tainted by a law in mathematics. It is built into the formula . If the radius increases, then the

value of the mass reduces while staying the same. If the factor representing the radius r^2 becomes $2 r^2$, then by the very same token does the mass become half of its previous value! It is effectively this is

$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{2xr^2}$ is $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{2}$ and this will bring about that while the cosmos is expanding, the worth of the mass is reducing by the same margin.

This is not rocket science; this is mathematics at its most basic. If the Universe was expanding then the measured value of the mass was declining that is if mass was responsible for producing contracting gravity. They are the ones that are the masters in mathematics. They are the ones that know mathematics better than anyone else on earth. and they missed this truth. This missing the basics was as deliberate as it was swindle the hide Newton's incompetence and with it their failure to understand physics.

This is where the second conspiracy started. The first conspiracy was the idea of mass that produces gravity and Newton's fraud to convince the world to believe him. Then the Masters-of-Deceit called Mathematical Physicist devised a plan to protect Newton's image and therefore their academic standings. They got Albert Einstein to hunt for the presumed missing mass and thereby distract attention away from Newton's oversight and their failure. Albert Einstein carried the heavy burden of being acclaimed the title of the best mathematical mind of all times. If you bend mathematical laws you will get a distorted Universe, as distorted as the Newtonian Universe is. The Universe doesn't agree with Newton's principles and Newtonian science has to bend all aspects to get it to fit.

You know. Einstein must have been very dumb or very dapper. Einstein must have been exceptional foolish or extremely brave and you can decide on what merit I suggest you should judge. In astrophysics they teach you about the critical density theory and how Albert Einstein calculated all the mass of the entire Universe and found the Universe fell short of Newton's expectations that was needed to drag the Universe back into one spot. Because of the critical density theory coming short we now sit with the dilemma of Dark Matter hiding in invisible black places waiting in ambush an unsuspecting Universe! If it was not for Einstein counting all the matter in the Universe and found it was too little, the hunt for dark matter would not have raged on.

It is because of this shortcoming that the entire group of science explorers are now searching (mostly in vain I hear) to locate the missing mass hiding in dark matter somewhere in obscure places. Whata load of garbage all of that is because look at the picture. Do you think it is possible for one man, (or a million men) to calculate just the mass we see in this one photo? Those in academic posts lecturing you on Newton's correctness think they are the wise and are able to try to fool you because those professors think you lot of students are a bunch of mindless-monkeys

that must believe anything they say because they think that only they have the ability and the mind to think and because you are a stupid student, simple-minded when compared to them, you can't think and therefore you will believe anything they say. If Albert Einstein thought himself capable of measuring only the material we see in this picture, then Albert Einstein was a fool with higher ego than what his IQ was. He thought he could measure the Universe and being that egoistic is stupidity crossing over to the insane.

In fact it is very obvious from what we see that Albert Einstein was no mathematical genius, he was an academic stooge, standing in for the other Academics to try and divert the looming shame by extending the visible problem of Newton getting uncovered. If Einstein was not able to see the reality of relevant formulations then he was more stupid than I am and I am so stupid I don't even understand Newton or so I am told.

This is the academic excepted values: The average density of matter in the Universe today that would be needed exactly to halt, at some point in the future, the cosmic expansion. A Universe that has precisely the critical density it is said to be *flat* or *Euclidean*. If the density of the Universe is greater than the critical density, then not only will the expansion be stopped but there will be a collapse of the Universe in the distant future. In this closed Universe scenario, the Universe will eventually implode under its own gravitational pull, leading to an event known as the Big Crunch. If the density is less than the critical density, an open Universe scenario plays out in which the cosmic expansion will continue forever. The critical density is calculated to be about $(1 \text{ to } 2) \times 10^{-26} \text{ kg/m}^3$ - about 100 times greater than the average density inferred from all the known visible matter in the form of galaxies. However, when the inferred presence of dark matter and, possibly, of dark energy, is take into account, the Universe appears to be pretty close to the density called for by the flat scenario.

From these figures, how old is the Universe? What is its future? However, to answer them, it is necessary to know the density of the Universe, also known as "omega" (Ω). What a lot of rubbish this is! The Brainy Bunch insists that the Universe is 13 billion years old. This they measure by using the speed of light. In the first instant the Universe was the size of a neutron. It took some time to get the entire Universe to the size of five millimetres. That was the Universe.

The speed of light travels at what the speed of light = 299 792 458 m | s. At this rate light travels across a vastness of space 12 billion years to reach us. When the Big Bang came about the Universe was the size of a neutron. Take note on this issue; the entire Universe was the size of a neutron they say. What was the speed of light then? They say at the present it is 299 792 458 m | s, with which I completely disagree but let us leave this argument at that. The speed of light could not have been 299 792 458 m | s when the entire Universe was the size of one millimetre. That means the overwhelming distance of this 12 billion light years the light had to travel at a speed of less or equal to 1 millimetre | 10 billion years, because the Universe was so small at the time! The Universe was 13 billion years ago say five millimetres. Then what was the speed of light at that point? They say it takes the light coming from the furthest images 12 billion years to travel to us since the light travelling now

left where it came from 12 billion years ago. At that point the Universe then was about the size of a pea. If the Universe was across the size of a pea, then light took a long time to travel a very short distance!

The light back then could not have travelled 299 792 458 m | s 12 billion years ago because 12 billion years ago the entire Universe was a couple of centimetres across. How fast was the speed of light when the Universe was the size of a pea. Then light travelled 10 million years to cross one yocto (y)(10^{-24}) of a mille meter. In terms of us today, light back then stood still. If it took the light 12 billion light years to cross, then the first part of the journey was pretty slow which makes the measure of time travelled versus space crossed rather ridiculous in every aspect it is portrayed. This means a lot of the light years it took to cross had to be billions of years just to gain one millimetre of space. Then the Universe must be trillions upon trillions of years older that they reckon it now is. It took a lot more darkness to form all the space is present in the entire Universal than the 12 billion years they say it did That brings us to the dark matter bit and the conspiracy that carries on undeterred. At present the conspiracy went as far as forming dark matter with (I suppose) dark energy.

This dark matter hides in places we can't see. This dark matter is what now forms the lost matter that protected Newton's image of correctness. Still Newton is untouchable because now in the present time the undiscovered dark matter is waiting to contract the Universe and this dark matter hanging suspended is what protects Newton. Is that not that sweet? Is that not the bedtime story every five year old would wish to hear every night? Every child will go to sleep feeling secured and in comfort. We can't attack Newton because the unseen matter that is dark is protecting Newton. Newton becomes untouchable by undiscoverable, unseen untraceable material that lives like a fairy tail in fantasy. If there is dark matter, what is the dark matter waiting for before it unleashes its incredible mass deployed force of gravity on this little unsuspecting Universe. What is preventing the dark matter from forming gravity that will do the job at this point in time? Why is it that the matter must be dark and must be seen in order not to form gravity. If the matter is present and forming a part of the Universe, albeit dark or not, seen or unseen, detected or not, if it has mass and if mass does bring about a force and the force is contracting gravity, then it must employ gravity. What is suspending this dark matter from kicking in and clocking in for duty? What prevents the dark matter from starting to get pulling? This is as big a scam as all the rest of the fraud they use to cover up Newton's fraud. I am showing all of this to prove how much deception there is in cosmology. Everyone in astrophysics is living a fantasy and everyone can make as they please, as long as the mathematical calculations seems to be in order. The reality and the viability or the lack thereof is no one's concern. As long as they can come up with stupefying formulated mathematics any dream will do. And the conspiracy carries on as long as it avoids reality and is void of constructive argumentative facts.

One night so many moons ago I have no intention of dating the time to which I refer, I was sitting outside while anticipating how to solve the riddles of the Universe. Well at least I was attempting to solve the part that riddles me and with my meagre qualifications it did not take that much to riddle me. Sitting outside and staring at the night sky gave me a break from all the confusion that faced me as I was again rejected by one of the so many academics rejecting my work and at the time I was still taking their rejection seriously and took their replies to heart. Then I saw the darkness of the night sky and compared that darkness with the brightness we find the star portrait in order to inform us of its location. That made me wonders about this thing called light and the manner in which light travels.

Have you as you sit reading this part at this minute sat back and gave a thought about the light enabling you to read? Yes it is simple in Newtonian terms because Newtonians keep everything at a child's mentality level so that they might not be confused. There is a wave of photons travelling at the rate of time and travels through space and time that is equal to time. What a load of rubbish did Einstein dump on the human race with that observation? It comes from some formula he or someone like him devised where they firstly manage to stop time. How they can achieve stopping time is beyond my limits of understanding but then again I admit I am one of those that so not "understand Newton". However, it does fit into the thought pattern of the Newtonian since their great Master also accomplished this outrageous deed. They go and put time equal to one. This then they manage in terms of placing the velocity that any object moves in relation to the speed of light and it is the square of this that they put at the root of this which they deduct from time being one and suddenly by

the magic of mass it all comes down to time standing still. They put time at one (1) and deduct the square of the velocity any object travels (V^2) from the speed of light (C^2) which then also serves as a velocity and which then formulises as $t = (1 - (C^2 - V^2))$. No argument is given as to why time and the speed of light should be the same other than Einstein fantasizing. Let's put the argument to the test of logic and see what happens.

If the speed of is equal to time one of two things will apply: either time as the speed of light will fit into eternity and stand completely still eternally because eternity is the fact that nothing changes as al remains unchanged. It is infinity that changes eternity by interrupting eternity. This might seem a little complicated but read [The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Website](#) where I introduce the points holding infinity as well as eternity. The concept is so easy to understand because do not hide untruths behind a collection of bizarre ideas. I shall give you a quick view into the physics I try to introduce and believe me; the impact of this introduction enrolls every aspect cosmic physics may ever offer. This shows the human mind is not some mathematical computing device but an instrument of collective logical informative thinking about concepts outreaching simple computing by the way of mathematics. The only thing connecting what is to what was is light. Light brings the past to the present and therefore that is where physics must start and not with calculations. Time moves light through space. That means when having light as time in eternity, which is what outer space is, then infinity will never interrupt light and light will never move from the point it holds. When we look at any object in the distance it is the light that brings the object to us. Yes that does happen to light but there are specific qualifications that have to apply before that will happen. If light was using infinity as time then light must move through the Universe from end to end before time can establish a moment or any name one wish to give for the smallest duration possible.

What this says is that if light was equal to time then light must travels across the Universe in one instant of infinity. Then only can time and the speed of light be equal. The Universe Einstein referred to is the multitude of a combination of indefinite numbers of Universes all having an equal value and therefore all being equal and one but they are definitely not one from our point of view. Such a thought brings to mind the most simplistic answer one can imagine. Every atom is an entire Universe in space.

The light hits the page bounces from the page and contact the lens of my eye where the lens conveys the photons becoming electricity to a part of the brain that translate the electricity to an understandable message and that makes one read. Newtonians think it is as simple as that! It is the movement of photons. Ever gave a broader thought about light streaming across the night sky, coming from ends of the Universe we do not even realise it is there? How does the photons manage to convey one complete picture coming from as far apart and as wide an area as it does? With a few photons connecting the eye or lens no one ever noticed the wonder of light. The photons reflect a view that seems as if coming from all the billions upon billions of stars. But most is coming from darkness covering an area no man can measure. Yet how many photons can actually connect to the lens of the camera or to the eye? Still a few photons coming from a single direction directly ahead eventually tell the entire storey. It is very simple to take the process of seeing by means of photon conducting very

lightly and I have never heard one of the Brainy Bunch really in sincerity dissect the process to its potential. It is impossible that light from such an array of assorted sources can simply come together at the eye lens and show a picture of objects spanning across a Universe as wide as our mind can receive where the objects they reflect is beyond human measurement and the quantity is inconceivable many.


Light is much more than the medium science takes it to be. Light connects the Universe in a way we cannot contemplate. Light being far apart originating from regions not in the same time or universal space but it connects in a way that present us with a picture holding the Universe in an understandable content. From the point we stand and we watch the Universe the significance of what we see surpasses the sense of understanding of what we are experiencing. How can the few photons that our lenses catch coming from such an area as what the night sky cover transmit the complete picture of what we see. Take a few seconds and gleans at the picture of the night sky then rethink the picture applying the full content in the picture to what the size of you eyes is. Think how big the picture is that your eyes take in and translate that area to the size of your eyeball in an effort to determine a ratio. One will be forgiven if one thinks of the ratio as eternal to nothing. Consider the path the light followed from the source connecting to light from all other sources where all particles of the other light may come from and all the light brings a full picture to the lens one use to look through. In your mind connect a line from every atom producing light throughout what you see and connect the lines to your eyeball and see how you can manage to fit all the lines, as small as the lines may be.



Newtonians think of outer space as geodesic zero, with nothing in outer space but space. Geodesic zero means the light travels in a straight line from where it originates unhindered all across space to where the light connects the eye. Such an idea by itself is outrages because the stream of photons reduce in space to such a minute quantity that taken the area the photons travel and the space in vastness it covers, the chances of one photon coming across many hundreds of light years through billions upon trillions of cubic kilometres of space and selecting my eye to convey the electricity is less than infinite. Yet such conveying takes place every second of every minute. The

position of the location of the second singularity, which is the precise duplication of the first singularity but in a diminished capacity, is obvious to miss when one is not applying a detective mentality, as one should in scrutinizing the cosmos. Culture will have us believe that when one sees a colour shining from an object the colour is associated with the object. Logic tells a different story. A yellow dot is all the colours in the spectrum but yellow because it is disassociating with the yellow. That goes for red, blue and all other colours we may visualise. I think the norm accepts this as scientific fact with very little argument or substantiating proof about that required. If light came as individual streams of photon flurries our visage would translate that as such shown in the fragmented picture I show it would be a picture as I show it to be unconnected bringing across some photons in the manner where every object stands apart not being related in any way and that will be what we see, if it is anything that we see. That we know is not the case but that means geodesic zero is as much rubbish as anything Newtonians regard with simplicity and with careless thought. Whatever goes straight does so by a circle and that is how light travels.

Geodesic zero means nothing and how can I see nothing as darkness because "nothing" is not darkness, nothing is "nothing" and the darkness I see is darkness showing the darkness as something. What then about colours that are technically not colours as is the case with black and white? White is simple. By spinning all the colours in the spectrum the colour white shines through. Black is quite another matter. A friend of mine whom is one of the best painters I have ever come across told me that one couldn't paint black but have to make black a dark blue to show shade on the canvass. That apparently is his success in achieving the realism. He also went on to explain how many variations of dark blue form the shadows in one simple tree. This remark set my mind in motion. One cannot see black because black has no colour to show, but black is the colour most prevalent in the universe. One can see only by colour and since black is not a colour we should not see black, but we do. If the darkness was the representation of "nothing", then that should be exactly what we must see, nothing but the stars. Taken from the top picture some stars and leaving the rest to nothing is what we see in the picture below. A blind person sees nothing but when we look at space, we see something that we think nothing of as we see as space. One cannot have the ability of sight and see nothing except by closing your eyelids and then you see nothing. But in that case you do not see "nothing" in contrast of "something" you see "nothing" without it contrasting to "something". Nothing is all about not being and not 7not seeing". By the ability to see the darkness renders the darkness something other than nothing and that changes the acquired value of the darkness from nothing to something. There is an eternal difference between something in infinity and nothing. I bring in the following to show and prove there is a remedy for all the fraud going on.



This is so impotent I wish to run through this again because this forms the basis of all physics. Have you, the person reading this, ever thought how it is possible to see that much information that you see at night when looking at the sky. Ever thought about how you are able to see when you see everything in the night sky and how that much light information can fit into such a small space as your eye? Have you ever sat back and think what the amount of information it is that you see when you see the entirety of the Universe when looking at the Universe at night and what the size is of everything of that which you are able to see? The one star you see seems to be a near visible dot in the picture while the dot might be hundreds or might even be many thousands of times the size of the sun. and we think of the sun as big. The dot is then that much bigger than the sun because the star we think we see could be a galactica hundreds of times the size of our Milky Way galactica but that shows as in the sky as one little dot and yet that entire structure as big as it is, does also fit into our eye socket. But that is not all. there are trillions of such light images and they all fit into one eye socket. What we see is immeasurable and yet we see it effortlessly in the space our eye holds. how can that be?

How is it possible to fit what we see into the space of our eyes we have? Think how much is the entire information that is visible at night and think about how all of that fit into the space your eye holds? Consider how big is what is visible and put that space into the size is of what your eye can hold and ask your mathematically educated Professor in physics to find some ratio between what you observe and the size of your eye. The ratio is astonishing, but moreover what is truly astonishing is the arrogance of man to think of his position, as being important while the space man holds is beyond any comparison in ratio to everything we see in the Universe we see. Think how small we are when we are able to see the entirety out there! Even if there was other life out there, what is the worth of it in comparison to what there

is that we see?

In this idea about how you are able to see the entire Universe you will find all the answers to the questions about how physics use time to employ gravity. Mass and anything Newton ever said has no implications on the explaining. It is about all the information of the entire Universe presented in one electron contacting a nerve in your eye. The question about the Universe is how can whatever is in view, come stored as a parcel in an electron, and tell the entire story about the entirety out there locking all that data into the space of an electron. That is physics and tries as you may, not one person Newtonian or otherwise can have mustered the ability to calculate that part. Newtonians can pretend to play God and live in their fool's paradise as long as they are King of the Universe of fools while keeping the conspiracy

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

alive to hide the truth about Newton's corrupt formula of . There is a mad conspiracy in physics to prevent anyone not in physics to learn about the truth hidden to all about Newton's

Gravitational principle fairy tail.

If the gravity contraction is going on as Newton said, why did everything come from a small dot called singularity and from that develop into something immeasurably big? Where to is the lot in this picture above pulling? Is galactica absorbing stars or is stars uniting with galactica.

Which will be the next galactica to implode as its stars meet the gravitational demise.

They should see it.

Let's forget about the crooked fictional critical density or the rubbish dark matter that is all made-up fiction forming part of the conspiracy of deception in physics to hide Sir Isaac Newton's fraudulent physics principles.

Every aspect of what is mentioned so far is fraud.

There is a Universe that is very much undiscovered and waits for recognition. This Universe does not work on space and light but it works on singularity running in time that is diverting of space. Before the Big Bang brought about space it worked on singularity holding everything that is in one spot that in today's standards never was.

What happened before the Big Bang is still happening but it is out of view and is located in intellect? It needs much more understanding that what a few formulas can represent because the entirety is still holding a relevancy of numbers and only numbers. The numbers only operate in the adding of numbers because in the dimensional Universe multiplying has worth, but in singularity (1) everything that multiplies brings such multiplication back to 1.

If you are one of those members of society that never thought you would hear the name of an accomplished person such as **Sir Isaac Newton** being associated with **fraud**, **corruption** and **brainwashing**, then these books are specially written to inform you about the truth there is lacking in the correctness about science. Everyone knows that planets orbit around the Sun. Planets circle the Sun which is the same as saying planets orbit the Sun. Just by calling the circle motion in terms of what applies, that statement nullifies Newton's claim of mass that

attract mass and put to question the reliability of Newton's dogma. If mass did attract mass, what kept the balance where the planets do find a balance in orbit, rather than move towards the Sun. This is the fraud and a conspiracy to cover up. If mass did attract mass, then what is pushing the planets back into orbit?

The idea of proof is automatically placed at the door of Newton. If normal speech contradicts Newton, then it is the Newtonians' task to prove Newton supposition correct and thereby prove the claims about attraction Newton made. Newtonians will deny the fact that Newton was never proven or is incorrect. They will uphold the honour of their Master Newton notwithstanding. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. It is connected to the brain. No one thinks of planets spinning or planets basking in the summer Sun. When hearing about planets the first thing that comes to mind is the rotating of planets while circling around the Sun.

However, just using the term orbiting is in total defiance with Newton! Newton said gravity draws or pulls or moves in the direction, which would have one understand that the two objects in example the Sun and any of the various planets will be moving directly towards each other. The radius is diminishing.

The term pulling does not suggest any circling because no one can be pulling towards and does that while circling around the object. When pulling anything it must take place while using the shortest line possible. That serves the term pulling. Then the saying goes that planets orbit indicating they follow a circle. That is not what Newton said. However, wrong that may seem but circling is precisely what planets are doing.

If anything whatsoever would contradict or question Newton, then the blame or fault should never lean towards Newton. Of the Universe expand instead of contract as Newton said it must, then it is the Universe that is requiring the missing mass and Newton remains correct. Newton is not wrong about the contraction part; it is the Universe that is wrong about the expanding it is doing. If there is a lack of mass, then the Universe must be hiding the required mass in dark matter in out of sight areas. The Universe carries the blame for the mistake of expanding because Newton said the Universe is contracting and therefore even the Universe must take the blame, but not Newton, never could Sir Isaac Newton carry blame for being wrong.

If there was a pulling, then the orbit cancels out such an idea completely. The orbit then there has to indicate that there has to be some sort of prevention taking place that disallows the pulling to commit the direction of travel. I know it is said that the orbiting object falls as fast as it circles and by falling while moving to the following side on position it never reaches the Sun, and yes, it makes sense, but there has to be some form of resistance replacing the planet in the next side position and preventing the falling or the pulling from taking place. By orbiting the planets don't even suggest a contracting direction of movement going towards the sun.

Students in physics are you aware that you Professors can profess all they like, but they have no foggy idea what gravity is? If you don't believe me, then confront them with the question:

what is gravity. If they come out with gravity being a force, then gravity can be water and gravity can be the wind and gravity can be heat because all of those mentioned are also individual forces driving objects. Let them be much more specific than just advocating that gravity is a force of some form.

They do not know what gravity is because Newton, yes, Sir Isaac Newton of physics fame admitted he didn't know what gravity is and that was the only thing he was absolutely correct about, the fact that he never knew what gravity is.

Yet he forms the basis of all physics wisdom. while Sir Isaac Newton never knew what gravity is! No academic in physics know what gravity is because the "father of physics" Isaac Newton did not know what gravity is. That makes one wonder how Isaac Newton could be the "father of physics" when he didn't know what his offspring was something like an illegitimate child he knew of and he knew about but never knew in person!

Students in physics consider the following: If Isaac Newton didn't know what gravity is how could he have fathered physics? If you're Professors don't know what gravity are how are they able to teach you what applies in physics because they then know nothing about physics because they do not know what gravity are!

They only know what Newton knew and if Newton didn't know what gravity is then they don't know what gravity is and blessed with that much lack of information they seem to think they are fit to teach you everything they have no idea about

If you never know the answer to what gravity is your inability to reply on a question as to what gravity is, not knowing the answer will leave you unfulfilled because of not being able to answer even the most fundamental physics answer: what is gravity. Gravity is what holds the Universe in form but then one needs to answer the following: If gravity pulls towards a centre and gravity holds the Universe attached the question arising from that simplistic **answer is then . where the centre of the Universe is.**

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

However using the formula as Newton provided, disallows any other concept other than

moving towards. The person Newton got his ideas from and the work Newton raped completely, that of Johannes Kepler explained this tendency very well, but Johannes Kepler makes no room for any pulling of any sort. Johannes Kepler indicates all cosmic space $a^3 = k T^2$ specified forming a containing space - circle a^3 has a dual directional movement of circling T^2 at a specific point in correspondence with a straight

line k point and the space at such a point will formulate as $a^3 k = T^2$. In the work of Johannes Kepler he said that the space being the orbiting route a^3 remains at a specific distance k while the orbit T^2 takes

place and in all my other books that addresses more information. I take Newton to task on his dismembering of Kepler's formula by corrupting Kepler's work and with what amounts to fraud, Newton takes science on a goose chase that holds no truth. There is no pulling by mass of mass in any way. The Big Bang proves otherwise and the dark matter swindle is

there to hide Newton's incompetent incorrectness.

The Universe expands since the time of the Big Bang or the Universe contracts since the time of the Big Bang. We have either one of two that has to be incorrect. If Newton is correct, then the normal way of expanding since the Big Bang is incorrect. Then we must start saying planets are pulled to the Sun. If the normal form of speech is correct and the planets are merely orbiting the Sun, then Newton is wrong. The Universe can't expand since the Big Bang with or without involving artificial dark matter. There can't be expanding while at the same time we have Newton's accepted scientific presumptions of contraction being correct. The fraud part is in the accepting of the Universe expanding while still insisting that Newton is correct in his dogma of contraction with mass. This book is an effort to show how Mainstream Physics brainwash students into accepting Newton's hypotheses of mass attracting by force while the entire Universe is expanding at the rate the Big Bang indicates. They will rather look for lost missing mass and invent dark matter than to come out and say that Newton was wrong all along. The Universe must take the blame because Newton could never be wrong!

I grew tired of apologising for my (as they see it) having the audacity of being correct on matters of Newton's incompatible religiosity, which I bring to their attention. When being in contact with esteemed Academics agreement with those most esteemed academics in physics. I am expected to show the utmost humble attitude by acknowledging their supreme posture with me being in their surreal presence. I have to feel honoured to be in their company and rather die than to I mention to them their mistake about Newton being mistaken about a Universe that is contracting because according to Newton it pulls while it never ever contracted in the least.

I am quite fed up with the attitude of those academics looking down their noses at me or worse still are those ignoring me whenever I show that Newton's facts just don't add to a conclusive believable answer. They sit in high and mighty places while they cover their positions with fraud and have person controlling their unlimited power. They can waste \$5 billion on dubious theories without being responsible to any person controlling their mismanagement and fraud by digging holes into Swiss mountains. Nothing will come from that because their vision on mass is as corrupt as a politician's oath. I have reached my peak with stomaching the corruption they hide behind a lily white cover of dishonesty while they sit in their mighty towers and live in a bubble where not even God can touch them less having me point a finger at their despicable ignorance about their mistaken Master Sir Isaac Newton, the man they portray as a God. If the Universe defies Newton, it is the Universe that is wrong.

I am at my limit with being ignored because those academics can ignore me by using their all-powerful status and with the image they carry with them they never have to prove Newton's correctness and therefore is fair in disclaiming even my presence when I try to disprove Newton. They are only gangsters hiding behind fraud. From my view and from my perspective, I honestly can't see any difference between the Mafia's racketeering by forcing the unlawfulness of their trade onto others with dubious corruption and what academics commit in the name of being honourable scientists. They forcefully brainwash students in believing Newton is correct by means of employing despicable mind control. That is as criminal as anything can ever get!

To them and to those I say, prove Newton correct by proving the moon is coming closer to the Earth. Any person telling a lie is committing fraud albeit in the name of God or of science; mendacity is despicable corruption. When they tell a lie to distort the truth and find financial compensation while falsifying facts, even if it is by conducting science, then they are behaving criminally. That is distortion and is equal to the behaviour of the Mafia. Anyone feeling offended, please tell me when the moon and the earth will collide as Newton must suggest that is if Newton is correct about a force pulling mass.

They can say the Universe is made up of nothing. Between the earth and the moon we have lots of nothing and this goes unchallenged. For making a most senseless statement any mind can think of is quite accepted because no one dares question his or her superiority. When I bring this to their attention in a book, I am the person they condemn as being incoherent with my arguments about their nothing they fill a Universe with.

Those in charge of Mainstream physics feed students lies in order to be compensated for their misrepresentation of the truth. They are being paid enormous salaries from student fees to ensure that students believe in the impossible and accept what can never be proven and force students with methodical examinations to repeat the unproven or be expelled from the institutions and branding those expelled students as failures. That is a rip off whether it is justified as science in the process of learning or if it is plain legal criminality; it remains the same because they fly the same banner. Those practising physics waste billions of dollars on falsified theories while I can hardly put food on the table because I fight to reveal the truth. They can corrupt facts and get paid while I am ignored and starved.

The information my work carries, which you will read in the event of purchasing my books, you have never seen, it was never yet mentioned or the facts I divulge has never been printed by any person, ever before. I put untruths about the work Newtonian science claim is correct in question and that might have been published before but never published as questionable evidence. The rest I bring is new. I show how physics truly applies and I can explain everything Newtonian science can't explain.

Those academics in key positions of academic credibility keep certain facts and evidence away from students and give other facts that were never proven before. The academics teaching physics give prominence as well as credence to Newton while applying their trade in brainwashing to give their Newtonian views undeserved credibility and from these proceedings they earn substantial incomes. That is the same as racketeering. When you deceive by conveying untruths and cheat to mislead, then your behaviour is criminal.

If you purchase any of the my books on offer, then through the books you will come in contact with the truth for the first time in centuries. My work is about uncovering the truth and blaming the shameful conduct of those persons everyone trusts since no one expects such persons to be criminals.

When you purchase my books, I don't sell ink on paper. I do not sell material with questionable information, holding facts that were repeated so many times that it is accepted as the truth because it became a culture to believe Newton. I prove statements by taking the reader into infinity and into eternity respectfully.

However I do reject the idea that mass is perceived to have a unexplainable and improvable magical pulling power that is used to establish a force thought to be gravity that pulls all things closer.

Please let any of your physics professors show you that all the big things are spinning in a group together as a unit, either in the centre or the outside leaving all the small things then

$$\text{If } F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

either on the outside or the inside. If $\frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ was true the big stars will group in one area and the small stars in another area because the big stars must have more gravity having more mass. That is if mass does attract.

The above questions I answer but my answers show the foolishness of Newtonian physics and why it is that the Academics in physics all teaching about Newton's wisdom despise me more than I do despise Newton's corruption.

Should you be of the opinion I am busy with slander and deformation of character then purchase the book of 4 parts An Open Letter on Gravity Part 1 and 2 Volume 1 and 2 @ Lulu.com wherein I take academics to task on the fraud we find and they teach in physics.

Let's start surveying civilized principles by evaluating what lawfulness means and what would constitute as morality. Let's determine what makes the crook in the book?

If any person, notwithstanding what reason is given in justifying such depravity, tells a lie or conveys untruths to further whatever humble cause, it is seen as fraud. To convey information that is not substantiated as a verified fact then the mere conveying of such information becomes fraud.

When any person, notwithstanding what reasons given, repeats such a lie unabated while being well aware that the information passed on by such a person is incorrect, then the person commits deceit. When anyone is repeating the information that is passed on as being unblemished factual substantiated and verified truth while such a person knows very well that such information is void of proof or lacks proof, then committing such an act is a criminal enterprise. Academics in physics commit every one of the above indignities and yet see their actions as being lawful and even much praiseworthy and hold their role in society in the highest esteem imaginable.

They fail to see the crime that they commit while tutoring physics. Whatever motivation they

may claim to have which they offer to serve them as forming their driving force, the fact that they perpetually perpetrate in unlawful behaviour, by spreading untruths, such actions on their part put those academics holding such highly regarded positions in the league of ordinary cheats, gangsters and common criminals. By wilfully and constantly falsifying facts to further whatever humble cause and produce illegal claims repeatedly, remains derogative behaviour and is unlawful by nature, notwithstanding what morality it should serve. A Preacher or Pastor lying on behalf of God is not lying on behalf of God and to think the Preacher or Pastor improves or underlines the Greatness of God by lying on behalf of God is very mistaken, because in reality such a Preacher is falsifying the truth for his or her personal benefit. Lying is wrong and doing so even in the name of God remains despicable. The same applies to academics in physics. There is no argument that can change this truth about falsifying the truth and when doing so there is no hiding behind any excuses of ennobling to benefit mankind that will change such truth into righteous conducting. By bringing on a cover-up scheme as we find in the critical density scam, it stinks of criminal fraud. The entire saga is only to cover Newton.

Newton said centuries ago that gravity is the force of attraction there is between objects that hold mass and it is the mass factor that brings about this attraction, which Newton claimed there is. The Universe does not contract and all the proof we require to disprove such a statement we find in the Hubble constant as a guarantee. Moreover, it is true that the Universe never contracted even for a brief instant and we have the proof of that as the Big Bang concept. Also does the Big Bang guarantee that contraction will never become part of the Universe since it is the relevancy between what I named cosmic solids and cosmic liquids /cosmic gas that will provide the balance that shifts.

Since the first instant that time began the cosmos grew away from and not towards points. With all the proof that this concept brings in backing the principle of expansion in the Universe, still the Arch-Fathers- in-Physics uphold Newton's contraction. Planets never moved closer, are not moving closer and will never move closer to each other and this is backed by all information collected this past century. The Moon is not coming closer but the distance between the Moon and the earth is widening. Studies about the Universe reveals every time that space in the cosmos increases constantly. Studies find all things are moving apart and away from one another.

Any and all the proof about this is beyond what any doubt may present to counter this knowledge. Notwithstanding this irrefutable findings, science still regards Newton as the only person that ever lived whom no one ever could prove wrong. and this is upheld by Mainstream Physics in spite of the cosmos proving Newton wrong every instant of time. The basis of what science holds as its foundation we find to be the Newtonian principle of.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}.$$

The foundation used by science promotes this argument and backs up this argument well knowing that in the cosmos there is no evidence backing up this proposal Newton

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

suggested. The Newton formula used as basis for science sees gravity as being a force of attraction and the force of gravity is being in place between all objects in accordance with the mass factor that the objects have as presented

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}.$$

by Newton in the formula

If you think scientists know what gravity is, then do not be duped that easily because no one in science remotely knows what gravity is. Not even Newton knew what gravity is because Newton admitted not to know what gravity is. Yet everyone in science are redesigning the Universe as if everyone in science knows exactly to every specific and smallest detail what gravity is.

Yet in spite of all the blistering ignorance there are about gravity in the field of academics and all those academics pretending to be informed in physics while claiming and pretending to have Mastered all there ever can be known about gravity all in science is reinventing the Universe without having the least bit of knowledge about what gravity is.

Having not even a foggy notion about what gravity is, why gravity is there or what gravity does other than performing as a pulling force of some sort is all they gained in knowledge since Newton did not know what gravity is and ignorance about gravity is all they can show. All they have to do to sustain the current intellectual grasp academics have on gravity is only to acquire more ignorance and that will maintain the current level of information acquired the past three hundred and fifty years. After 350 years they are still as much in total ignorance about gravity, just as they were when science was in the dark ages and Newton did not know what gravity is.

What we find as we gauge all evidence found while studying the Universe, is that reality shows there is no attraction between objects in space going on anywhere in the Universe, that the entirety of such a concept is a myth and the outward moving of the Universe has been coming from and since the time of the Big Bang and maintaining this flow of material is substantiated in a concept named as the Hubble constant, which proves Newton's perceptions to be a myth. The Hubble constant proves that space everywhere is growing ever since time began and the growth never stopped ever since. Knowing this irrefutable fact does not deter science from under scribing Newton as the sole basis that underwrites all the correctness of all of science known as physics. However, Hubble and the Big bang and all other investigations contradict this attraction Idea Newtonian dogma holds.

Therefore, any further believing that there is attraction going on as Newton claimed has to be viewed for what it is and that it is a fairy tail. The Big Bang Theory proves Newton's idea as not only being wrong but Newton's idea of attraction is a joke. If the Big Bang is expanding the Universe, then how can the Universe contract at the same time? Any contraction by nature would have the Universe collapse back into infinity the moment the Big bang moved out of infinity. Ask your professor to show how an expanding Universe can also contract and your professor will tell you about Einstein's Critical Density theory. This theory I prove is the biggest fraud ever devised by any group of persons in the history of civilization! This is perpetrating fraud and conducting in upholding deceptions instituted by Newton that

then formed the institution of lies they call physics.

The Universe does not contract in any way; means or form and even such a suggestion are incorrect! The Moon and Earth are not moving closer but are moving apart. The entire Universe is growing in space and nowhere is space depleting by any norm used. Academics are very aware of this misconception Newton had and still academics in physics are promoting the ideas of Newton as the unwavering truth. Academics teaching these misconceptions are committing fraud, notwithstanding the portraying of their role in society being unblemished, spotless while they are covered in a lily white blanket making them being whiter than snow and having such a holier than thou attitude. Teaching Newton is participating in deception and promoting Newton is criminally deceiving the public and while doing so, is committing an act with criminal intentions.

Then, in the face of all this evidence contradicting Sir Isaac Newton; they remain upholding the correctness of Sir Isaac Newton and keep on teaching students about the unwavering correctness of Sir Isaac Newton. They put down conditions of learning to this effect and are expecting students to repeat these untruths and unproven facts by forcing answers to that effect in examinations. Forcing the acceptance of this untruth about physics is equal to preposterous subjecting students to physiological torture and heinous mind conditioning, scandalous thought control and brainwashing. This applies to everyone serving as a tutor in physics notwithstanding whatever status the torturers might have in society or the morality they attach as a reason to commit such atrocities.

If you are a student, then you are conditioned by academics in controlling your thinking by enforcing pre- mind setting and in which they methodically force you into believing in Newton and this is an on going process conducted for centuries in the past, while it is the truth that Newton is completely void of any tests that may secure any form of confirmation and in securing proof then also by that establishing proof.

Read the book **QUESTIONING NEWTON'S MYTHOLOGY** <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/questioning-newtons-mythology/7570956> and then use the information I supply in the book to insist that Academics who are teaching physics, prove to students that Newton's statements of attraction are correct. Let those academics explain the method mass uses. Let them with precise detail show when mass is applying it forms gravity that mass does produce gravity and such producing of gravity that then would establish attraction! I show precisely how gravity produces mass but mass can never produce gravity. I show with explicit detail when, how and where gravity forms mass but mass can never form gravity. What I prove annihilates every Newtonian claim.

They never prove Newton's philosophy on gravity but those persons conducting teaching in the subject of physics force all physics students to learn Newton's gravitational concepts and accept the facts as if it has been proven beyond all other facts. Students have to believe that Newton is correct or academics will see to it that they fail their examination. The condition of being accepted in physics is to accept Newton without questioning the proof that is never supplied.

Let those academics now prove precisely how mass brings about gravity and then afterwards test you on how Newton is proven correct and not on you repeating facts about what they say is true about what Newton said, which they say is true. The manner they present Newton is completely hearsay and that method may not be used in any court of law. Let your professors now prove how it is that Newton's teachings are correct and then examine you on the process they use to prove Newton's concepts. At present they say Newton is correct and then they test you on your ability in repeating that Newton is correct without ever proving to you that Newton is correct. Let those physics professors now prove Newton and then test you on the manner they use to prove Newton to be correct.

The truth beyond all other truth is that Newton's gravity has never been proven (because try as you may it is not possible to prove Newton's formula forming gravity mathematically) and because academics know that, academics require the blind acceptance of Newton by students. This unconditional acceptance of Newton's correctness relies only on the pre-conditioning of students' mind set and academics depend only on the student trusting the academic "say so" about the institutionalised correctness of Newton. That Newton is correct nevertheless and notwithstanding that there is no founding proof about this matter, is what students should be accepting blindly. Pre-conditioning students into blind acceptance depends on the academics' insistence that students approve Newton's concepts without pre judgment or students insisting on scrutiny of any sorts. In examination students have to outright and blindly follow academics' say so only because academics say so. Academics depend on students never questioning their say so or demand proof about what academics teach. Those academics in teaching positions insist that all students accept Newton's accuracy.

This is methodical mind control as much as it is the brainwashing I show that they enforce. If you are one of those believing that Newton was ever proven, then what you believe to be true is a lie because Newton can't be proven and that is the truth! The time has come to face your teachers and force them to stop the ongoing old culture of bullying students and conditioning their thoughts by enforcing on them dogmas, which is, mind control! In order to get students to accept Newton's hypothesis, academics resort to brainwashing pupils and students. They teach you that the Universe contracts and to state their case they force students to learn that

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

gravity is proved by Newton introducing the following formula

They say that M_1 is the mass of the Earth and M_2 is the mass of the individual in questions mass and the multiplying of these factors with the gravitational constant produces the force of gravity when this gets divided by the square of the radius. Please let you lecturer put in all the values of the formula and prove Newton is correct. If he can't and I know for sure he never can fill in the symbols and calculate the force of gravity, then read the rest of the web page that follows to see how far academics in physics go to brainwash students into believing in Newton's fraud.

This is a fair test to see if Newton's contraction theory underwritten by Newton's attraction formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

is valid, and then force your professor to use this formula as it reads and show WHEN the Moon and the Earth is going to collide. If he fails to do it by using Newton's

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

formula as then you will know who is conning you, him or I and who is truthful again him or I. I charge all academics to prove what I say is being wrong in any way or even that I exaggerate in the least. I challenge Newtonian academics to prove that mass does indeed form any force of any sorts and in particular gravity! To those professors claiming Newtonian ideas are substantiated by proof, I say that notwithstanding your personal academic qualifications and while at the same time disregarding your status and previous achievements as well as ignoring your many admirable abilities you may have and however superior they might be, I shall teach you about gravity. I say it is time Students learn the truth about physics notwithstanding the status academics will loose. Students read www.sirNewtonsfraud.com and challenge those academics depending on their ability to brainwash you into submission.

The idea of proof comes automatically to the door of Newton although Newtonians will deny this fact as if they deny the honour of their Master Newton and that is what they have to do.

The Practice of Brainwashing and Mind Control in Physics

The Definition of Gravity according to the Oxford Dictionary of Astronomy: Physics

1) The natural force of attraction exerted by a celestial body, such as Earth, upon objects at or near its surface, tending to draw them toward the centre of the body.

What this refers to is the process by which weight is measured and the more a body pushes to the earth, the more would the scale reading be. Whether this comes as result of a force is what I strongly dispute. This indicates in the same result as measuring weight would be and there was never any defining proof that parts this form of mass from this form of weight. Both mass and weight are measured in the same measurement and have the same indicators. This form of mass is there but is the same as weight and only mind control in physics by tutors can put any distinct identifiable characteristics differences claimed to be between the two.

2) The natural force of attraction between any two massive bodies, which is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them.

This is the one that I refer to that is the figment of Newton's information. I beg anyone to use the information gathered from the solar system to prove this definition do apply!

3) Gravitation.

This proves how low Newtonians can go to solicit absolute fraud and intoxicate student's minds in brainwashing their thinking to accept what never is proven. This is hogwash at best!

Gravity is the fundamental force of attraction that all objects with mass have for each other. Like the electromagnetic force, gravity has effectively infinite range and obeys the inverse-square law. At the atomic level, where masses are very small, the force of gravity is negligible, but for objects that have very large masses such as planets, stars, and galaxies, gravity is a predominant force, and it plays an important role in theories of the structure of the universe. Gravity is believed to be mediated by the graviton, although the graviton has yet to be isolated by experiment. Gravity is weaker than the strong force, the electromagnetic force, and the weak force. Please show me the attraction in relation to mass that applies between any of the planets and the sun.

In this article I am going to investigate how much truth there are in mass pulling by the force of gravity. Most if not to all of the persons reading this article will be annoyed by just the thought of me embarking on an investigation of the issue that seems so totally senseless to investigate. It is senseless because the concept it carries became accepted as household practise and life science. Mass is associated with everything that is represented everywhere.

Do you think of astrophysics as the department that is run by the wise and the level minded, the sober thinking, and the absolute trustworthy? If you think those in charge of astrophysics are the pillars of trust, then get wise and read the following. Newton created the factor mass as a trick of his imagination. We have a body with mass on earth and that we all know, but no heavenly body could ever have mass or present mass! After you have considered the following you might agree with me that even small Children can reach a higher level of clear-minded logic and find more sensibility than what those scientists promoting astrophysics have because science lives in a make believe fool's paradise. They love to calculate because with mathematics they create a fools paradise.

In this article I give the table Kepler represented his research by which the planets move. Also I give one column in which the mass is indicated in terns of earth mass units. Use the column to show where it is mass that produces any valid factor in accordance to planetary activity, movement and allocated positioning. In the table columns we have the mass of the main solar objects and according to Newton the mass is responsible for movement. If it is mass that does the pulling in ratio of the radius then we have to see some evidence of this applying somewhere. Is there any person that can prove that claim from the table showing Kepler's movement in relation to Newton's mass? In what way odes Jupiter move faster or how does Pluto move slower according to mass? If mass did the job, how is it done? Notwithstanding Newton's blatant mathematical manipulation, mass don't apply.

There is no possible way to produce evidence from the behaviour of objects in the solar system that mass is responsible for gravity forming between two heavenly bodies. If it did, the asteroids could no possibly orbit in their allocated circle at the same rate as Jupiter circles in its designated circle. Every one believes in Newton except comets, because comets fail to collide with the Sun. However I can explain in some way. The evidence that mass is pulling mass there is not and there is no evidence of mass pulling mass.

Looking at the size of a body does not allow any one to awards mass. If you are a student, then ask your Masters please to explain the following abnormalities and inconsistencies they

promote as part of official physics, which I present in this article and as students get wise instead of brainwashed. I say brainwash again because they force-feed you fabrications, as you will come to see. They can't explain the facts as reliable but hide the fact that the facts are in fact untruths. Tell them to prove that planets have mass. Tell them to prove that it is mass that generates gravity that pulls the planets. Ask them to explain gravity in detail. If any person gives evidence and the evidence is unsupported by truth and unfounded by proof, it is fraud. If a Clergyman should bring evidence of some miracle healing that took place, and he could not repeat the act at will for all to witness again and again, it is fraud notwithstanding the religion. Even if the lie is to underline the greatness of his religion, it still constitutes to fraud. If anything is said without truth backing the claim, making it unfounded, it is fraud. It is illegal to make claims that is not based and is not supported by reality. As perfect as everyone thinks Newtonian physics are, this applies to educators teaching physics. There is a suspicion lingering in the back of everyone's mind that something is not quite correct about the approach physics take on the matter of gravity and only those academics seasoned with years of studies and salted with time seems to miss this haunting feeling. But the longer the students are educated, the more this uncomfortable feeling dissipates.

Hidden under a cover of "understanding Newton" or "not being able to understand Newton" tutors in physics force certain incompatible arguments to join that which never can join and while joining also make sense at the same time. In this article I challenge the figures that charge the highest form of respect in our communities and those in charge of the most dynamic part of society and those who stand beyond and above any form of suspicion. I charge those that personify truth and are the very same persons that I accuse of betraying the ones trusting them. Again I challenge you to come forward and tell your students the truth about what I uncover in the articles that follows and as the articles progress by introducing information...then you explain to them how you deceived their blind trust in you as a tutor in physics. In physics the blame goes to students ability to "understanding Newton" or "not being able to understand Newton" but I show that in this case the blame should openly be dedicated to those that should be blamed, named and shamed and they have to defend their years of lying and contribution to cover the misconduct that was committed by them.

Those teaching physics as well as their predecessors now have to explain in the name of science why so many evidence were falsified to keep their noses clean while mud colours their lily white image in hogwash and the stink of their lies equals the pig pen it needs to cover such aroma. It is time that they reveal the truth. Believe it or not, but this diverging from reality and misconduct about applying science is in place because of centuries of brainwashing going on passed on from generation to generation and is employed in physics from teacher to student for centuries on end. This article is dedicated to bringing honesty into the faculty of Astrophysics and Astronomy as well as to show the Physics student on what corruption and deceit does physics base their facts which they proclaim as being such well proven, and godly accurate facts and is unwavering depicting only the truth.

Go to the web site www.singularityrelevancy.com and then use the information I supply in the book www.questionablescience.net titled Sir Newton's Mythology, which you may download for free and use the information given free of charge to confront you academics with the truth

and then insist that Academics who are teaching physics, prove to students that Newton's statements of attraction are correct. Let those academics explain the method mass implements.

If you are a student studying in physics then reading this article is detrimental to your future, as you can remain part of the problem physics has had for centuries or you may join the solution that came to physics and start to heal the wound. Students read the next pages and you are about to learn how students are brainwashed into accepting the baseless and ridiculous misinformation Sir Isaac Newton puts forward as truths. The Custodians of Physics have nothing better to offer than presenting you with unfounded, corrupt and distorted facts. and by doing that they resort to mind control on students and introduce baseless concepts by manipulating the student's thoughts.

If you are a student then read in www.sirnewtonsfraud.com what they do to you and how they brainwash you. This suspicion that there is, this feeling about a certain concern and doubtfulness that is lingering on in the minds of many. and also is lingering on from generation to generation. without anyone ever finding a solution. it is them defrauding you by exchanging your institution fees for corruption, so confront them about their dishonesty. There is this vague unspoken question hanging in the air without any one ever finding words to express the question. and yet the question remains however unspoken it seems. Force them to become honest and to stop corrupting students with intentional malice. Let them explain how mass generates gravity...

Even if you had your personal favourite conspiracy theory, try and match it to the one that I have! I seem to be the first person in generations that ask questions about Newton's work. Questions I now ask is asked for the first time ever, well ever since the time Newton introduced gravity, before the emphasis fell on proof rather than merely what a person with reputation suggested. I now am able to show how gravity forms by forming a circle using because I have located the centre of the Universe.

But by my effort in finding the location I disrupted everything Academics in physics hold holy and for that I am most unwanted in the presence of the Academics charged with guarding the ethics of physics. In short, I clash head on with Newtonian dogma and principles forming physics. During my research I discovered abnormalities and inconsistencies about mistakes the Arch fathers in physics must be aware of but are hiding with all their considerable influence and academic power. The road I took in my search for truth concerning physics was never smooth and the resistance I came across coming from the academic sector is almost unbearable.

With my unpopularity rating this high as it does, I never qualified for help and those that would help found my ideas intolerable whereby I only found rejection instead of help as I tagged along. Because of this insider rejection I had to resort to private publishing because from the nature of my work I take Mainstream science head on and am confrontational on most aspects of astronomy. Since no one see the a problem there is in physics, no publisher wants to go head bashing with the Physics Custodian establishment of science on official science principles, which I have to do to convey my message in no uncertain language.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

I argue that if it is the correct practise to use $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ to calculate gravity then the radius holding the

gravitational constant must lead one to the centre of the Universe. As I confront science dogma and principles, nobody is willing to publish my work. I have to walk the road alone and fight the battle by my private effort without any support anywhere. If Newton is the problem one have to go pre Newton to find the problem.

The problem is that when looking at Kepler's table then if there is $T^2 a^3$ according to the table matching a column, then mathematically $T^2 a^3$ must be k^{-1} and where k^{-1} goes negative it shows space reduces time. It shows space in volume goes single by movement of space and not objects.

Planet	Mass per Earth unit	k^{-1} Movement	a^3 of space volume	T^2 During time units
Mercury	0.06	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.983$	$(a^3) = 0.059$	$(T^2) = 0.058$
Venus	0.82	$T^2 + a^3 = 0.992$	$(a^3) = 0.381$	$(T^2) = 0.378$
Earth	1.000	$T^2 + a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 1.000$	$(T^2) = 1.000$
Mars	0.11	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 3.54$	$(T^2) = 3.54$
Jupiter	317.89	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 140.6$	$(T^2) = 140.66$
Saturn	95.17	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 868.25$	$(T^2) = 67.9$
Uranus	14.53	$T^2 + a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 7067$	$(T^2) = 7069$
Neptune	17.14	$T^2 + a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 27189$	$(T^2) = 27159$
Pluto	0.0025	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.004$	$(a^3) = 61443$	$(T^2) = 61703$

If you are a student in physics then you should read the following information with care and with much consideration because your mental health might be at stake here. One could think of another name for physics and that would be Newton's mythology. It is about the subject of gravity and is most important. The "Newton's mythology" comes from the fact that students have to learn what the professors claim to be true and what was never was proven.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Students have to repeat in examinations that the formula $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ is truthful and viable while it was never proven. Do you realise that it is an accepted practise that all students that are studying physics on all levels are subjected to the most intense brainwashing and thought control found any where on Earth? This must be some sort of a joke you may think but thinking that way in disbelief is just what those practising the mind control wish you to think!

According to the tables, all movement is according to some other value than mass. They never prove Newton's philosophy on gravity but those persons conducting teaching in the subject of physics force all physics students to learn Newton's gravitational concepts and accept the facts as if it has been proven beyond all other facts. Students have to believe that Newton is correct or academics will see to it that they fail their examination. The condition of being accepted in physics is to accept Newton without questioning the proof that is never supplied.

Let those academics now prove precisely how mass brings about gravity and then afterwards test you on how Newton is proven correct and not on you repeating their facts blindly about what they say is true about what Newton said, which they say is true. The manner they present Newton is completely hearsay and that method may not be used in any court of law.

Let your professors now prove how it is that Newton's teachings are correct and then examine you on the process they use to prove Newton's concepts rather than test the state of brainwashing you have submitted to. At present they say Newton is correct and then they test you on your ability in repeating that Newton is correct without ever proving to you that Newton is correct. That is not testing your knowledge but it is testing the mind control you have submitted to. Let those physics professors now prove Newton and their ability to prove Newton correct and then test you on the manner they use to prove Newton to be correct.

They teach you that the Universe contracts and to state their case they force students to learn

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

that gravity is proved by Newton introducing the following formula They say that M_1 is the mass of the Earth and M_2 is the mass of the individual in questions mass and the multiplying of these factors with the gravitational constant produces the force of gravity when this gets divided by the square of the radius. Please let you lecturer put in all the values of the formula and prove Newton is correct. If he can't and I know for sure he never can fill in the symbols and calculate the force of gravity, then read the rest of the web page that follows to see how far academics in physics go to brainwash students into believing in Newton's fraud.

The truth beyond all other truth is that Newton's gravity has never been proven (because try as you may it is not possible to prove Newton's formula forming gravity mathematically) and because academics know that, academics require the blind acceptance of Newton by students. This unconditional acceptance of Newton's correctness relies only on the pre-conditioning of students' mind set and academics depend only on the student trusting the academic "say so" about the institutionalised correctness of Newton. That Newton is correct nevertheless and notwithstanding that there is no founding proof about this matter, is what students should be accepting blindly. I'll bet you they are more surprised than you about me accusing them of systematically mind altering the student's physic and ability to think than you are.

This is a fair test to see if Newton's contraction theory underwritten by Newton's attraction formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

is valid, then force your professor to use this formula as it reads and show WHEN the Moon

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

and the Earth is going to collide. If he fails to do it by using Newton's formula as then you will

know who is conning you, him or I and who is truthful again him or I. I charge all academics to prove what I say is being wrong in any way or even that I exaggerate in the least. I challenge Newtonian academics to prove that mass does indeed form any force of any sorts and in particular gravity!

Pre-conditioning students into blind acceptance depends on the academics' insistence that students approve Newton's concepts without pre judgment or students insisting on scrutiny of

any sorts. In examination students have to outright and blindly follow academics' say so trumped up rhetoric and respond on facts only because academics say so. Academics depend on students never questioning their say so or demand proof about what academics teach. Those academics in teaching positions insist that all students accept Newton's accuracy.

Where does mass manifest in this? Where does Jupiter show its mass is pulling more than that of Pluto? According to the definition of gravity claiming gravity is a pulling power by the mass of objects and this

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

formula then Jupiter has to beat the earth going at a speed 317 times faster than the earth, because Jupiter is 317 times larger than the earth. Show me any evidence that mass plays any role or function anywhere in the Universe! If you are one of those members of society that never thought you would hear the name of an accomplished person as Sir Isaac Newton being associated with fraud, corruption and brainwashing, then the books **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** published by **Lulu.com** are specially written to inform you about the truth there is lacking in the correctness about

science. Newton's formula and suggestion indicate a straight line moving between the objects

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

pulling by mass while it is clear everything applies the motion around the sun using a circle. Everyone knows that planets orbit around the Sun. Planets circle the Sun which is the same as saying planets orbit the Sun. Just by calling the circle motion in terms of what applies, that statement nullifies Newton's claim of mass that attract mass and put to question the reliability of Newton's dogma. This might at first seem a small issue but from that I prove that gravity works by the value of r and not mass.

This is methodical mind control as much as it is the brainwashing and I show that they enforce this practise. If you are one of those believing that Newton was ever proven, then what you believe to be true is a lie because Newton can't be proven and that is the truth! The time has come to face your teachers and force them to stop the ongoing old culture of bullying students into submission and conditioning their thoughts by enforcing on them dogmas, which is no more than systematically enforcing mind control! In order to get students to accept Newton's hypothesis, academics resort to brainwashing pupils' and students' thoughts.

One such an example is Einstein's Critical density scam and the "Dark Matter" swindle where they hide Newton's shortcomings under a pretext of ongoing research. Hubble found the Universe is not contracting

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

as Newton said it does with and hell broke loose. The cosmos stepped out of line by showing

Newton is wrong in his supposed theory that mass pulls mass to reduce the radius such as

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

will indicate. Einstein was then tasked to supposedly measure "all the mass" in the entire

Universe and to find out when the Universe will correct its evil ways and start to submit to Newton's idea of contraction. They concluded it is not Newton that is wrong but the cosmos went out of line to contradict Newton. Therefore one has to find the error in the actions of not Newton's idea but about the Cosmos acting out of line with Newton. The fault was investigated not on the side of Newton, but in the cosmos! The proof of practise fell on the Universe that could be wrong but never Newton!

With all this in mind did any one ever come to wonder about the reality driving the all too famous Einstein's Critical Density theory and the fact that this idea was conceived to conceal the corruption of Newton in physics? Allow me please to elaborate and then make up your minds. The facts in truth are that the Einstein's Critical Density theory was a scheme plotted by those in charge of physics principles to cover up and conceal corruption in the heart of physics. Hubble proved beyond doubt that there was an inflating Universe. This contradicted Newton's deflating or pulling Universe and this perception of a deflating Universe being a myth had to be most ardently hidden as to yet again

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

compromise the truth about Newton and his theory. In the formula the relevancy applies

between the strength of the mass and the distance of the radius that keeps the influence of the mass forming the gravity. The longer the radius increasing the distance between objects the more this will reduce the value of the mass, whatever the value of the mass might be. The Hubble concept proves that while the mass might remain the same, the radius keeps growing and such growth diminishes the influence of the mass all the while the radius increases. This is so basic that primary children learning the basics of mathematics will understand! This means with the radius increasing, there is no chance that the mass will ever become strong enough to bring about the pulling because the constant increase in the radius constantly diminish the influence that the mass might produce.

Yet Einstein proceeded in searching for a value that will determine when the mass would bring a turn about in the direction that the cosmos evolves in. Einstein was looking for the moment the mass will become strong enough while the most basic principle indicates that an increasing radius leads to a decreasing mass influence submitting a decreasing potential gravity since the mass becomes less prominent in influence. If Einstein was unable to recognise this most basic of mathematical principles,

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

then what type of genius did physics create in him and what slur did physics promote. In any factor standing in relation of division, it is the bottom value that determines the outcome of the value of the top.

This idea of the two factors being in opposing relevance where the size of the bottom carries the value of the outcome is so simple that children will recognise the principle, and yet those fathers of physics wants me to believe that the greatest mathematician that ever lived did not realise this principle. the principle that the radius and the mass stands related and the growth in the bottom value will promote the decline in the top value as a dominant factor. Can any one with this information including the proof I asked for on previous page have any other conclusion than students should smell rotting fish somewhere?

Notwithstanding my arguments that should have been raised by the mathematical genius, Einstein supposedly measured "all the mass" in the entire Universe and then afterwards concluded there is "insufficient mass" to pull the Universe closer. This rattled the cages of the Newtonian conspirators because Newton once again stood naked, venerable and bear. Yet the blame had to be associated with the cosmos not playing by the rules of Newton. The cosmos had to be at fault because Newton just can't be the person to blame. Then the genius of the Newtonian cunning kicked in once more and we can see why they are seen as the most brilliant minds walking the earth. There had to be something they miss and no one can see.

Then some idea was presented that the Universe is hiding mass from view, I suppose just to spite Newton. If the mass was not visible and was therefore undetected, then the mass was dark and if no one could see the mass then no one can prove the mass being present and then no one can disprove the mass being there waiting in the dark. What a splendid idea this was for cheating. This presented a solution of Biblical proportions and a new scam was introduced to hide the failing of Newton and of the Critical Density sham.

The Dark Matter hides mass that will supposedly pull all material closer again at one stage in the future. This is meant unleashing an enormous swindle, bigger than anything before, only beaten into second place by Newton's swindle about mass unleashing forces. They proclaim there is "Dark Matter" hiding and waiting in the wind to come to the present someday in the

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

future and start to pull the Universe closer as Newton said it must by the measure of . In that way Newton was vindicated and the cosmos took the blame for hiding mass and the contraction was reinstalled.

It is obviously clear that having such a total idea that there might be dark unseen mass floating in the Universe which at this time does not generate gravity but will some day kick in to generate gravity in order to cover up Newton's deception about a contracting Universe and just because Newton has to be correct at some point in the future. Science wishes me to believe that since there is a lack of seeing material there then will be dark and unseen material where they are so dark they are undetected by all humans. Why would the mass at present then not activate gravity and why would the mass at some point spring to life and start activating gravity? How much can the Physics paternity still hide the fact that Einstein's critical density is being used as a cover-up to distort the truth to conceal the fraud Newtonians wish

to cover? Hubble found the Universe is expanding and Newton said otherwise. Hubble's declaration was on track to blow the cover that was concealing the Newton fraud wide open and uncover the century old deception. The question is if it is mass pulling mass onto mass, then why do we have comets left in the solar system? The mass of the Sun should by now at least have destroyed every comet going around.

The term pulling does not suggest any circling because no one can be pulling towards and does that while circling around the object. That serves the term pulling. In conversation we speak of the planets orbiting. If Newton was correct we should be speaking of the planets pulling, but talking about pulling would be blatantly wrong according to the normal spoken word. Never do we refer to the planets pulling the Sun or the Sun pulling the planets, but we speak of seasons coming from orbital positions. Being in orbit has to neutralise the pulling and then cancel the pulling concept that also became culture. The

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

entirety of physics rests on this one formula The questions concerning that which you are

studying and that touches every aspect you are academically concerned with, is that if everything is moving apart, how does that support Newton's idea that everything is coming together. and please don't let them fool you with Einstein's Critical Density idea! If there was mass seen or unseen in the Universe and mass generated gravity and gravity does the pulling then why is the mass not at this moment doing the pulling? If there is an object it indicates the presence of mass. Then what stops the mass that should be present, dark or luminous, to start pulling by gravity, as it should do?

Should you think this page is some sort of a prank then answer the following simple question to yourself in utter honesty: If there is a Big Bang after which everything was left moving apart, how does that support Newton's contraction? Tests results received after the Moon landing show the Moon and Earth are moving away from each other! Yet students learn about mass pulling mass and that pulling by mass forces togetherness by contraction.

This is only one of many points that I make on this one issue and there are so many other issues one may think of those in terms of counting in numbers in many hundreds or even in thousands. If the Sun for instance has mass that is apart from the Earth and the Earth also has mass and there is a gravitational constant in between the Sun's mass and the Earth's mass we have the radius in that location. It then must be the gravitational constant that fills the space that the radius holds. It is rather obvious that while the radius is filling the vacant space between the Sun and the Earth it is the only place left where the gravitational constant can hide. To find the centre of the Universe I had only to find the gravitational constant that holds the centre. Through my venture I discovered one person that knows what gravity is! Newtonians went and filled that space reserved for the gravitational constant having a measured value with nothing! How can nothing have a value of 6.67×10^{-11} while also being filled with nothing as it is nothing filling the nothing of outer space?

My question in this matter is what is all that mass of so many supposed stars living in utter darkness doing at present while waiting to get to work and begin with generating gravity by mass where it will only later, much later form a force of gravity that then will bring about this pulling of the Universe? What makes the mass slumber in darkness to one-day form a pulling force? What has the "darkness" or the fact that we don't see the mass got to do with the idea that the mass at present is not forming gravity that is forming a pulling force? You are taught that gravity pulls objects to the centre and obviously gravity then has to ultimately pull everything to the centre of the Universe. That is what the Critical Density research

that Einstein initiated wishes to establish. The idea is that
force that

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

makes the mass create a

will destroy the radius and ensure everything is going to come together eventually at one point where the radius then will be no more. If that is the case, then where is that point? If everything is destroying the radius, then it must end at one specific point.

In the classes you students attend a physics lecture, has any one confirmed a location where one might

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

find the centre of the Universe to confirm the ultimate destination of ? If you wish to apply a

Gravitational constant as a calculated factor then it is apparent that one must know to where such gravity is pulling since it then is the gravity that is where the contraction is going that predominantly is keeping everything apart. Then the gravitational constant is what is resisting the collapse of the Universe. If there is a force, then where is the force taking the pulling. if it is a gravitational constant applying through out outer space then where is it having a centre base? To those professors claiming Newtonian ideas are substantiated by proof, I say that notwithstanding your personal academic qualifications and while at the same time disregarding your status and previous achievements as well as ignoring your many admirable abilities you may have and however superior they might be, I shall teach you about gravity. I say it is time Students learn the truth about physics notwithstanding the status academics will loose.

What you are about to read comprises of extractions forming part of the actual book that you can download free of charge. Go to www.sirnewtonsfraud.com and press on the blue button and the book is yours to have! Use this information to test the reliability of your tutors' teachings. Confront them with facts and don't allow them to stupefy you with their ability to commit mind control. This is what eighty years of studying the solar system brought about and no individual study since changed one aspect of what this table brings as information.

If mass did attract mass, what kept the balance in the distance it held according to the Titius Bode Law where the planets do find a balance in orbit rather than moving towards the Sun. One might think of mass pulling the comet to the sun, but instead of slamming into the sun, the comet makes a circle () and disappears into outer space. If mass pulls the comet closer, what does the pushing away afterwards. If mass did attract mass, it would explain the behaviour of the comet coming towards the sun...but then what is pushing the comet back into orbit, into the darkness of outer space? The idea of proof about mass pulling everything is automatically placed at the door of Newton. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. If normal speech contradicts Newton, then it is his task to prove his supposition is correct and the claims about attraction Newton made, although Newtonians will deny this fact as if they deny he is correct the honour of their Master Newton and that is what they have to do. No one thinks of planets spinning or planets basking in the summer Sun. However, just using the term orbiting is in total defiance with Newton or physics!

WELCOME ALL you and those that are DISBELEIVERS TO

<http://www.sirnewtonsfraud.com/>

WRITTEN BY PETRUS S. J. SCHUTTE (Peet Schutte)

KOSMOLOGIESE EN ASTRONOMIESE TEGNIKA

All rights are reserved.


No part, parts or the entirety of this book may be reproduced by publishing, electronically copied, duplicated by whatever means that form reproduction or duplication, without the prior written consent of the copy rite owner.

Newtonians uphold their law of physics without showing mercy. The very first things the Newtonians use to beat us into submission are to blast us with incomprehensible mathematical formulas.

Incomprehensible they are but it is to scare anyone with the mathematical equations to get everyone hiding. They bewilder you with equations that put the fear of God into you; used simply to make you feel inferior so that they can feel superior and frown down on your inferiority from a dizzy height.

They are masters at manipulating anyone into a state of senselessness. but mostly they do it onto themselves. That they do because it forms the backbone of the fraud. They do not wish you to read closer and to find the fraud they hide to protect Newton. Ignore their mathematics because it only shows their incompetence to understand physics or Newton and see the fraud they propagate...

They employ mathematics to bewilder and that is all. I am going to show what we can uncover underneath what they cover. Look at what the mathematics supposedly says and then wake up, they are using maths as a scare tactic for three centuries to scare the daylight out of you and all this while its been working! Looking at the formula shows just how little Newton understood physics.




Please allow me to show you how they scare you to become fooled and suckered. Don't run and hide when you see the mathematics, it is meaningless although it was used as a scarecrow for more than three hundred years forming the backbone of the conspiracy.

This picture is as big a hoax as Newtonian science is when Newtonian science presents mass to be a factor that produces a pulling force called gravity. The question shouting for an answer in the picture is if mass is a factor that produces gravity as Newtonians claim it is then why are the planets not positioned according to mass as Newtonians declare.

The claim is as bogus as the entire philosophy. They present the proof that planets orbit according to mass in the following "Kepler law" which in its entirety had nothing to do with Kepler at all. It is all devised by Newton because Newton had no inclination of what Kepler's work was about.

Newton brought about the idea of mass positioning the planets in the formula $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$



Do not get scared as everyone

usually does when seeing the mathematics and then as a result get frightened. Those physicists expect you to turn on your heels and run as fast as your legs can carry you. Then consequently as a reaction to find survival, you turn on your heels and run... but this time don't. Don't run, just read on and see how simple it is to prove Newton was a backward dark aged sod!

This time, don't run because I am about to show how meaningless this entire mathematical statement in reality is! This formula is total garbage and there is no sign of evidence that this formula forms any part of the solar system, even in the least.

Lets test this formula and see how truthful it is. $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$ indicates that the circle in which the planet orbits ($4\pi^2 a^3$) is the result of (=) the position of the body (P^2) positioned by the mass of both bodies ($M + m$) in terms of the gravitational constant (G). The best way to find clarity is to test this statement with what is happening in the solar system just as it is, wouldn't you think.

A picture such as this provides much credence to the idea of gravity by mass since the lines drawn does not even begin to represent what is truly out there and what is used by the cosmos in place of Newton's mass concept.


This is a table indicating the mass that every planet has in relation to the distance every planet

holds in terms of the sun. If mass positioned planets then why did no one bother to inform the Universe about this because it is clear the Universe did not receive the memo from Newton's office to act accordingly.

Body	Mass(10A24) kg	OrbitalDistance(10A6 km)=	ratio
Mercury	.3302	57.9 =	0.0057
Venus	4.869	108.2 =	0.045
Earth	5.975	149.6 =	0.039939
Mars	0.6419	227.9 =	0.00281
Jupiter	1898.6	778.3 =	2.439419
Saturn	86.83	1427 =	0.060847
Uranus	102.43	2869.6 =	0.03569

This picture shows the hoax the Newtonian conspiracy pampers to keep the rest of Newtonian physics believable. They never mention the Titius Bodelaw and try to explain the Titius Bodelaw while it is the Titius Bodelaw that is really in place in the solar system. If you wish to learn the truth then think again.

Mass as a factor does not present or apply in one instance anywhere in the entire Universe and yet that is all that physics says applies. but why would they cheat? It is because what is there applying between the planets is called the Titius Bodelaw and although this law is in place you have almost a hundred percent chance that you have never heard of it.



If the planet layout was as I now show it to be according to mass then this was the order that is if it is true according to the solar system that mass do produce the position of the planet:

Jupiter	318 x earth mass	at a distance of	57.9 x 10 ⁶ km
Saturn	95 x earth mass	at a distance of	108.2 x 10 ⁶ km
Neptune	17 x earth mass	at a distance of	149.6 x 10 ⁶ km
Uranus	14.5 x earth mass	at a distance of	227.5 x 10 ⁶ km
Earth	1 x earth mass	at a distance of	778.3 x 10 ⁶ km
Venus	0.81 x earth mass	at a distance of	1427 x 10 ⁶ km
Mars	0.107 x earth mass	at a distance of	2871 x 10 ⁶ km
Mercury	0.055 x earth mass	at a distance of	4497 x 10 ⁶ km
Pluto	0.002 x earth mass	at a distance of	5913.5 x 10 ⁶ km

This is how it would apply if Newton was correct and mass did position planets. There is not even a remote chance that the positioning of the planets go in accordance with mass or $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G (M + m)$. Do you realise there is much more "gravity produced by mass" in the space your feet has contact with the earth than there could ever be between Jupiter and the


sun? You that can calculate it

$$\left(\frac{P}{2\pi}\right)^2 = \left(\frac{a^2 \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}}{\ell}\right)^2 = \frac{a^4 (1 - \varepsilon^2)}{\ell^2} = \frac{a^4 (1 - \varepsilon^2)}{a(1 - \varepsilon^2)GM} = \frac{a^3}{GM}$$


so then do it. Show that $\frac{a^3}{GM^2}$

Put the orbit of Jupiter in relation to the mass of Jupiter and in relation to the position Jupiter holds. Forget getting swept away by the fancy Mathematics; just get to the task of putting the mass in relation or ratio with the position that any of the planets hold. Take the mass of the earth and your mass you have and then divide that with the square of the distance there is between your feet and the earth by the square thereof then divide that square with the product of the mass of the earth and your mass you have. Keep in mind your distance between your feet and the earth is about 10⁻¹¹ meters going square! You that can't calculate it, the value would be meaningless but it is so much it will crush your atoms into a pulp, leaving you not even in a blood blob. It will leave a force to the value of about one Zetta 1 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 g per square meter. There is no chance in hell that any object of whatever size and formed by whichever method of construction could manage such a force of pressure. Those Physics-cheats always want to have the radius down to 1 meter because it makes their argument look sensible, and then they "forget" to use the correct mass of the earth not to stun any student into realising reality. Using one meter makes good sense when you wish to cheat but no body floats on meter above the earth. When anything stands on the earth, the distance between such a body and the earth is less than what could be sensibly measured.

Can you see the mathematics, Newtonian scientists use this to scare you into frozen stupidity and you allow it. I know and realise that you are disgusted by my attitude when I degrade the name on which physics are founded. In this introduction part I am going to show you just some minor deceptions all students are forced to believe since all physics students are forced to believe in Newton, **Sir Isaac Newton** that is. I am giving you a choice. You can say I am going to commit fraud or Newton has committed fraud. If I am judged to be the culprit that is guilty of deception then it is because Newton misled me. You can choose.



The inner circles that are very close to the sun we find the big gas planets with so much more mass forming the force of gravity that these planets are almost on top of the sun so close they are to the sun.



Then in the outer circles that are very far from the sun we find the smaller solid planets with so much less mass the force of gravity just can't pull these planets closer to the sun.

The above can be the only designated outlay of the planet position according to the sun when

applying mass. Remember, if I am wrong then Newton and his formula $P = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 a^3}{G(M + m)} \right)^{0.5}$ is wrong but if I am correct and Jupiter is the closest planet then Newton is incorrect and then the academics in physics are indulging in Newton's fraud by forcefully brainwashing students to believe in Newton notwithstanding the fact that it is the solar system that disputes Newton's ideas altogether. If you disagree with my layout, then you better disagree with Newton and his ideas. What is in place I am almost sure you have never heard of. Instead of the cosmos using mass as Newton claims there is no mass applying but there is the Titus Bode law on place. This is never commonly mentioned and the fact that what Newton advocates is not in place is hushed up.

- 1) Jupiter mass 318 x the earth
- 2) Saturn mass 95 x the earth
- 3) Neptune mass 17 x the earth
- 4) Uranus mass 14.5 x the earth

Then come the smaller planets with less mass and therefore less pulling force called gravity

- 5) **Earth** 1xtheearth
- 6) **Venus** mass0.81xtheearth
- 7) **Mars** mass0.81xtheearth
- 8) **Mercury** mass0.055xtheearth
- 9) **Pluto** mass0.002xtheearth

The TitiusBodeLaw

The Titius-Bode Law is rough rule that predicts the spacing of the planets in the Solar System. The relationship was first pointed out by Johann Titius in 1766 and was formulated as a mathematical expression by J.E. Bode in 1778. It leads Bode to predict the existence of another planet between Mars and Jupiter in what we now recognize as the asteroid belt.

The law relates the mean distances of the planets from the sun to a simple mathematic progression of numbers.

To find the mean distances of the planets, beginning with the following simple sequence of numbers:

0 3 6 12 24 48 96 192 384

With the exception of the first two, the others are simple twice the value of the preceding number. Add 4 to each number:

4 7 10 16 28 52 100 196 388

Then divide by 10:

0.4 0.7 1.0 1.6 2.8 5.2 10.0 19.6 38.8

The resulting sequence is very close to the distribution of mean distances of the planets from the Sun: Body Actual distance (A.U.) Bode's Law <A.U.>< td>

Mercury	0.39	0.4
Venus	0.72	0.7
Earth	1.00	1.0
Mars	1.52	1.6
		2.8
Jupiter	5.20	5.2
Saturn	9.54	10.0
Uranus	19.19	19.6

$$P_n = P_o A_n$$

P_n = Period of orbit of the n^{th} planet


P_o = Period of sun's rotation

A_n = Semi major axis of the orbit

This is so typical Newtonian in every sense there is in science. The Newtonians gave the Titius Bode law a formula and that explains the lot. To they're under achieving standards that is very satisfactory. Now it is written in mathematics then what more do we need to know. The fact that the distance that Mercury has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has from the sun is completely ignored. In cosmic reality mass plays no part. Then again the distance that Venus has from the sun is doubled by that which the earth has. This clearly has nothing to do with the size or mass of the planets. Explaining that part is completely ignored. Then again the distance that the earth has from the sun is doubled by that which Venus has and inexplicably this forms the layout of all planets in the solar system. Where do Newton and his idea of mass fit into what truly applies in outer space. Moreover, why does science never mention this?

Newtonians supply the formula. That is it. To the Newtonian doing that explains the lot that they can understand. That explains nothing but then again Newtonians fill the cosmos with nothing putting nothing between stars to fill distances. This is how the layout of the solar system is. It is there and it is in place and while the cosmos openly reject Newton's idea of mass, the Newtonian reject the cosmos' placing the Titius Bode law in place and thereby accepting Newton's mass idea that the cosmos clearly rejects. How on earth can anyone explain such behaviour and make sense. It puts planets at random as far as mass is concerned but it uses a formula that ignores mass completely $P_n = P_o A_n$

This process forming distance between planets carries on throughout the solar system.



I am going to explain the Titus Bode law again because chances are excellent that notwithstanding how well read you are, you most likely never heard of this law all the while this is the most overall used principle in the solar system. To portray that what follows in relation to this I would need a paper at least several meters long. There is not a hint of Newton's mass being used but the Titus Bode law forms one of the four building blocks that the solar system applies. There are reasons for this silence, it makes Newton incorrect and it underlines the stupidity of Newtonian science to explain what they can't understand and that is what is true cosmic science. I am going to explain the layout and later on the entire principle. There is no room in a room to show this layout in its full complement where it covers all the nine planets. If mass formed gravity then the layout should be running from the biggest to the smallest. Please put Newton's mass in this Titus Bode Law and explain what happens and moreover why this happens.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

The distance should be in terms of size, but it is not, it is according to **the Titus Bode law**, which is some law no one ever hears of because it disproves Newton and his mass concept. It shows Newton has no ground on which to form his concept that is completely wrong! But while the cosmos disproves Newton, science believes Newton in spite of the cosmos using **the Titus Bode law**. This is very typical of science in the way science prefers to cheat the truth to prove Newton correct.

Science would rather say the cosmos is wrong than to admit that Newton is wrong and in the book you can download free of charge named **Questionable Science**, you will see how many times does science prefer to back Newton when the cosmos shows Newton to be incorrect and this type of corruption goes on and on. Do get wiser by getting better informed just by going to and **download Questionable Science**.

The entire idea above called the Titus Bode law is there in place used by the cosmos and is so accurate it was used to discover planets but also is dismissed as not being factual by physics professors because it repeals Newton's principles of mass. It is called the Titus Bode law and the undoubted accuracy of the Titus Bode law was never questioned. It used in the past to locate unknown planets but also it is clashing head on with Newtonian accepted principles and therefore it is degraded and denounced, just because it does not salute Newton's unproven principles.

I can and do explain this principle mathematically in a book I give away at no charge. Go to

The sun holds the first inside planet at a certain position



Sun



Mercury **57.9×10^6 km**



Sun



Mercury




Venus **108.2×10^6 km**

Then Venus doubles the distance Mercury has from the sun

Where the earth fits into the scenario Mercury holds more or less $\frac{1}{3}$ from the distance and Venus holds $\frac{2}{3}$ where the earth completes the full distance. Mercury is 57.9×10^6 km; Venus 108.2×10^6 km and the earth is 149.6×10^6 km. Remember we on earth hold the earth at the centre and therefore the inner planets assort to our position. From here on the Titius Bode law comes into its own where the first inner planet or the earth forms the **controlling singularity** the outer planet as Venus then is forms the **governing singularity** and the sun forms the **primary singularity**. The rest of the inner planets have no role to play in accordance with singularity positioning the planets.


primary singularity




primary singularity

controlling singularity


governing singularity



In this order there is no mention of mass playing a part. It is very convenient that Newtonian science ignores the relation or the fact that it is the Titius Bode law that is in place and that the Universe does not recognise Newton's claims on mass in any way.



In this order there is no mention of mass playing a part. It is very convenient that Newtonian science ignores the relation or the fact that it is the Titius Bode law that is in place and that the Universe does not recognise Newton's claim on mass in any way.



Is there still anyone out there that would dismiss my claims of a conspiracy to put fraud in place by giving merit to Newton and ignore the true factor that the Universe holds?

Confront your physics professor by asking your professor in physics to use Newton and then to explain

why every planet doubles the distance it has from the sun in relation to the immediate inner planet because he should know why every planet is doubling its distance from the sun in relation to the previous inner planet; after all he is the Newton-physics expert and with this representing gravity as gravity applies in the cosmos he is the expert in physics. and if he doesn't know, then what does he know because this is the basis of everything forming physics and he is the Newton-physics expert in physics!

One would presume that when dealing with the most intellectuals on earth also being those that even attack the bible and religion for accuracy, they then would see to it that their front Patio is swept clean without a trace of suspicion about accuracy anywhere. Or could it be that they have so much to hide that they attack all other things and in that prevent all the other

Ideas to attack the Newtonian fabrication of the truth. It is always others that are incorrect because Newton not withstanding the fabrication of the basic facts that is always correct.


Where the Universe does not comply with Newton it is the Universe that has to correct it ways and deliver missing mass in order to start to comply with Newton. If the Universe would still not comply with Newton the Newtonian mentors create and fabricate ark, unseen, undetectable, untraceable and non-existing dark matter to get the Universe to comply with Newton's outrageous mismanagement of physics. Physics is a hoax created by the imagination of those not understanding reality and not complying with any form of concept that forms an understandable science.

I dare any of the academics in physics standing behind Newton in full support of his theory on the gravitational law he formulated to explain where does planetary position fit into mass compliance. There are two options coming to light in this.

The one is that those brilliant mathematicians never saw this and so they are as stupid as toddlers or they are conspiring to keep this information a secret! If they are as smart as they pretend to be in mathematics why did they not tell the world about this inaccuracy about Newton's findings on which he founded physics.

The Titius Bode law is in lace and notwithstanding the level of despicable cheating, all the concocting the truth does not place Newton's misconceptions in place or remove that which is there, the Titius Bode law.

Mean distance of Terrestrial Planets from the Sun




The following diagram shows the approximate distance of the Jovian planets to the Sun.

It is a complete fraud that science covers up to hide Newton's incorrectness but moreover to

hide their personal ignorance and pitiful incompetence. Do you still believe science is correct at all? Are you still prepared to have these criminals teach your children "the truth"?

I dare any of them standing behind Newton supporting the Newton idea of $4\pi^2 a^3 = P^2 G(M + m)$ to apply the formula $P = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 a^3}{G(M + m)} \right)^{0.5}$ and translate that to the concept that "proves" the planet position allocations is derived from the mass of the sun and the mass of the individual planet relevant to the gravitational constant. Telling the Universe what the Universe should do according to Newton does not put the Universe in complying!



The planets only share one thing in common and that is the space in which they orbit that moving towards the sun. All the planets spin according to the space moving towards the sun and in relation to the sun contracting the space

Those that use Newton's formulas Newtonians wish to look brilliant and when peeling down the thin disguise they use to prevent Newton's incompetence becoming known, everyone can see how exceptionally stupid these smart mathematicians are because Newton's formulas disguised as Kepler's laws says nothing but exposes their incompetence in understanding cosmic facts.

Students, your professors are fooling you and you deserve to be their mindless monkeys just the way they think of you because you don't think about what they say! Cut the bullshit, force their ignorance about physics into the open and make monkeys of them, they deserve it even more than you lot do!

As you read the title of the book
www.questionablescience.net

If you think scientist know what gravity is, well, do not be duped that easily because no one in science remotely knows what gravity is. not even Newton knew what gravity is except Kepler. and because of what Kepler introduced now I know I can prove what gravity is.

Gravity is precisely what Kepler said gravity is

That Kepler was the only one that knows what gravity is we can see when we make an effort to investigate Kepler without Newton telling Kepler what he (Kepler) should have found. He (Newton) should have investigated Kepler's work more open minded and much closer then he (Newton) would have seen that gravity is precisely what Kepler found to be gravity

Science fails to bring logic answers to so many questions. It is simple questions about gravity and physics in which they fail, yet to every question science can't answer I bring an answer. My answer serves both logical science and truth but my answer does not match Newton and the misconception that gravity is generated by mass. Yet, since I do not applaud mainstream science and their fraud they go about to sideline and ignore my work is. Why is it going unrecognised.. because it trashes every article anyone has ever written about astronomical science and cosmology. It puts all the delivered on Newton's bias and fraud to the place it belongs, it trashes all work done thus far to the waste paper basket and renders all work invalid and void. Where they have to attack my work they ignore my work because they can't attack my work. In the same sense their work is beyond any defence and when I attack their work, they ignore me as if I represent the plague. I challenge them to prove Newton correct and not just declare Newton being beyond reproach.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

This Mainstream science use as the foundation of all physics anywhere. They put mass and the distance that parts objects in a relevancy, in other words the one is a ratio to the other. The increase in one becomes the reducing of the other. When the distance is large, the influence of mass will be small and when the distance is small, the influence of mass will be overwhelming. Why then when taken into consideration that if it is mass that produces an inclining force of contraction as Newton says then. when the Universe was small it did not implode whereas, instead it did expand. After all, the radius was almost no factor at that point leaving the mass to enjoy an eternal power in relation to the non-existing radius.

When the Universe was at the point where the Big bang started, the radius was incredibly small. That would make the mass inducing gravity by contraction inconceivably large because the mass was completely overpowering all factors with the small radius. It did not bring about an implosion that the overbearing mass contraction was supposed to unleash on such a small Universe in the beginning

The more the radius develops in time, the lesser would the gravity be that the mass factor generates in relation to the advancing radii developing and the larger would the reducing be of all contraction.

The effectiveness of force the mass produce will tarnish as the radius that separates the material from each other increases as time moves

Although it is presumed that the Universe was small at the dawn of the Big Bang, such presumption will put validity to another presumption that the gravity the mass charged at the time was enormous because the influence of the small distance in radii and the factor such distance produced promoted the factor, which the mass has to an enormous large factor. If an object is a million kilometres apart the radius is a million times more in value by dividing the mass influence than when objects are one kilometre apart. That is the most basic realisation about mathematics. It puts ratio to order and define coherency. That is what gravity is to the Universe as it puts respect to factors about the Universe in the Universe. It is what derives order in the Universe.

At the very same time we will find in a Universe that was supposedly so small it had a radius of less than only one kilometre, then at such a time when the Universe was still that small it must also be accepted that the gravity the mass charged was one million times greater as it would be when the radius keeping the structures apart is one million kilometres in distance. The extremely small radius that was only the size of one neutron in radii distance and with the factor that such a distance produces, it must promote the mass factor, which will support the mass in having an enormous large factor by relevancy to what the case must be at present. The mass factor that produces the gravity at any given point during the event of the Big Bang, had to be eternally larger at the dawn of the Big Bang while having an infinite radius, which gave gravity all the power it can have and which it will ever have.

If at the Big Bang there was not sufficient mass to destroy the radius and prevent the expanding from coming about, then the expanding won the match and there can be no contracting Universe as Newton had us to believe. If the Universe started a journey of parting objects no amount of dark matter that might lurk in the night sky and is at this moment hiding from detection will produce the gravity required to stop the expanding from continuing. At the start the expanding became evident and as the radii grows the inclination will suspend in influence as a factor. If there was insufficient mass at the start in order to tilt the balance in favour of the reducing factor, no amount of mass can ever accomplish such a goal afterwards. Then Newton's surmising was one of corruption making that which all physics are based on fools thought and corrupted proof.

If you might be of the opinion that my accusing the greatest intellectual department in the world as being in misconduct and to your view such accusing is outrageous and far-fetched, then be my guest and judge the following with a clear and unbiased mind because when scrutinised with a clear view then the facts cannot fool an idiot. However, that is just what the physics paternity thinks the rest of us forming the general public at large are. They have the opinion that they can feed us in the public arena any senseless rotten garbage they dish up because they see us as being inferior by thought and mind.

With all this in mind did any one ever come to wonder about the all too famous Einstein's critical density theory and the fact that this idea was conceived to conceal the corruption of Newton in physics? The fact in truth is that the Einstein's critical density theory was a scheme plotted by those in charge to cover up and conceal corruption in the heart of physics. If Einstein was unable to recognise the most basic of mathematical principles then what type of genius did physics create in him and what slur did physics promote. This idea of the two factors being in opposing relevance is so simple that children will recognise the principle, and yet those fathers of physics wants me to believe that the greatest mathematician that ever lived did not realise this principle. the principle that the radius and the mass stands related and the growth in the one will promote the decline in the other as a dominant factor.

Can any one with this information including the information given on the previous page have any other conclusion? It is obviously clear that having such a total idea that there might be dark unseen mass floating in the Universe which at this time does not generate gravity but will some day because Newton has to be correct at some point in the future. I am to believe that dark undetected mass can be found and such undetectable mass could be found which will bring about contraction after all this expanding? Why would the mass at present then not activate gravity and why would the mass at some point spring to life and start activating gravity? How much can the Physics paternity still hide the fact that Einstein's critical density is being used as a cover-up to distort the truth to conceal fraud?

The uncovering by the Hubble constant about of the Newton fraud is so simple to see. Hubble found the Universe is expanding and Newton's said otherwise. Who is lying about what? Hubble's declaration was on track to blow the cover that was concealing the Newton fraud wide open and uncover the centuries old deception. To see this we have only too look at the comet behaviour when any and all comets again come around on a cycle by repeated visiting the sun. The question is if it is mass pulling mass onto mass, then why do we have comets left in the solar system? The mass of the Sun should by now at least have destroyed every comet going around.

Every indication that we so far received in vivid portraying from astronomy photography studies from outer space disputes a shrinking Universe concept. From the moon increasing the radius distance between the earth and the sun, to the Hubble Constant indicating a space growing any where in space wherever man may conduct studies. Since the end of the middle ages a force called gravity was identified, but more than that science did not take it.

What is gravity, besides being a force? What forces the force? I introduce a cosmic theory that turns the missing questions to answers.

Let us for one second return to the science we all know.

There is an undefined phenomenon in the cosmos, never mentioned (in public) because it obscures the basic formula.

$$F = \frac{M_1 M}{r^2} G$$

Lets put the mathematical formula into a practical context.


By reducing r would bring about the same result as enlarging the mass factor of the cosmic objects i.e. the Sun and the planets. It is a very drastic implication that will cause much more than just seasons changing. It must bring about that gravity changes through out the year.yet the radius does constantly change, therefore.

The closer any two cosmic objects come the stronger the force should be, with eventually no force in the Universe being able too keep them apart. This is just not happening!!!


There is no indication of truth about a contracting solar system as Newton proposed and as Newton's followers promote and or a contracting Universe as seen from the Hubble as he introduced has Hubble Constant.and not from any other evidence seen through the Hubble Telescope.

As explained, there is some discrepancies about calculating the force of gravity, because gravity would apply as nicely as it does if it was the perfect balance, a balance exist in space of equal measure bringing about equal seasonal time.


The biggestdiscrepancyand apracticaldenouncingtheofficialversionofthe comet's flight around the Sun




The Sun gets a grip on the comet by mass inflicting gravity and as it gets hold of the comet it drags the comet through the solar system straight ahead to the Sun just as Newton predicted the Sun with all its gravity producing gravity will do. There was no hint of a circle forming at any stage.



As Newton had said the gravity that the Sun and the comet mass induce pull the comet to the Sun. As we all know the comet moves to the center of the Sun just as Newton predicted with a slight complication and a change in the venue, the comet no longer aims to the center of the Sun but aims at a target outside the limits of the space that the Sun occupies.



One should give Newton the benefit of the doubt and disregard this miss the position that the comet is aiming at. It could be that the gravity was not generated strong enough to locate the center at such a great distance as the comet was at first. It could be that the reducing of the radius comes in installments of a few circles. The comet might just take a cycle or two to wind down as the radius reduce and one should wait and see if it is not that which Newton meant when he said the mass by the mass is dismissing the radius between the objects. The formula Newton suggested did not make any room for a circle of any sort to form the trajectory of a planet or a comet trajectory.




$$F = \frac{M_1 M}{r^2} G \quad \text{Explains the first sketch.}$$

Alas, it is not what the comets intends to do because the comet breaks the strangle hold of the Sun and what ever was pulling the comet at first is doing all the pushing at this point because the comet is surging into the darkness of the abyss. The comet speed away from the Sun and also at the same pace it was heading towards the Sun and there is no altering to the speed in any way. The comet seems very much unaware of the comet behaving in opposition to what the great Newton predicted with his formula.

The comet performs all the other maneuvers as the sketches indicate, which Newton's formula totally ignores. If the formula forms the basis of all physics used by science, the basics, which are around for hundreds of years, are trash and simply does not perform as it is supposed to. For many hundreds of years every person in physics were aware of this flaw but did nothing about it.

The lot that was filling the Universe was growing apart. In some case he said the lot was racing apart. The Universe was growing by miles and not shrinking into nothing. The Universe was blowing apart!

The main discoverer had a name and a position of seniority, which prevented others from pushing his opinion aside. The man was E.P. Hubble. Through his telescope any one could see that the Universe was expanding and the expansion was most rapid.



I am sure at the time all the Newtonian con artists were well aware of Newtonian shortfall in logical proof but this Hubble fellow was going to open a can of worms no one in science was able to face. How would the crooks that pretended to be the wise explain that Newton's contracting gravity was part of Newton's wild imagination? What would then happen if those that should know less then became wise and started to ask more questions about what the lot in conspiracy were hiding for (at the time) say about two hundred and fifty years? What is going to happen when the entire world learned that those academics in physics that was pretending to be the most brilliant among men was uncovered as the most stupid crawling the earth. What would happen if every one saw Newton was wrong all along and started demanding answers!

Every one was sharing the Newtonian vision of a contracting Universe where the lot would one day again come together and Creation will end where Creation started some time ago. The Universe has mass that is pulling mass towards one another and we are in the centre of an ever shrinking Universe. That is what the lot of us can see. we are forming the centre of the ever contracting having cosmos where every Newtonian can vividly see with his or her eyes through any telescope that all Newtonians minded scientists are sharing the centre stage of the ever collapsing Universe. The Universe is about to end where all mass contracts into one huge lump of material.

This unleashed a problem the world had no name for. Everything known to science was at that point devastatingly unknown to science. The world was expanding and not contracting which made the Universe quite wrong. It is impossible to have any vision about Newton being wrong. Newton could never be wrong because Newton was never wrong yet. so if the Universe is out of step with science, then science will correct such an abnormality by finding a way to defraud science and postpone the correcting that the Universe had to comply with since the Universe owed the Master Newton some apology. Did the Universe not know that he whom never can be wrong is in name Isaac Newton! Decisive action was needed. At this point I cannot believe that the most brilliant minds were so natve and therefore I must suspect deliberate deception. Hubble was far too prominent to blow away and Newton was found wanting. At that point they put the onus of proof not on Newton but turned the focus away from Newton to what the presented as the guilty party. When will the Universe confirm its incorrectness by affirming Newton's obvious correctness? If they had to admit that Newton was wrong, the most intellectual science then had to admit they had nothing to show for all their minds brilliant work.

Science that was defying the likeliness of a living God stood bare and naked for all to see. They put the onus of proof and converting onto the cosmos. They asked not Newton but the cosmos when will the cosmos come clean and prove Newton correct, maintaining their unshakable belief that even the cosmos could be at blame but Newton could never be wrong. . When will the cosmos admit to a mistake and set its crooked ways straight. When will it meet its diverting from Newton and reach a point where the Universe will finally come to comply with what Newton demands. It is the cosmos that is wrong therefore it is time to find out when the cosmos will correct its manner. To deal with such a task they needed a man with a bigger ego than he had an IQ. They needed a person that thought more of his abilities than his ability to grasp any complex situation. They needed a man that was presented as a genius without ever proving his genius. They had a man that filled the centre of the Universe, which then placed the man in a location from where the man could see the entire Universe. They had just such a man. He went by the name of Albert Einstein. For all the genius Einstein had, Einstein failed to see the most simplistic and tiniest mathematical rule. Einstein failed to realise that if there was insufficient mass at the beginning of the expanding Universe, the growth of the Universe will reduce the influence of such mass as a factor because as the radius grows, such growth will restrict the gravity by rendering the mass progressively more incompetent.

If the Universe is expanding as Hubble indicated, the growth of the radius will reduce the influence value of the mass as every second passes. The mass will become more and more wanting for such a task. Yet with this obvious shortsightedness of the genius Einstein, the genius saw him fit enough to calculate and measure something as overwhelming as the Universe. As in the case of Newton, Einstein as an ego driven maniac that saw his abilities fit to measure and master the Universe while his mind was too simple to recognise the most basic principle of mathematics, the principle of relevancies or ratios. What a mathematical genius that turns out to be. While the radius enlarges, at the same proportion does the influence of the mass factor reduce and the mere fact that the radius increase shows that at no stage further into the future can the mass stem the growth of the radius because the radius overpowered the mass factor already. Unless there is new material entering the Universe at a point, which is impossible, the entire concept is fraud.

The idea was never to admit wrongdoing on the part of Newton and Newtonian science but to post pone, delay and divert attention away from the truth. If there was not enough mass to start with, no dark matter can kick in later on and start secondary mass frenzy that at that stage will then be enough to bring about the required mass potential that will turn around the Universe from expanding to contracting. To establish a scenario that would hide all deception they got the man that has a bigger ego than an IQ, they tell the world this man is a genius while the fool does not know the least of mathematical principles because his Master Newton did not know the least of mathematical principles and they got him to measure the Universe. While they did not even have any device (and will never have such a device) through which anyone would be able to see the entire Universe, they set of a scandalous misconception that this Einstein could calculate all the mass in the Universe.

Of course as can be expected, there was not enough mass and there will never be enough mass because there is no such a thing as mass in the entire Universe. When the

deceit played out to the full, they fraudsters being the paternity of physics elaborated on the delusion by trying to find dark matter that is hidden. If the dark matter did not develop enough contraction at this time, there is no chance in the future to develop enough gravity because the factor of what mass supposedly should have is tarnishing and tarnishing as the Universe expand. The bigger the radius becomes the less would the mass effect be.

The community of astrophysics are trying to frame a picture where they set the stage in the way that if the Universe were stretched to a point the mass would not tolerate any more expanding. The mass will get frustrated in some way and show resistance to the increasingly elastic expanding. The gravity constant (I suppose) must prevent any further expanding. How they ever got to such an argument I never could tell. They surmise that outer space is consistently overall filled with nothing and when this nothing is stretched to the limit, the nothing would resist in growing more nothing or become further nothing and the nothing would stop other nothing to enter outer space in the community represented by nothing. If ever there is a faculty ruled by absolute inconsistency and rubbish as the motto of logic it has to be astrophysics.

Every measured kilometre represents nothing. Every mm is one of nothing. We on Earth are 149×10^6 kilometres holding nothing away from the Sun. Only they can argue that outer space is nothing with material here and there. If that is the case then which has more nothing between the Sun and Pluto or the Sun and Mercury. The distance between the Sun and Pluto is more, therefore that which outer space is made of is more than in the case of Mercury and the Sun. Therefore Pluto has more nothing between the Sun and the planet than Mercury has between the planet and the Sun. Only astrophysics and all the geniuses guarding the principal of astrophysics can put a calculated value by measure on nothing. In fact Mercury has hundred times less nothing between the planet and the Sun than is the case with Pluto. Since my days at school I was always under the impression that a hundred times the value of outer space being nothing is numerically expressed as (zero = $0 \times 100 = 0$), but where the genius that is such a prevailing part of astrophysics take the stage we find that Pluto can have 100 times more nothing than the amount or distance measuring nothing than Mercury has. The figure containing nothing that puts Pluto at the edge of the solar system is one hundred times more nothing than what Mercury has where Mercury becomes the first planet in the solar system. That is astrophysics. The brilliant minds of the mathematicians hold no rules apart from what they can calculate. Astrophysics is the only department throughout the Universe where normal rules don't apply since because with mathematics they can bend all laws as they wish. In fact Newton started the trend with his deceit.

Only the guardians of astrophysics policy can know why the undetected dark matter will start producing gravity to change the expanding to contraction. Would the fact that it is detected, change the influence it established? Or is it merely to extend the cover up and allow the deceit to linger until the following generation. There is no mass and any one that says there is mass, let such a fraudster then explain why all the planets irrespective of size or density, spin around the Sun at the same speed as all the others. Let them prove that the Universe acknowledge big and small and let them show how Jupiter can move at the same pace as does Mercury and Pluto while Jupiter is so many times more massive than the other two mentioned. More condemning evidence is yet to come because the astrophysics tricksters did

not leave the corrupting of evidence just at that.

The fatherhood of physics never once diverted from acknowledging that Newton's contraction is the prevailing thesis on which the cosmos is built because they accepted that Newton used unlawful arguments and to cover up Newton's fraud which they still use to this day, they then proceeded with further criminality when producing the bluff they established with Einstein just to fool everyone in the normal public. Without ever recalling Newton's contraction theory that is obviously not working or admitting doubt about Newton's testimony to the effect, physics accepted the Big Bang Theory. The Big Bang theory opposes what ever Newton might have implied. The physics paternity however finds it wise to still advocate Newton while admitting to the Big Bang event. Newton said the lot is contracting. Go on and marry that with the Big Bang that says everything is expanding. You can't promote both except is you can define why we would see the two merge.

The Universe comes from a point the size of a Neutron. That makes the radius parting the Universe infinitely small. It just about removes the radius as a factor. At the very same implication it takes the pulling of the mass (if there are pulling forces converted by mass) to a level it will never again have. As soon as the distance between the objects holding mass started to grow, the power and influence of the mass factor started to diminish in the same ratio. If the mass were incapable of contracting the Universe then, it will forever remain contracting the Universe. Then you may ask what is the story? Read on and you will learn how far Mainstream Physics stray from the truth and how big a cover up the paternity is protecting.

According to science the Universe started with singularity. Quoted directly from the Oxford dictionary of Astronomy the following:

The definition of singularity is as follows:

Singularity: a mathematical point at which certain physical quantities reach infinite values for example, according to the general relativity the curvature of space-time becomes infinite in a black hole. In the Big Bang theory the Universe was born from singularity in which the density and temperature of matter were infinite. The average daily temperature was "10 to 10^{34} K".

Then the second "day" the daily average temperature came down to 10^{34} K and 10^4 K. That is fine, but if the temperature was in Kelvin, then what was 0^0 K. In order to make sense of the scale used there must be a minimum to secure a maximum otherwise the maximum can just as well be the minimum and is only advocated to impress humans applying earthly standards.

By using a scale as 10 to 10^{34} K, it places the lower temperature at a modern 0^0 K to make sense of standards. If that was the temperature the standards were lowered, compromising something to gain something, because something had to grow larger for heat to reduce. We know space grew larger bringing heat down to reduce.

Being the onlooker the viewer has to maintain one position. From that position some particles would be circling a centre point, as the particles would be coming toward the onlooker. The other matter would be circling the centre point while rushing away from the onlooker.

At the very end the single dimension may come into the dynamics but where the single dimension comes

in the factor of zero is removed.

If there is space, there is a flow of light and a flow of light has to produce lines in relation to angles forming space between them. Something must be present to confirm space because there is an absolute difference between being in space and no space to be found. If there was a line that formed nothing that one line that forms nothing would completely destroy the other lines' chances of ever forming a triangle, let alone having all lines and they then have a total being zero. As shown in the example no line can form zero and therefore no mathematical equation as far as it extends to cosmology can ever bring about zero as a number. While there is space present there has to be three dimensions relating to each other by time and in three dimensions there has to be three lines in relevancy to each other by angles formed holding space in (at least) six opposing sides. Removing one line must bring about a flat Universe and that then will constitute nothing.

Cosmology is about light flowing by means of lines indicating space obeying the rules enforced by time in motion and light flowing dictates crossing space and across space light is using lines. The book: *An open letter Announcing Gravity's Recipe* is dealing with the subject finding singularity by removing the concept of nothing from outer space. By diminishing nothing one uncover singularity and the effort brings in a new perspective not yet introduced.

For your benefit I will shortly give a summary by which I hope to interest you in reading the manuscript: **Compressing space produces heat. Releasing heat will bring expansion bringing about space.** We call such a release of heat an explosion. In other words heat translate to space and space concentrates back to heat. The one is a product of the other where space forms expanded heat.

They are quick to show the time that was applying at the time being some thousandth of a second or the heat that was present being numbers we have no name for. The other side of the story they ignore. They ignore the other side of the story because in that respect it puts their promoting of Newton down to madness. If you reduce the radius applying at the present back to what it was at the time of the initiating of the Big Bang, you must also increase the influence gravity and mass had at that moment by the same number you are decreasing the radius. That is pure mathematics and the most basic physics of all concepts.

The shrinking radius will increase the effectiveness of the influence of the gravity that the mass can produce by the margin of the shrinking of the radius. If the Radius was infinite at that point, then that means the gravity was eternal. With the entire Universe being as big as a Neutron, the Universe was the size of an atom. If the Universe were the size of an atom and the mass within that Universal atom could not prevent the Universe exploding into immeasurable atoms, then it would not be able to retract all the atoms into one unit again. If there was not enough mass to start the contraction, there can be no contraction of mass that is producing the gravity at this stage. If the gravity is of such a nature that it allows a continuous growth of the radius, then the radius firstly cannot be zero as Newton suggested and the extending of the radius proves there is no contraction in the way Newton had

everyone to believe. If Newton's mass contracting mass is true, then on the other hand it must have resulted in an implosion as that which can never repeat again. With Newton's

$$F = \frac{M_1 M}{r^2} G$$

formula of forming gravity, then the Big Bang is just not possible because from that formula the Big Crunch must respond. They put all questions on hold by diverting the attention to some black matter or dark energy, some force no-one can detect and yet it is going to save Newton's honour. Why is that dark matter with mass not pulling at this point?

Forming part of the website www.singularityrelevancy.com

By going to LULU.com the following books are available in e-book format as individual books wherein I share with you the newly discovered information about www.singularityrelevancy.com which you are reading and which you are free to download

Then download the next book from Lulu absolutely free and see if I exaggerate in any way!

THE ABSOLUTE RELEVANCY OF SINGULARITY: THE UNPUBLISHED ARTICLE Free of Charge

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-dissertation/S994478>

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Dissertation

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-dissertation/S994478>

Book 0 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Newton

http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-0-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-in-terms-of-newton/100_1

Book 1 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Cosmic Physics

http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-1-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-in-terms-of-cosmic-physics/66241_1

Book 2 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The 4 Cosmic Phenomena

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-2-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-in-terms-of-the-four-cosmic-pillars/7181003>

Book 3 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Sound Barrier

Book4 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Cosmic Code

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-4-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-in-terms-of-the-cosmic-code/66255>

Book5 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Life

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-5-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-in-terms-of-life/6626316>

Book6 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity Investigating Kepler

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/book-6-the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-investigating-kepler/7179110>

Where the above all are also available from Lulu.com.

Should there be any person wishing to purchase these books in one volume given as a thesis published in paper format then contact me, on this web address by activating www.singularityrelevancy.com

And you will be able to purchase six books in print as a unit on paper forming one volume with six books going as *The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity* The Theses.

However please note that this printed Thesis is very limited as it is printed privately. When you press on the button www.singularityrelevancy.com to active I will return e-mail as soon as I can to confirm the availability of published manuscripts and prices. The six books are identical to the six books on the offer through [Lulu.com](http://www.lulu.com) but they are in monochrome where as the individual book in e-book format is in colour where colour applies.

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Article is written as the first introduction to introduce singularity forming gravity in the new theorem explaining the Absolute Relevancy of Singularity.

Since the article was comprehensive but was adjudged as too long for a physics journal, I decided to offer the article in its original and total layout in which I introduce the framework of my ideas.

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Dissertation is there written as the second introduction to introduce the four pillars in a very wide sense on which the new theorem rests. This is to convince readers about the authenticity behind the explaining and the thinking that forms the new approach to physics backing the Absolute Relevancy of Singularity where gravity dependson .

Then

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity consists of a four individual parts each forming a thesis.

There are either six individual books on offer in e-book format or in print could only be purchased as one unit named The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity

The Theses

. This consists of

www.questionablescience.net the website <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/wwwquestionablesciencenet-the-website/7607865>]

www.singularityrelevancy.com THE WEBSITE <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/wwwsingularityrelevancycom-the-website/8074920>]

which you are reading and which you are free to download

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: The Extended Article Free of Charge from Lulu

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-extended-article/7180231>]

THE ABSOLUTE RELEVANCY OF SINGULARITY: THE UNPUBLISHED ARTICLE Free of Charge

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-unpublished-article/7747133>]

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: Introducing The Sound Barrier

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-introducing-the-sound-barrier/7745052>]

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Dissertation ISBN 978-0-9802725-8-1

<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-dissertation/5994478>]

***The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity* The Theses called**

Thesis 1 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Cosmic Physics ISBN 978-0-9802725-2-9

***The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in Explaining the Sound Barrier* called**

Thesis 2

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of

The Sound Barrier

ISBN

978-0-9802725-3-6

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity explaining the Four Cosmic Phenomena

called

Thesis 3 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Four Cosmic Pillars

ISBN 978-0-9802725-5-0 and

***The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity used to explain The Cosmic Code* called**

Thesis 4


The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of

The Cosmic Code.


Part 2

This is not the website called

www.singularetyrelevancy.com By pressing the title you can
download **www.singularetyrelevancy.com**



Do you know what this is? This website will not show you the lovely pictures. For that there is another website that will tell you everything that happens in the experiment. This information will tell you why this happens and what is the cosmic philosophy prevailing in physics allowing this.



The Roche limit in the practical sense shows a radius limit found between stars that prevents stars from coming closer than that limit allow.

Do you know what this is? What happens in these pictures proves that stars could never collide because what prevents it is cosmic law that science at present can't fathom because their understanding is too limited. This website informs you about the facts behind the lovely pictures. This website will tell you why the cosmic physics principles establishes these phenomena. and because the information does not salute Newton, academics in physics despise what is said. If mass don't pull stars to a point of colliding then Newton is wrong! Any star coming closer than 2.4674 times the radius of the larger star would liquidise the smaller star and this law and the Coanda effect are a precise duplication of true gravity truly applying. This value the Roche limit has is which is circle movement divided by 4 opposing sides through which the rotation goes.

At present science is unable to explain these very crucial phenomena and even to the extent that those guarding the principles of applying physics don't realise the importance of these phenomena or how the principles apply in physics.

These phenomena are there and it is in place so ignoring it is rather stupid. but that is precisely what Newtonian science has been doing for centuries.

They ignore these phenomena in favour of what is not present in the cosmos .and that is mass. Those in physics put mass in as an explanation for the movement of planets and while all planets has different mass, yet all planets orbit and move at exactly the same tempo. So how can they move according to mass-differences with having different mass and still be moving at an equal pace?

What there is, are the four phenomena I present, but because Newtonian science insight do not extend as far as understanding the phenomena and the role it plays in physics and moreover in generating gravity, Newtonian science is brilliantly ignoring the phenomena as well as the role these phenomena play.

Science do not realise the value or the impact that these four phenomena has on physics. That makes their judgement unfit in terms of deciding the importance the phenomena offer in relation to understanding physics. For many centuries science used improper factors in physics such as thinking that it is mass that produces gravity while only Newton's word is proof of this. There is no evidence of mass forming gravity and to overcome this issue they manufacture a component they called the graviton. There is no evidence of a graviton existing but to compensate for the shortfall existing in physics they use the graviton to promote a hoax however, this is not the only fable science invented

No one realises there is a shortfall because all evidence of such shortfall in Newtonian science is ignored like they would ignore the plague. There is no evidence of mass that has the ability to form gravity, but by cultural brainwashing every one believes this to be true. With everyone satisfied that there is no problem in science all persons are very satisfied with conditions applying as it is.

In every mind using physics the awareness of the mistake is absent and all presume that physics in the manner Newton said it is applying therefore thinking the Newtonian way is a healthy and correct and no one can find a reason to investigate my claims that Newton's idea of mass that forms gravity is a hoax.

No one can see the need to support my claims of science being wrong in spite of finding no evidence of mass anywhere in the solar system. The phenomena that do apply in the cosmos, science totally ignore because it does not support Newton's ideas and Newton can't explain these phenomena. I prove how these phenomena form gravity but I am ignored because it will turn physics on its head.

Shouldyou download

www.singularetyrelevancy.com

**Then you are about to discover that.
IDISCOVEREDAMISTAKEINSCIENCE.**

This is a crucial mistake since it touches every aspect of the foundation of physics and rocks physics like no earthquake ever rocked any part of the earth, ever. it puts science on a cross road that will change every principle prevailing in cosmology and alter the way we view physics forever.

The question everyone avoid is why is there a defined difference between what is mass and what is weight. Mass is measured in the same currency that weight is measured so it should be the same. but Newtonian teachings deny this idea. why would they deny this fact? I say don't tell me there is mass, prove to me there is mass that is different from weight. Prove to

me mass forms gravity because I say gravity forms mass.

It is said that mass makes you fall. or in any case that is what the definition applies. The definition of gravity says that mass attracts and by such attraction gravity forms according to the value of mass attracting and this forms the most fundamental foundation of physics, founding all of the centuries old Newtonian thinking.

**The Definition of Gravity according to the Oxford Dictionary of Astronomy:
*Physics***

4) The natural force of attraction exerted by a celestial body, such as Earth, upon objects at or near its surface, tending to draw them toward the centre of the body.

If this is true then Galileo is wrong. If you fall by mass because mass forms gravity, then big things should fall quicker than small things does and Galileo proved that all things fall equal. Now to confuse students they come up with the concocted idea that a hammer fall the same as a feather in vacuum. Yes, sure and a car falls equal to a human without vacuum. All things fall equal when all things fall unrestricted and by gravity alone. The hammer and the feather and the vacuum are part of the deliberate brainwashing process because everything falls equal every time anything falls free. What bring distinction are the heat and the temperature in the sky when things fall, but not the weight or the mass of objects.

This is not what I am referring too when I say there is no mass because we know it is there and I do prove it is the spin of the earth that forces the body downwards, but not by mass. It is by movement establishing

5) The natural force of attraction between any two massive bodies, which is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them.

This is the one that I refer too that is the figment of Newton's imagination. I beg anyone to use the information gathered from the solar system read from the chart Kepler provided and tested as truth beyond doubt to prove this definition of mass pulling do apply! I give the tables that Kepler established. Show where any planet moves according to the mass as it supposedly does or how any planet is allocated a specific position in the solar system or even in the Milky Way according to the mass the object should have.

6) Gravitation.

This proves how low Newtonians can go to solicit absolute fraud and intoxicate student's minds in brainwashing their thinking to accept what never is proven. This is hogwash at best! Prove that the graviton is more than just more deliberate fraud invented to sustain the idea of magical forces pulling atoms.

The Definition of Gravity according to the Oxford Dictionary of Astronomy

Gravity is the **fundamental force of attraction that all objects with mass have for each other.**

Like the electromagnetic force, gravity has effectively infinite range and obeys the inverse-square law. At the atomic level, where masses are very small, the force of gravity is negligible, but for objects that have very large masses such as planets, stars, and galaxies, gravity is a

predominant force, and it plays an important role in theories of the structure of the universe. [REDACTED], although the graviton has yet to be isolated by experiment. Gravity is weaker than the strong force, the electromagnetic force, and the weak force.

This is the brainwashing part! Students have to learn this fiction by heart and repeat in exams as if it is proven truth.

Please show me the attraction in relation to mass that applies between any of the planets and the sun. Please show me the attraction in relation to mass when all bodies fall equal through the sky. Show me planets move closer to the sun to prove this force. The cosmos expands and so does the solar system and even the moon and the earth are moving apart every instant in time. So prove the attraction is occurring between all planets when all cosmic structures move apart! **This is the fraud I refer to!**

If you are a student in physics then you should read the following information with care and with much consideration because your mental health might be at stake here. One could think of another name for physics and that would be Newton's mythology. It is about the subject of gravity and is most important. The "Newton's mythology" comes from the fact that students have to learn what the professors claim to be true and what was never was proven. Students

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

have to repeat in examinations that the formula is truthful and viable while it was never proven. Do you realise that it is an accepted practise that all students that are studying physics on all levels are subjected to the most intense brainwashing and thought control found any where on Earth? This must be some sort of a joke you may think but thinking that way in disbelief is just what those practising the mind control wish you to think!

According to the tables, all movement is according to some other value than mass. If mass was pulling then Jupiter had to move 317 times faster than the earth! They never prove Newton's philosophy on gravity but those persons conducting teaching in the subject of physics force all physics students to learn Newton's gravitational concepts and accept the facts as if it has been proven beyond all other facts. Students have to believe that Newton is correct or academics will see to it that they fail their examination. The condition of being accepted in physics is to accept Newton without questioning the proof that is never supplied.

Let those academics now prove precisely how mass brings about gravity and then afterwards test you on how Newton is proven correct and not on you repeating their facts blindly about what they say is true about what Newton said, which they say is true. The manner they present Newton is completely hearsay and that method may not be used in any court of law let alone form the foundation of physics.

Planet	Mass per Earth unit	$k^{-1} = T^2 + a^3$ Movement	a^3 of space volume	T^2 During time units
Mercury	0.06	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.983$	$(a^3) = 0.059$	$(T^2) = 0.058$
Venus	0.82	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.992$	$(a^3) = 0.381$	$(T^2) = 0.378$
Earth	1.000	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 1.000$	$(T^2) = 1.000$
Mars	0.11	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 3.54$	$(T^2) = 3.54$
Jupiter	317.89	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 140.6$	$(T^2) = 140.66$
Saturn	95.17	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 868.25$	$(T^2) = 67.9$
Uranus	14.53	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 7067$	$(T^2) = 7069$
Neptune	17.14	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 27189$	$(T^2) = 27159$
Pluto	0.0025	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.004$	$(a^3) = 61443$	$(T^2) = 61703$

Let your professors now prove how it is that Newton's teachings are correct and then examine you on the process they use to prove Newton's concepts rather than test the state of brainwashing you have submitted to. At present they say Newton is correct and then they test you on your ability in repeating that Newton is correct without ever proving to you that Newton is correct. That is not testing your knowledge but it is testing the mind control you have submitted to. Let those physics professors now prove Newton and their ability to prove Newton correct and then test you on the manner they use to prove Newton to be correct.

They teach you that the Universe contracts and to state their case they force students to learn

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

that gravity is proved by Newton introducing the following formula $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ They say that M_1 is the mass of the

Earth and M_2 is the mass of the individual in questions mass and the multiplying of these factors with the gravitational constant produces the force of gravity when this gets divided by the square of the radius. Please let you lecturer put in all the values of the formula and prove Newton is correct. Use the mass of the earth multiplies by your mass and then these is divided by the square of a billionth of a millimetre and see what gravity forms with those figures applying! The radius between your feet and the earth is a billionth of a millimetre and not 1 as they normally show. If he can't prove gravity is believable and I know for sure he never can fill in the symbols and calculate the force of gravity, then read the rest of the web page that follows to see how far academics in physics go to brainwash students into believing in Newton's fraud.

The truth beyond all other truth is that Newton's gravity has never been proven (because try as you may it is not possible to prove Newton's formula forming gravity mathematically) and because academics know that, academics require the blind acceptance of Newton by students. This unconditional acceptance of Newton's correctness relies only on the pre-conditioning of students' mind set and academics depend only on the student trusting the academic "say so" about the institutionalised correctness of Newton.

That Newton is correct nevertheless and notwithstanding that there is no founding proof about this matter, is what students should be accepting blindly. I'll bet you they are more surprised than you about me accusing them of systematically mind altering the student's physic and ability to think than you are.

This is a fair test to see if Newton's contraction theory underwritten by Newton's attraction formula

$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ is valid, and then force your professor to use this formula as it reads and show

WHEN the

Moon and the Earth is going to collide. If he fails to do it by using Newton's formula as

$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ then you will know who is conning you, him or I and who is truthful again him or

I. I charge all academics to prove what I say is being wrong in any way or even that I exaggerate in the least. I challenge Newtonian academics to prove that mass does indeed form any force of any sorts and in particular gravity!

Pre-conditioning students into blind acceptance depends on the academics' insistence that students approve Newton's concepts without pre judgment or students insisting on scrutiny of any sorts. In examination students have to outright and blindly follow academics' say so trumped up rhetoric and respond on facts only because academics say so. Academics depend on students never questioning their say so or demand proof about what academics teach. Those academics in teaching positions insist that all students accept Newton's accuracy or be sent home as failures in physics.

Where does mass manifest in the solar system? Where does Jupiter show its mass is pulling more than that of Pluto? According to the definition of gravity claiming gravity is a pulling

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

power by the mass of objects and this formula then Jupiter has to beat the earth going at a speed 317 times

faster than the earth, because Jupiter is 317 times larger than the earth. Show me any evidence that mass plays any role or function anywhere in the Universe! If you are one of those members of society that never thought you would hear the name of an accomplished person as Sir Isaac Newton being associated with fraud, corruption and brainwashing, then the books [The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity](#) published by [Lulu.com](#) are specially written to inform you about the truth there is lacking in the correctness about science. Newton's formula and suggestion indicate a straight line moving

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

between the objects pulling by mass while it is clear everything that applies the motion of a

planet going around the sun is using a circle. Everyone knows that planets orbit around the Sun. Planets circle the Sun which is the same as saying planets orbit the Sun. Just by calling the circle motion in terms of what applies, that statement nullifies Newton's claim of mass that attract mass and put to question the reliability of Newton's dogma. This might at first seem a small issue but from that I prove that gravity works by the value of r and not mass.

This is methodical mind control as much as it is the brainwashing and I show that they enforce this practise. If you are one of those believing that Newton was ever proven, then what you believe to be true is a lie because Newton can't be proven and that is the truth! The time has come to face your teachers and force them to stop the ongoing old culture of bullying students into submission and conditioning their thoughts by enforcing on them dogmas, which is no more than systematically enforcing mind control! In order to get students to accept Newton's hypothesis, academics resort to brainwashing pupils' and students' thoughts.

I decided to have the books printed in e-book format as to inform all other potential readers. In the books I addressed the issues in detail and the work I named [The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: The Thesis](#) I sent articles to Academics in which I proposed the full remedy to the mistakes I indicated. This only drained my funding and I got nothing back for all the effort and the money I spent in trying to charge a truthful reaction from academics. They are so entangled with their brainwashing they don't see the practise it.

The only response was just a vacant silence; they did not even e-mail me in response acknowledging that they received such books that I sent their way. Now I am going to reveille why there is such a silence coming from the academics. It is because I dare to challenge Newton's correctness about gravity. On the advice of a publisher I wrote an article to [Annalen der Physics](#) trying to introduce the working of the principle forming singularity. In the website [THE ABSOLUTE RELEVANCY of SINGULARITY : THE WEBSITE](#) <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-website/7517996> I share with you the response.

Again I repeat that I do not wish to make unlawful money from a scam I thought up where I try

to seduce your curiosity. You can download it for free. You can either go to www.singularetyrelevancy.com and download a more comprehensive version of www.singularetyrelevancy.com: Informing about Newton's Mythology which is totally free of charge or go to www.singularetyrelevancy.com and from there press www.singularetyrelevancy.com to download also from Lulu.com an e-book that is a little less informative version of www.singularetyrelevancy.com.

Should you find what I say truthful then you can go to the e-book that is without charge as well and find out what gravity truly is without brainwashing any one into stupidity? Then you can download www.singularetyrelevancy.com: the website and for the first time see the truth. free of charge!

Download it from Lulu.com and find out for the first time how gravity truly forms in space.

Reasons for reading www.sirnewtonsfraud.com

Firstly it is free. I am not trying to exploit any person by extorting money in launching a factual unsupported wild scam. The truth is that Newtonian science is practising the unsupported scam for centuries and they do it by mind manipulation and thought control. They force students to believe Newton is absolutely correct needing no proof. Let them prove how the solar system uses mass! If finding these statements harsh surprises you, just see why I say they play mind control games

Newtonian approach cannot even recognise any of the four principles but only Newtonian science are taught to students. No student can have the fortune to disagree about Newton and remain a student at any institution while studying. Students are taught to accept Newton and to ignore Kepler and any student doing it the other way around will fail all examinations and other testing at the Universities the student is attending. Students accept Newton or they accept a ticket taking them home. According to Newtonian science space is simply nothing with no qualities but gravity separates space and space does not mingle, as one would expect if space was nothing because space does form borders. Those borders form part of gravity and gravity is the least understood concept thus far in science. In truth no one in science anywhere remotely knows what brings gravity about and I used Kepler to unravel this mystery called gravity. But no one in science will admit this fact about Newton or any one else never being able to explain gravity in the least or that Kepler is the one who formulated gravity decades before Newton came and gave gravity the name. Newton started this realising of gravity but it had and still has no more substantial proof than a rumour has and Newton admitted to it being a concept he could not explain. Still to this day nobody in science at present will denounce the principle of gravity being never explained. All in science act in a manner as if Newton's gravity idea is proven fact and only occasionally admit it to not "fully understood" as Newton admitted it was when he introduced the name (not the concept). That includes Newton as well as Einstein and even Hawking. Scientists can declare gravity was a factor at 10^{-43}

10^{-43} seconds after the Big Bang but what brought gravity about or why gravity became or still remained, as a presence is still tightly concealed information which all are speculating on. Even to the best informed amongst the most educated do not know what is gravity because they all ignored Kepler and for ignoring Kepler the price they pay is not finding the principles bringing about gravity.

Kepler studied what kept the planets circling the sun and that what is keeping the planets in

orbit is gravity. From such explaining what Kepler said without Newton changing formulas on Kepler's behalf I prove the Titius Bode principal also known just as the Bode principle. I explain how singularity forms the Roche limit and how singularity brings about the Coanda affect but most important of all Kepler showed me where to search for singularity. My achievements came from my effort where I separated Kepler's work from the opinion that Newton formed about what he saw Kepler's work should contain and gave to the world his Newtonian concept about Kepler's work. From my view a force is just motion applying and that is what Kepler said gravity is. Kepler said $a^3 = T^2 k$. Presumptuous as it may be on my part of trying to disprove Mainstream Physics, such a presuming does not change the truth about Mainstream science being incorrect about gravity. After all they admit they do not know what gravity is however this admitting is only sometimes when it suits them to do so. As they do admit they do not know what gravity is I am not disproving anything they proved because they agree they do not know what gravity is, which paves the way for my showing what gravity is. By they're admitting that they do not know what gravity is they then also admit being possibly incorrect about gravity but unfortunately mainstream physics do not see it that way (yet). The question in hand is finding what role gravity played when the Creation came about for the first time. I had to find a method that would allow me to explain why gravity played a role.

Remember that not even Newton could explain what gravity is or where it comes from, but Kepler did that without any person ever taking note of the achievement by Kepler. Kepler studied what kept the planets circling the sun and that what is keeping the planets in orbit is gravity. From such explaining what Kepler said without Newton changing formulas on Kepler's behalf I prove the Titius Bode principal also known just as the Bode principle. I explain how singularity forms the Roche limit and how singularity brings about the Coanda affect but most important of all Kepler showed me where to search for singularity. My achievements came from my effort where I separated Kepler's work from the opinion that Newton formed about what he saw Kepler's work should contain and gave to the world his Newtonian concept about Kepler's work. For instance from Kepler's work I can explain the operation of the Black Hole, which not even Prof. Stephen Hawking understands. From my view a force is just motion applying and that is what Kepler said gravity is. Kepler said $a^3 = T^2 k$. The Coanda effect is the establishing of individual independent space a^3 by applying motion T^2k in relation to a centre point the motion of the liquid establishes. Einstein came to this conclusion but failed to refer his view back to Kepler and by not referring to Kepler missed the point he wanted to make. Just by my studying of Kepler this became possible.

The Practice of Brainwashing and Mind Control in Physics

In www.sirnewtonsfrud.com I investigate how much truth there are in mass pulling by the force of gravity. Most if not to all of the persons reading this article will be annoyed by just the thought of me embarking on an investigation of the issue that seems so totally senseless to investigate. It is senseless because the concept it carries became accepted as household practise and life science. Mass is associated with everything that is represented everywhere.

Do you think of astrophysics as the department that is run by the wise and the level minded, the sober thinking, and the absolute trustworthy? If you are a student there is no other choice you have. If you think those in charge of astrophysics are the pillars of trust, then get wise and read the following. What you are about to read is simply mystifyingly simple and yet to this day I have not challenged one academic anywhere that had the honesty to admit to the fact of Newton being wrong. Newton created the factor mass as a trick of his imagination. We have a body with mass on earth and that we all know, but no heavenly body could ever have mass or present mass! After you have considered the following you might agree with me that even small Children can reach a higher level of clear-minded logic and find more sensibility than what those scientists promoting astrophysics have because science lives in a make believe fool's paradise. They love to calculate because with mathematics they create a fools paradise.

Looking at the size of a body does not allow any one to award mass. If you are a student, then ask your Masters please to explain the following abnormalities and inconsistencies they promote as part of official physics, which I present in this article and as students get wise instead of brainwashed. I say brainwash again because they force-feed you fabrications, as you will come to see. They can't explain the facts as reliable but hide the fact that the facts are in fact untruths. Tell them to prove that planets have mass. Tell them to prove that it is mass that generates gravity that pulls the planets. Ask them to explain gravity in detail. You can get the question to ask them for free from www.sirnewtonsfrud.com.

If any person gives evidence and the evidence is unsupported by truth and unfounded by proof, it is fraud. If a Clergyman should bring evidence of some miracle healing that took place, and he could not repeat the act at will for all to witness again and again, it is fraud notwithstanding the religion. Even if the lie is to underline the greatness of his religion, it still constitutes to fraud. If anything is said without truth backing the claim, making it unfounded, it is fraud. It is illegal to make claims that is not based and is not supported by reality. As perfect as everyone thinks Newtonian physics are, this applies to educators teaching physics. There is a suspicion lingering in the back of everyone's mind that something is not quite correct about the approach physics take on the matter of gravity and only those academics seasoned with years of studies and salted with time seems to miss this haunting feeling. But the longer the students are educated, the more this uncomfortable feeling dissipates.

Hidden under a cover of "understanding Newton" or "not being able to understand Newton" tutors in physics force certain incompatible arguments to join that which never can join and while joining also make sense at the same time. In this article I challenge the figures that charge the highest form of respect in our communities and those in charge of the most dynamic part of society and those who stand beyond and above any form of suspicion. I charge those that personify truth and are the very same persons that I accuse of betraying the ones trusting them. They misuse the positions of trust by participating in the conspiracy I am about to tell you about. Educators in physics I hope that you will stop your mind abuse on students because they are now able to know more about physics and the application of gravity than did all those that came before you and all those that came with you. Again I challenge you to come forward and tell your students the truth about what I uncover in the articles that follows and as the articles progress by introducing information...then you explain to them how you deceived their blind trust in you as a tutor in physics. In physics the blame goes to students ability to "understanding Newton" or "not being able to understand Newton" but I show that in this case the blame should openly be dedicated to those that should be blamed, named and shamed and they have to defend their years of lying and contribution to cover the misconduct that was committed by them. Those teaching physics as well as their predecessors now have to explain in the name of science why so many evidence were falsified to keep their noses clean while mud colours their lily white image in hogwash and the stink of their lies equals the pig pen it needs to cover such aroma. It is time that they reveal the truth. Believe it or not, but this diverging from reality and misconduct about applying science is in place because of centuries of brainwashing going on passed on from generation to generation and is employed in physics from teacher to student for centuries on end. This article is dedicated to bringing honesty into the faculty of Astrophysics and Astronomy as well as to show the Physics student on what corruption and deceit does physics base their facts which they proclaim as being such well proven, and godly accurate facts and is unwavering depicting only the truth. Notwithstanding as unbelievable as it may seem, I nevertheless challenge any one in physics to show me that the least of any or all facts I uncover is not true.

Go to the web site www.singularityrelevancy.com and then use the information I supply in the book www.sirnewtonsfrud.com titled Sir Newton's Mythology, which you may download for free and use the information given free of charge to confront you academics with the truth and then insist that Academics who are teaching physics, prove to students that Newton's statements of attraction are correct. Let those academics explain the method mass implements to obtain the attraction they teach about.

If you are a student studying in physics then reading this article is detrimental to your future, as you can remain part of the problem physics has had for centuries or you may join the solution that came to physics and start to heal the wound. Students read the next pages and you are about to learn how students are brainwashed into accepting the baseless and ridiculous misinformation Sir Isaac Newton puts forward as truths. The Custodians of Physics have nothing better to offer than presenting you with unfounded, corrupt and distorted facts and by doing that they resort to mind control on students and introduce baseless concepts by manipulating the student's thoughts. If you are a student then read in

www.sirnewtonsfraud.com what they do to you and how they brainwash you. Everyone knows there is a problem about gravity in physics and this far it seems as if no one can put a finger on the problem. This suspicion that there is, this feeling about a certain concern and doubtfulness that is lingering on in the minds of many. and also is lingering on from generation to generation. without anyone ever finding a solution. it is them defrauding you by exchanging your institution fees for corruption, so confront them about their dishonesty. Something about the way gravity is presented just doesn't add up as it should and does not quite reach the answers it should conclude. There is this vague unspoken question hanging in the air without any one ever finding words to express the question. and yet the question remains however unspoken it seems. Force them to become honest and to stop corrupting students with intentional malice. That which they offer has no truth. All they have are misconceptions and incoherent facts. Let them explain how mass generates gravity...

There is always a thousand and one conspiracy theories going around and the one tries to be bolder and more sensational than the next theory flying around. However, the biggest conspiracy is going on in front of every person's eyes and is committed by the most respectable persons in any respectable upstanding society. Even if you had your personal favourite conspiracy theory, try and match it to the one that I have!

Let them with precise detail show when mass is applying, that mass and such producing of gravity that then would establish attraction produce gravity! Show that objects in outer space are optionally allocated places by value of mass. Let those show where they see stars in any galactica are positioned categorically by size or mass. I show precisely how gravity produces mass but mass can never produce gravity. I show with explicit detail when, how and where gravity forms mass but mass can never form gravity. What I prove annihilates every Newtonian claim. No one cares to read or to print my work because there is no need for it.

I seem to be the first person in generations that ask questions about Newton's work. Questions I now ask is asked for the first time ever, well ever since the time Newton introduced gravity, before the emphasis fell on proof rather than merely what a person with reputation suggested. I now am able to show how gravity forms by forming a circle using because I have located the centre of the Universe. But by my effort in finding the location I disrupted everything Academics in physics hold holy and for that I am most unwanted in the presence of the Academics charged with guarding the ethics of physics. In short, I clash head on with Newtonian dogma and principles forming physics. During my research I discovered abnormalities and inconsistencies about mistakes the Arch fathers in physics must be aware of but are hiding with all their considerable influence and academic power. The road I took in my search for truth concerning physics was never smooth and the resistance I came across coming from the academic sector is almost unbearable. I made no friends but only enemies.

With my unpopularity rating this high as it does, I never qualified for help and those that would help found my ideas intolerable whereby I only found rejection instead of help as I tagged along. Because of this insider rejection I had to resort to private publishing because from the nature of my work I take Mainstream science head on and am confrontational on most

aspects of astronomy. By finding the centre of the Universe it enabled me to find a point the Universe is controlled from, but because that does not hail Newton it sparked no interest. Then I decided to reject Newton is the only road to go if one wishes to lay axe to the root of the insider corruption they are guilty of. Since no one see the a problem there is in physics, no publisher wants to go head bashing with the Physics Custodian establishment of science on official science principles, which I have to do to convey my message in no uncertain

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

language. I argue that if it is the correct practise to use then the radius holding the

gravitational constant must lead one to the centre of the Universe. As I confront science dogma and principles, nobody is willing to publish my work. I have to walk the road alone and fight the battle by my private effort without any support anywhere. If Newton is the problem one have to go pre Newton to find

the problem. The problem is that when looking at Kepler's table then if there is $T^2 a^3$ according to the table matching a column, then mathematically $T^2 a^3$ must be k^{-1} and where k^{-1} goes negative it shows

space reduces time. It shows space in volume goes single by movement of space and not objects.

Planet	Mass per Earth unit	k^{-1} Movement	a^3 of space volume	T^2 During time units
Mercury	0.06	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.983$	$(a^3) = 0.059$	$(T^2) = 0.058$
Venus	0.82	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.992$	$(a^3) = 0.381$	$(T^2) = 0.378$
Earth	1.000	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 1.000$	$(T^2) = 1.000$
Mars	0.11	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 3.54$	$(T^2) = 3.54$
Jupiter	317.89	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 140.6$	$(T^2) = 140.66$
Saturn	95.17	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 868.25$	$(T^2) = 67.9$
Uranus	14.53	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.000$	$(a^3) = 7067$	$(T^2) = 7069$
Neptune	17.14	$T^2 \div a^3 = 0.999$	$(a^3) = 27189$	$(T^2) = 27159$
Pluto	0.0025	$T^2 \div a^3 = 1.004$	$(a^3) = 61443$	$(T^2) = 61703$

Use the column to show where it is mass that produces any valid factor. In the table columns we have the

mass of the main solar objects and according to Newton the mass is responsible for movement. If it is mass that does the pulling in ratio of the radius then we have to see some evidence of this applying somewhere. Is there any person that can prove that claim from the table showing Kepler's movement in relation to Newton's mass? In what way does Jupiter move faster or how does Pluto move slower according to mass? If mass did the job, how is it done? Notwithstanding Newton's blatant mathematical manipulation, mass don't apply.

One such an example is Einstein's Critical density scam and the "Dark Matter" swindle where they hide

Newton's shortcomings under a pretext of ongoing research. Hubble found the Universe is not contracting

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

as Newton said it does with ... and hell broke loose. The cosmos stepped out of line by

showing Newton is wrong in his supposed theory that mass pulls mass to reduce the

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

radius such as ... will indicate. Einstein was then tasked to supposedly measure

"all the mass" in the entire Universe and to find out when the Universe will correct its evil

ways and start to submit to Newton's idea of contraction. They concluded it is not Newton that

is wrong but the cosmos went out of line to contradict Newton. Therefore one has to find the

error in the actions of not Newton's idea but about the Cosmos acting out of line with Newton.

The fault was investigated not on the side of Newton, but in the cosmos! The proof of practise

fell on the Universe that could be wrong but never Newton!

With all this in mind did any one ever come to wonder about the reality driving the all

too famous Einstein's Critical Density theory and the fact that this idea was conceived to

conceal the corruption of Newton in physics? Allow me please to elaborate and then make

up your minds. The facts in truth are that the Einstein's Critical Density theory was a scheme

plotted by those in charge of physics principles to cover up and conceal corruption in the

heart of physics. Hubble proved beyond doubt that there was an inflating Universe. This

contradicted Newton's deflating or pulling Universe and this perception of a deflating

Universe being a myth had to be most ardently hidden as to yet again compromise the

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

truth about Newton and his theory. In the formula ... the relevancy applies

between the strength of the mass and the distance of the radius that keeps the influence of

the mass forming the gravity. The longer the radius increasing the distance between objects

the more this will reduce the value of the mass, whatever the value of the mass might be. The

Hubble concept proves that while the mass might remain the same, the radius keeps growing

and such growth diminishes the influence of the mass all the while the radius increases. This

is so basic that primary children learning the basics of mathematics will understand! This

means with the radius increasing, there is no chance that the mass will ever become strong

enough to bring about the pulling because the constant increase in the radius constantly

diminish the influence that the mass might produce.

Yet Einstein proceeded in searching for a value that will determine when the mass would

bring a turn about in the direction that the cosmos evolves in. Einstein was looking for the

moment the mass will become strong enough while the most basic principle indicates that an

increasing radius leads to a decreasing mass influence submitting a decreasing potential

gravity since the mass becomes less prominent in influence. If Einstein was unable to

recognise this most basic of mathematical principles, then what type of genius did physics

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

create in him and what slur did physics promote. In ... any factor standing in relation

of division, it is the bottom value that determines the outcome of the value of the top. This idea of the two factors being in opposing relevance where the size of the bottom carries the value of the outcome is so simple that children will recognise the principle, and yet those fathers of physics wants me to believe that the greatest mathematician that ever lived did not realise this principle. the principle that the radius and the mass stands related and the growth in the bottom value will promote the decline in the top value as a dominant factor. Can any one with this information including the proof I asked for on previous page have any other conclusion than students should smell rotting fish somewhere?

Notwithstanding my arguments that should have been raised by the mathematical genius, Einstein supposedly measured "all the mass" in the entire Universe and then afterwards concluded there is "insufficient mass" to pull the Universe closer. This rattled the cages of the Newtonian conspirators because Newton once again stood naked, venerable and bear. Yet the blame had to be associated with the cosmos not playing by the rules of Newton. The cosmos had to be at fault because Newton just can't be the person to blame. Then the genius of the Newtonian cunning kicked in once more and we can see why they are seen as the most brilliant minds walking the earth. There had to be something they miss and no one can see.

Then some idea was presented that the Universe is hiding mass from view, I suppose just to spite Newton. If the mass was not visible and was therefore undetected, then the mass was dark and if no one could see the mass then no one can prove the mass being present and then no one can disprove the mass being there waiting in the dark. What a splendid idea this was for cheating. This presented a solution of Biblical proportions and a new scam was introduced to hide the failing of Newton and of the Critical Density sham. The Dark Matter hides mass that will supposedly pull all material closer again at one stage in the future. This is meant unleashing an enormous swindle, bigger than anything before, only beaten into second place by Newton's swindle about mass unleashing forces. They proclaim there is "Dark Matter" hiding and waiting in the wind to come to the present someday in the future and start to pull the Universe closer as Newton said it must by the measure of

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

In that way Newton was vindicated and the cosmos took the blame for hiding mass and the contraction was reinstated. It is obviously clear that having such a total idea that there might be dark unseen mass floating in the Universe which at this time does not generate gravity but will some day kick in to generate gravity in order to cover up Newton's deception about a contracting Universe and just because Newton has to be correct at some point in the future. Science wishes me to believe that since there is a lack of seeing material there then will be dark and unseen material where they are so dark they are undetected by all humans. That leaves another question to address. where can such mass be found. How will such undetectable mass be found, which will bring about contraction after all this expanding ends and the Universe recognises the infallibility of Newton once again? Why would the mass at present then not activate gravity and why would the mass at some point spring to life and start activating gravity? How much can the Physics paternity still hide the fact that **Einstein's critical density** is being used as a **cover-up to distort the truth to conceal the fraud Newtonians wish to cover?** The **uncovering** of the **Newton fraud** by the Hubble constant is so simple to see. Hubble found the Universe is expanding and Newton said otherwise. Who is lying about what? Hubble's declaration was on track to blow the cover that was concealing the Newton fraud wide open and uncover the century old deception. To see this we have only to look at the comet behaviour when any and all comets again come around on a cycle by repeated visiting the sun. The question is if it is mass pulling mass onto mass, then why do we have comets left in the solar system? The mass of the Sun should by now at least have destroyed every comet going around.

The term pulling does not suggest any circling because no one can be pulling towards and does that while circling around the object. That serves the term pulling. Then the saying goes that planets orbit indicating they follow a circle. In conversation we speak of the planets orbiting. If Newton was correct we should be speaking of the planets pulling, but talking about pulling would be blatantly wrong according to the normal spoken word. Never do we refer to the planets pulling the Sun or the Sun pulling the planets, but we speak of seasons coming from orbital positions. Being in orbit has to neutralise the pulling and then cancel the pulling concept that also became culture. The entirety of physics rests on this one formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

The questions concerning that which you are studying and that touches every

aspect you

.are academically concerned with, is that if everything is moving apart, how does that support Newton's idea that everything is coming together. and please don't let them fool you with Einstein's Critical Density idea! If there was mass seen or unseen in the Universe and mass generated gravity and gravity does the pulling then why is the mass not at this moment doing the pulling? If there was mass in the Universe, seen or unseen and mass generated gravity and gravity does the pulling then why is the mass not at this moment doing the pulling? If there is an object it indicates the presence of mass. Then what stops the mass that should be present, dark or luminous, to start pulling by gravity, as it should do?

Should you think this page is some sort of a prank then answer the following simple question to yourself in utter honesty: If there is a Big Bang after which everything was left moving apart, how does that support Newton's contraction? Tests results received after the Moon landing show the Moon and Earth are moving away from each other! Yet students learn about mass pulling mass and that pulling by mass forces togetherness by contraction.

This is only one of many points that I make on this one issue and there are so many other issues one may think of those in terms of counting in numbers in many hundreds or even in thousands. If the Sun for instance has mass that is apart from the Earth and the Earth also has mass and there is a gravitational constant in between the Sun's mass and the Earth's mass we have the radius in that location. It then must be the gravitational constant that fills the space that the radius holds. It is rather obvious that while the radius is filling the vacant space between the Sun and the Earth it is the only place left where the gravitational constant can hide. To find the centre of the Universe I had only to find the gravitational constant that holds the centre. Through my venture I discovered one person that knows what gravity is! Newtonians went and filled that space reserved for the gravitational constant having a measured value with nothing! How can nothing have a value of 6.67×10^{-11} while also being filled with nothing as it is nothing filling the nothing of outer space?

My question in this matter is what is all that mass of so many supposed stars living in utter darkness doing at present while waiting to get to work and begin with generating gravity by mass where it will only later, much later form a force of gravity that then will bring about this pulling of the Universe? What makes the mass slumber in darkness to one-day form a pulling force? What has the "darkness" or the fact that we don't see the mass got to do with the idea that the mass at present is not forming gravity that is forming a pulling force? You are taught that gravity pulls objects to the centre and obviously gravity then has to ultimately pull everything to the centre of the Universe. That is what the Critical Density research that

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

Einstein initiated wishes to establish. The idea is that . . . makes the mass create a force

that will destroy the radius and ensure everything is going to come together eventually at one point where the radius then will be no more. If that is the case, then where is that point? If everything is destroying the radius, then it must end at one specific point.

In the classes you students attend a physics lecture, has any one confirmed a location where

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

one might find the centre of the Universe to confirm the ultimate destination of ... ? If you wish to apply a Gravitational constant as a calculated factor then it is apparent that one must know to where such gravity is pulling since it then is the gravity that is where the contraction is going that predominantly is keeping everything apart. Then the gravitational constant is what is resisting the collapse of the Universe. If there is a force, then where is the force taking the pulling. if it is a gravitational constant applying through out outer space then where is it having a centre base? To those professors claiming Newtonian ideas are substantiated by proof, I say that notwithstanding your personal academic qualifications and while at the same time disregarding your status and previous achievements as well as ignoring your many admirable abilities you may have and however superior they might be, I shall teach you about gravity. I say it is time Students learn the truth about physics notwithstanding the status academics will loose.

What you are about to read comprises of extractions forming part of the actual book that you can download free of charge. Go to www.sirnewtonsfraud.com and press on the blue button and the book is yours to have! Use this information to test the reliability of your tutors' teachings. Confront them with facts and don't allow them to stupefy you with their ability to commit mind control. This is what eighty years of studying the solar system brought about and no individual study since changed one aspect of what this table brings as information.

If mass did attract mass, what kept the balance in the distance it held according to the Titius Bode Law where the planets do find a balance in orbit rather than moving towards the Sun. One might think of mass pulling the comet to the sun, but instead of slamming into the sun, the comet makes a circle () and disappears into outer space. As much as the comet is pulled coming towards the sun, the comet is pushed away afterwards departing for the darkness of outer space. If mass pulls the comet closer, what does the pushing away afterwards. If mass did attract mass, it would explain the behaviour of the comet coming towards the sun...but then what is pushing the comet back into orbit, into the darkness of outer space? The idea of proof about mass pulling everything is automatically placed at the door of Newton. This is not as small an issue as it seems at first. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. If normal speech contradicts Newton, then it is his task to prove his supposition is correct and the claims about attraction Newton made, although Newtonians will deny this fact as if they deny he is correct the honour of their Master Newton and that is what they have to do. Think of what planets do. and you think that planets orbit. No one thinks of planets spinning or planets basking in the summer Sun. However, just using the term orbiting is in total defiance with Newton or physics!

Ever person associates gravity applying as **"The natural force of attraction exerted by a celestial body, such as Earth, upon objects at or near its surface, tending to draw them toward the centre of the body"** with what we think of happens to solar bodies having mass which is **"the natural force of attraction between any two massive bodies, which is directly proportional to the product of their masses and inversely proportional to the square of the distance between them"** and science cheats everyone into believing the two is the very same. The one I experience every day and with the other there is no evidence of

applying anywhere. Yet students are fooled into believing the two are exactly the same issue, which is untrue. If there is any academic feeling insulted by me calling the lot fraudsters, bring evidence of the second form of gravity working on the principle of mass and I will withdraw my statement, otherwise if no proof can be brought, then you lot that ignored me for ten years are the fraudsters I accuse you to be. You are villains brainwashing students to corrupt their thinking.


I have shown many ways how mass and Newton does not apply. There is no possible way to produce evidence from the behaviour of objects in the solar system that mass is responsible for gravity forming between two heavenly bodies. If it did, the asteroids could no possibly orbit in their allocated circle at the same rate as Jupiter circles in its designated circle.

Every one believes in Newton except comets, because comets fail to collide with the Sun. However I can explain in some way.

The evidence that mass is pulling mass there is not and there is no evidence of mass pulling mass. What there is I can prove how that forms gravity?

The Definition of Gravity according to the Oxford dictionary yof Astronomy:

Gravity is the fundamental force of attraction that all objects with mass have for each other. Like the electromagnetic force, gravity has effectively infinite range and obeys the inverse-square law. At the atomic level, where masses are very small, the force of gravity is negligible, but for objects that have very large masses such as planets, stars, and galaxies, gravity is a predominant force, and it plays an important role in theories of the structure of the universe. Gravity is believed to be mediated by the graviton, although the graviton has yet to be isolated by experiment. Gravity is weaker than the strong force, the electromagnetic force, and the weak force.



Presenting the Universe in this manner also forms part of the Newtonian conspiracy because it puts limits on what can never have a limit. The Universe has no outside because the Universe has only an inside. We have to look at the Universe not from the point where we are God but where we look up not the never-ending darkness and from where lights intensity starts at singularity.

I can and do explain this principle mathematically in a book I give away at no charge. Go to and download THE ABSOLUTE RELEVANCY of SINGULARITY : THE WEBSITE <http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-the-website/7517996>] and doing so will show you how it is possible to condense all the space around the spinning planets to form gravity by allowing cosmic structures to act as a centrifugal pump impeller. That is how gravity forms . You may see how material compresses the space around the star into a

dense liquid. The liquid comes about from the spinning of the star compressing space and not by magical mass pulling. A star is compressed heat because when a star explodes it is always a process of releasing heat.

That is gravity. It is compressing space to form heat. That is gravity applying according to the Coanda effect and not by mass. This website informs you about the facts behind the lovely pictures that Newton's magical mass is unable to explain. Mass forming gravity depends on magic and physics has no magic. Please think clearly, if there is no contact between two objects and yet they teach you the two objects pull each other, then that pulling depends on magic, not science. This website will tell you why the cosmic physics principles establish these phenomena and because the information does not salute Newton, academics in physics despise what I say. In the image one can clearly see the Roche limit in action where none of the stars are pulling any other star closer but a process of liquefying takes place.

The Roche limit clearly shows no stars can pull each other into a collision but clearly expands by overheating and if mass don't pull stars to a point of colliding then Newton is wrong! The Roche limit proves that any star that comes closer than 2.4674 times the radius of the larger star would liquidise everything within the space filled by the smaller star and this law and law providing the Coanda effect are a precise duplication of the interaction of the way that gravity truly applies in the midst of singularity. It is so clear that when stars expand stars overheat and therefore gravity must be a process of cooling! Only when stars overheat can stars explode as they do. You can see that gravity is locking in heat just by looking at what happens in the Universe and by studying every image as the Universe unfolds before your eyes.

Kepler used these three laws for computing the position of a planet as a function of time. His method involves the solution of a transcendental equation called Kepler's equation. Years ago I was reading of a remark Einstein made about his realisation while being a patent clerk. Einstein realised that had Einstein fell from the window of the patent office Einstein would feel as if he was as weightless as a chair and a pen falling alongside Einstein down the building.

Then I then realised Einstein felt weightless because he was falling and part of falling was feeling what was happening to him. He was not pretending to fall whereby he then would feel as if he was really falling and with that there is no as ifs. What he experienced came by means of what he was experiencing. If Einstein was experiencing weightless ness, it would be because he was weightless while falling. Einstein would not imagine the weightless ness because Einstein was truly falling. He was at that moment truly weightless. Einstein, the pen, and the chair had the same weight since they were all weighing the same. All three items would be equally weightless during the falling.that was what Galileo found because objects of different size and different mass travel equal while descending. The bigger objects do not fall quicker than a smaller object and that can only be attributed to one fact; it can only be true if they weighed the same while falling.

From this one can deduct that gravity is motion or the intent to commit motion and mass is one the motion of gravity is frustrated by blocking the continuing of the motion. Gravity is motion of space and mass is the restricting of the motion of space. Having mass does not bring about gravity but it does restrict gravity's motion. Gravity produces mass but mass does not produce gravity. Mass is the restraining motion and gravity is material moving about. Mass only comes into the application when two objects filled with space moves into a position where both want to claim space the other occupy. In essence it still is the frustration of motion and the commitment to move once the blocking of space is relinquished.

I then after reading this realised that gravity is not mass orientated, but gravity is motion differentiation between objects. While falling, The object moves less or slower in the direction that the Earth rotates and will fall in the direction of the Earth centre until such a time as the movement of the object is in synchronising with the speed that the Earth spins or if not the object will and on the Earth surface at the edge of the Earth and that will bring about having mass. The gravity applies as speed that is putting time in relation to the distance travelled and distance travelled is space. While the object is in a process of falling, the motion confirms gravity, both by getting the object's distance or band in which the object travels in harmony with the Earth that conducts all the spinning taking place at that point. That will reduce the height in which the object spins until it lands on the Earth and then can't reduce such reducing of a travelling band any further. It has to do with specific density. If the specific density is increased by filling the object with helium we will find there arrives a point where the conducted speed is at a level that the Earth no longer will claim the body into having mass. When motion downward ends and the Earth disallow any further movement to secure a better specific density in relation to rotating movement, then mass sets in and becomes what is than point holding mass where the constraining of the object takes place to secure frustration of further movement and the Earth's motion annexes the object's freedom.

While experiencing mass the motion is still there but now incarcerated by mass and locked onto the Earth by the rotation of the Earth and the superior or equal specific density of the Earth. By connecting to the Earth the motion that the object is experiencing is what nails to object to the Earth by the force of mass and the object is then experiencing mass and not falling further through the loss of downward movement and now only conducts with the Earth rotating side-on movement. In this the downward movement is not lost altogether but remains as detectable movement is the form of having a tendency to move although the object in

mass is applying by forcing the downward motion to stand still. While the object is in mass and seems to be as if it is resting the tendency to move downward remains applying but that tendency to continue to move downwards is the tendency he named mass. However mass then restricts motion and becomes motion tendency. While falling, gravity applies as equal motion to all objects relying to place all objects in relation to specific density and because of this motion counteracts any size, mass or weight by making everything able to fall equal in specific density. When falling, the object is either equal to what might be in the air according to allowed specific density, or has more than the specific minimum required density that is what is allowed to serve as the minimum required specific density and therefore will spiral down to the Earth. When the Earth restrains further downward motion of the object that comes as the

result of finding an allocated position of motion according to the specific density of the falling object, this readjusting of allocated position is stopped from conducting further downward or readjusting movement and all such further movement of gravity is hindering in the form we call mass. The falling object remains individual and still tends to move while Earth individuality resists movement. Further movement is disallowed as other material fill space. While the bonding of the atoms forming the object will secure any further deforming the object will remain to be independent but it is this bonding that is the value of the specific density of the object applying. By securing a place on the Earth, the falling object will finally rest and from that motion resistance comes mass.

While falling, the object is experiencing gravity because the object is in gravity but when on the soil the object experience mass which is the restricting of gravity or motion of the space filled with material.

Moreover, I came to another conclusion of equal importance. When any person is standing on any place anywhere, while viewing the Universe, that person is filling the centre of the Universe. Let's get more personal. When you, the person that is reading this, are standing at night and are looking at the Universe you are seeing the Universe from the centre of the Universe. All the light, every single beam that ever left any destiny at any time acknowledges this fact. You are the most important person in the Universe because you are holding the most important position in the Universe. All the light that comes across all of space runs directly in a straight line towards you filling the centre of the Universe. Not excluding the effort of one photon, all light is heading to meet you where you are in that centre spot and not one photon will pass you by. Not one photon dare miss you because if they do they miss the effort that all light has to accomplish and that is to locate you as the person filling the centre of the Universe. If you find this funny, or laughable you are in for a shock because this is what gravity is and this principle dictates gravity. It is the most complex issue one can imagine and expanding on this thought takes thousands of pages. It forms the crux to all cosmic principles and embraces every successful and meaningfully theory ever used to explain the Universe. Without taking this aspect in to account, there is no valid explanation available to understand the cosmos. All the light coming from wherever meets the point you fill in time and in space. For all the light travelling you hold the spot it was on route to.

Should you decide to shift your position to any other place in the Universe you will shift the centre of the Universe to that location as well. If you install a camera on Mars, the light is

obliged to acknowledge your relocating the centre of the Universe at your will to reposition you're being that centre of the Universe. All the light that ever left its destination crossing the vast spaces of the Universe, excluding no particular light, travelled all the way just to find you filling the centre of the Universe, right where you are. By you're standing anywhere, you fill the centre of the Universe, and the entire Universe admits to that because all the light comes to meet you there.

If you shift from the North Pole to the South Pole you will shift the centre of the Universe because all the light travelling throughout the Universe will find you where you then moved the centre of the Universe. The light left its destination billion years ago as it travelled through space at the speed of light anxious to acknowledge you're being in the very centre of the Universe. No photon will pass you by where you are in the centre of the Universe. No wonder every person born has the idea they were born to fill the centre of the Universe, which we do fill. The Universe is spinning around you or I, which is filling a centre where all motion is connected. That is the Coanda effect on the utter-most grandest scale imaginable; nevertheless it is only a manifestation of the Coanda effect. It implicates gravity as wide as can be.

Then I reviewed the Universe. If gravity is motion, what causes motion? What stops motion? That answer is in the Black Hole. If a star is about fusing atoms thereby growing, what happen when all the atoms fused into one all collective atom? What is the gravity if the star has one all-inclusive atom providing all the gravity that the star had when the star still had massive volumetric space? If all that space that once filled an entire giant star fused into one enormous gravity applying atom and that enormous force has been secures in the space that one atom holds, the atom would then show a force that would pull the surrounding Universe flat. Where does the gravity of the star end when all the atoms in the star became one giant atom? Gravity is smallest where space is least. Where space of an entire massive star is left in the size of one atom the gravity coming from that will pull the Universe flat at that point.

Coming to the conclusion about gravity being motion and mass being the restriction of motion was the easy part. What produced the motion and what prevented the restriction from overcoming the motion was the tough part. Figuring out why was everything on the move and where did the motion stop that was the part that took some figuring and some explaining. What made gravity move and why does gravity move.the answers are in the four phenomena never yet explained to satisfaction but now turns out to be the cradle of gravity.

GravityisThe Rochelimit,

GravityisThe Lagrangiansystem

GravityisThe TitiusBodelaw

GravityisThe Coandaaffect

.And gravity as the Roche limit forms the principle in producing the sound barrier. Read the book and find out why this is the case.

Newton's claims about the principles that he declared is responsible for guiding physics carries no validated proof and only after I realised that, was I able to start forming another line

of thought on gravity. This had the purpose of confronting the corner stone of modern physics and at first I tried desperately to do just that. At first I was not confrontational towards Academics in physics and avoided any indication about disagreeing with Newton, although avoiding to show my disagreements was also totally impossible too but every time I approached academics with my new concept the academics always threw Newton at me . Facing Newton or facing defeat became a two-sided blade and I had to start to confront them by confronting Newton, with which I was in disagreement from the beginning.

At first I was reluctant to voice any opinion about the matter of how far I was prepared to challenge Newton because Newton was and is an icon. But slowly it dawned on me that if I had any serious plans to introduce my ideas I had to dispute Newton's gravity principles and do it head. When the slight confrontation did not bring results I finally decided to go all the way and show the inconsistencies that were prevailing in Newtonian science. That worked neither and it brought me the same results as before whereby I decided to go public and straight to John and Jane Dow avoid arrogance academics have with only one motto they serve and that is their autocracy and in particular their megalomania especially to my case as well as me in person. I wrote them (nine in total) letters in which I warned them that I was going public to show the extent of their dishonesty in their Newtonian's approach and lacking of substance and proof their physics has. The lack of honesty and furthermore the absolute dishonest on their part is there whether I avoid it or attack it; the inconsistencies are part of forming the basis for modern accepted science.

This process I now described is explained in a paragraph or less and it seems I got that far in a breath or two, but getting this far took me the best part of seven years to get to I tried my best not to attack them or Newton but left with the option to leave the project and lose thirty years of work and then fail after I concluded an answer on every aspect they never even thought of or take them on and dish out what they should have received years ago made me decide on the latter. After being avoided and taunted by their powerful positions and arrogance vested in their mentality they show in regard to their positions as well as the disregard they show in the mentality of others I slowly concluded that only and after I can get people forming the general public and the opinion of those that holds their disregard just as I do to see what they hide will I get a response from the Mater's of fraud.

First I had to show the general public the true colours of the academics in physics and get every one to see how incorrect Newton is, and only then do I stand any chance to introduce my line of thought. I am so sure of the ideas that I propose of being correct that I dare any one to disprove any part or the entirety that my concepts about cosmology forms! But that can only come about when I can get an audience to see how I expose Newton for what Newton was and it is in that where I find no luck. I can't find one academic with influence that is brave enough to stand up and face my attack on Newton and argue me down or prove me wrong in a sound debate. Now I see frowning coming from everywhere because it is madness on my part to think the world is wrong and only I am correct!

I realise that it shows signs of madness on my part and in my thinking to even regard any possibility that I am the only person on Earth that is correct and all others that ever studied physics are wrong but mad as it seems, if that is what I have to say to find an audience to

listen and to judge my case, then that is what I say. I don't say this lightly or without understanding the enormity of what I suggest is going on, but be that as it may seem, it is the truth without question that Newton went on for three hundred and fifty years defrauding science with no one testing his claims. Argue me down or prove me wrong but don't discount me before hearing me out and only after considerable consideration while studying my arguments then form an opinion that disputes what I say but when disputing what I say, do it while confronting me in a sound argument when proving me incorrect! This not one academic could achieve and I challenge the lot to do so. But do it after studying all my work and being in a position to account for all the details that I propose. Don't just dismiss me because I dismiss Newton because following that road is the way of the coward and the mentally impaired.

Read my challenge about the correctness of Newton's proposals when he brought no more than suggestions into science and when I dispute Newton, then take me on by proving Newton correct... do it just once. prove Newton correct just once...prove that his formula is working and that his principles apply on the grounds he principled his ideas.

Detecting Newton's misconduct is possible because I saw a way to break away from the invalid concepts Mainstream physics hold. I went about and tried to prove Newton and when that was not happening I tried to apply Newton's ideas into the greater fields of cosmology. That also wasn't possible. I tried to amalgamate the four cosmic principles applying in cosmology with what Newton said was happening in the cosmos with mass and with gravity and in light of what the cosmos showed was happening Newton just wasn't happening! Notwithstanding the pose Mainstream physics try to uphold, the entirety of physics still use the idea of magical forces intervening in nature and they still base concepts on unexplained novelties.

Think of finding four unexplained forces going around and influencing persons in an unexplainable manner except that the magic of gravity keeps people attracted to the Earth. To say the least, the concepts physics use in terms of Newton would not even be acceptable to children in the modern informed era we live in, I challenge any person to prove Newton, not to accept Newton but to undoubtedly prove Newton correct! Prove how Newton's formula of mass forming the force of gravity can apply as Newton said it does! I recognised the impossible double standards Mainstream physics apply to promote their much shady explaining. In short I tested Newton's principles and found the principles to be wanting.

The inconsistencies Newton introduced brought science double vision and to compensate for these bogus truths supporting their incredible theories, they simplify issues to such a level where what they embark on, is the meaningless acceptance of the unproven and they proclaim to understand what are meaningless inconsistencies and to achieve this they create scenarios which uses the entanglement of deception. Prove the attraction Newton said was enforcing gravity that is pulling by mass and is gathering planets by contracting the diameter between planets. Show how much the Moon came closer to the Earth since the time of Kepler. Show proven distances taken by radar tracking and indicate just how accurate Newton was. Show how much the Moon came closer to the Earth since the time of the Moonwalk in sixty- nine.

The figures are available but are kept in a grave of silence where no one ever speaks about what science found applies and how much the distance between the Earth and the Moon is shrinking as Newton said is happening or then how much is the is expanding which will contradict the very principles Newton brought about! What they declare as unwavering facts can't even be supported in some form when tested by a silly test as to show that the distance between the Earth and the Moon is shrinking. Even the least degree of verification of correctness is absent when trying to find support of Newton and Newton lacks all evidence of authentication in any investigation of even the simplest terms. It is as if they never read with interest that which they explain when they embark on explaining Newton and they never scrutinise that which they advocate when they teach Newton's principles applying. They give values that are senseless and the very values they use make that which they say meaningless.

In this book I am going to investigate how much truth there is in mass pulling by the force of gravity. To most if not to all of the persons reading this then such a venture of investigating Newton is time wasted and just the thought about me embarking on the investigation of

the issue is totally senseless to investigate. It is senseless because the concept it carries became accepted as household practise and life science from where it proceeded to become everyday culture in every person's mind. The worst part is that the group of people normally considered as the wisest bunch there is, never did prudent testing on Newtonian presumptions, while to test the presumptions is most easy to do. I will not believe that a lot that lives up to the veneer of being the best mathematical intellectuals on Earth, never though of testing Newton's very simple formula and in that disregard the formula because of the incorrectness the formula holds.

Do you think of astrophysics as being the department that is run by the wise and the level minded, the honest and pure at heart, the nobility of well-to-do academics and the sober thinking standing in front of the world as the absolute trustworthy? If you are a student, there is no other choice you have but to trust them while they feed you absolute hogwash! If you would so much as dare to doubt any thing they say they will banish you from the institution they rule so absolutely. The banishing process is done under the blanket of examination.

They teach you what to think and to make sure you think what they wish you to think, they tell you to confirm their teachings on a blank piece of paper. You write what they prescribe and you supply the answers they demand in the words (sometimes) of what they demand. Should you in any way say anything different from what they tell you to think, your presence will not be tolerated any further as they abolish you from their institution of academic tutoring. After reading this book I invite you to no I dare you to challenge their statements with evidence gained from this book and see them wilfully further their culture of deceit by bringing unfounded arguments just in order to silence you and prevent you from getting behind the truth. If you think those in charge of astrophysics are the pillars of trust, then get wise by reading the following facts and arguments this book presents. What you are about to read is simply mystifyingly simple and yet to this day I have not had the privilege to challenged one academic any where that had the honesty to admit to the fact of Newton being wrong. After you have considered the following you might agree with me that even small Children can reach a higher level of clear-minded logic and find more sensibility than what those scientists promoting astrophysics have because science lives in a make believe fool's paradise.

The manner of regard to life that the Academic Physicist holds and the outlook on life that the followers of Newton physics have (I call them plainly Newtonians and to me they are sheepish because they resemble to the image that to me seems the same as sheep running after their leader without having the ability to think for one second any thought spawned out of personal intellect) is quite the opposite of what I think of them. They keep their forming the establishment of the order the Academic Physicist in high regard and consider their order to be the top thinkers in society.

This religion that they practise of self promotion and sublimely self regarding their status being next to God has them so high that we down on Earth forming the waste of human garbage can be told anything and we will believe what they say just because they with their supreme intellect tell us to think what they wish us to think. This they do because we human waste living way down below their supremacy have not the ability to think and therefore they must think on our behalf. In their view and so far very correctly judged on their part, they, the

persons being in the group that forms the Academic Physicists, believe very correctly that can dish up whatever they wish and we, those forming the group in the gutter, those that are mindless in their eyes, we will have to accept what they say without being allowed to form an opinion other than having the opinion they give us to have because in their view we are unable to have a mind other than what they are able to control. This attitude they have is the result of a relationship that worked for so long and the fact that it worked that long is what confirmed their opinion that we, the public, are fools to believe anything and everything because of blind stupidity.

But in spite of their aggravating conduct and mischief towards us, it is not because of a lack of insight and inability of controlling a mind that we have our childlike belief and blind trust in their opinions and which there was. It is the faith we shown that they misused for their scandalous cheating. Our faith is what we have shown towards them and is that, which became used as the reason why we accepted what they said blindly. We didn't accept their word on the grounds of us being utterly stupid as they perceive us to be but our trust depended on our good nature and believing in their trustworthiness. This trust we have is brought on by a culture of trusting the King to do the people well and somewhere in every person's cultural past there was Kings that did us well in leadership.

But their underestimating of our abilities is the testimony of their poor understanding and their weak insight ability, which results from their arrogance and stupidity. You are about to see just how stupid they really are in the thinking aspect of science. It will become clear as you page along while reading! They didn't fool us half as much as they fooled themselves and you are about to read all about it. The fact that they could fool us for centuries didn't run on their intelligence being so much superior but served their purpose as it stemmed from the trust we had in them resulting from good intentions on our part. This betraying on their part and misusing the public's good nature to be used in schemes to get the public conned must end and I pray that this book form the first step in resisting the arrogance of the Academic Physicist.

Any one not in their group of the Academic Physicist is part of the lowest order of mindless being and to become part of their order and those that have minds with an ability to think, students have to accept what they say when they say whatever they wish to say without having to prove the correctness of what

should back their saying so and as a result of this students may never question what they say. Only when and after proving that a student has totally lost all ability to think for him or her self may a student is promoted into the ranks of their sublime intellectual group. The sifting process they named examinations. You write on paper what they told you and never question their opinion and after passing that examination will you ever enter their sphere of intellectual brotherhood. Does this sound far fetched? Then you better read on and I will remove your blindfold and show you what a world of deception the Academic Physicist force on us into.

Read the following and see how they, the high and the mighty, those that think they can replace God and those who think they can think on our behalf and think what to tell us to think, how much they are clowns and the jokers in society. Read how little are they, the Academic Physicists, able to understand concepts about Creation while they think they are able to replace God in their superior intellect.

If you are a student in the science of physics, then ask your Educated Masters to please explain the following abnormalities you are about to read in this book and insist on a clear explanation about the inconsistencies they promote while tutoring physics as if the physics they present are the most flawless and accurate institution there has ever been. Ask those academics supporting Newton about the following flaws that no one mentions .ever. except me in this book you are about to read and get them to explain the inconsistencies never talked about, which I present in this book and then after confronting those charged with tutoring physics and seeing who should be believed, then get wise instead of brainwashed. Let them mathematically show how one would go about and use Newton's visionary formula to calculate the force of gravity by replacing the symbols with the actual values in mass that the items referred to have. Put in the Earth's mass in place where it belongs and put in your mass in place where it should be and then divide that with the distance between your soles and the Earth measured in micro millimetres by the square thereof!

In the book named an **Open Letter on Gravity Part 1 and Part 2**, I bring the solution to the mystery behind gravity. I tried in vane to introduce the principles I find valid to the academics in charge of astrophysics. Facts that Science present as being the uttermost explicit and unwavering truth, fails to bring any logic answers to so many questions that it should address. It fails to have substance in addressing the most basic and simple questions about gravity and physics. Yet to every question science can't answer my approach does bring many solutions. The presentation and the delivery of my answers that I reach are understandable and simple where it serves both logical science and the truth. Since my answers do not match Newton and his misconception about gravity and that mass generates gravity, those in charge of science don't even bother to read my work. With their affixation to the corruption they portrait I can do little to the giants where they are in the mighty positions they have and just because of that they can go about to sideline and ignore my work and this is notwithstanding the correctness that my work delivers compared to the utter failing that Newton's work shows.

When confronted with my evidence and they have to match my work with the hypocrisy and misleading nature of Newtonian cosmology their defence in substantiating their claims is to ignore me. Since I do not applaud mainstream science and the clear fraud they embrace and fraud it is that they embrace, I am silenced. Why is it that my work is going unrecognised or even in the least goes never debated and never commented on. It is because it will then trash every article anyone has ever written about astrophysics and cosmology. They show little integrity when academics with such supposed high standing or then such as they should have, play a dishonesty game where those in commanding positions will rather protect fraud and save their skins. They would rather protect the corruption they have than seek the truth and find honesty in physics. Those academics in charge would much rather protect their undefendable ethos they maintain as forming the back bone in science and what gives their personal position legality although it is corrupt than admit to the truth they find when they begin reading my work and in agreement they then have to back the truth my work brings. Doing that (accepting the truth in my work) will trash all work in cosmology delivered thus far and condemn it to the waste paper basket and render all work invalid and void. It will put all the Newtonian's bias and fraud into the place where it belongs.

Considering that such acting will lose them money, those academics in controlling positions then will rather rape the truth in order to benefit from continuing to corrupt student's minds further. If they wish to justify their inconstancies they have to attack my work and disprove the accuracy of my work. That they can't do. They then ignore my work because they can't attack my work. In that sense they also place their work beyond my approach, as they can simply ignore me as if I represent the plague while they carry on with little consequence to bother them. I challenge them to prove Newton correct and not just declare Newton being beyond reproach after all has seen the evidence I bring. After reading this all students must challenge them to defend what they can't or get honest.

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2} \quad F = \frac{r^2}{M_1 M_2}$$

This is the basis that Mainstream science uses as the foundation of all physics anywhere. If this is wrong then everything they have got to work with goes out the window. They put mass and the distance that parts objects in a relevancy, in other words the one is a ratio to the other. The one factor brings a measure to the other factor's value. The one cannot be without the other. The increase in one becomes the reducing of the other and the other way round also applies. When the distance is large, the influence of mass will be small and when the distance is small, the influence of mass will be overwhelming. Then they state we are in a Big Bang expanding of the entirety.

Why then, when considering that if it is mass that produces an inclining force of contraction as Newton says there is going on then why didn't the expanding stop before it started when the Universe was small. Today using hindsight after the fact of the exploding Universe became apparent by the studies Hubble brought to light did the lot of everything that is not implode as Newton would have us believe whereas, instead it did expand just as Hubble proved. The radius at the time of the first instant back then was no factor, which makes the gravity at the time a totality of unrivalled force. The radius being that insignificant leaves the

mass unchallenged in asserting power in relation to the non-existing radius it had.

I dare any physicist to show me where they apply Newton's formula just and exactly as Sir Isaac Newton suggested gravity applies. Show me just once where the mass of the Earth is multiplied with the mass of the object in normal physics. Show me just once how

$$F = \frac{r^2}{M_1 M_2} \text{ or } F \propto \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$
 where one M represents the mass of the Earth while the other M represents the mass of the object and in this formula the end result will have a value of 9.81 Nm/s^2 . show just once one example. where the use of the mass of the Earth comes into play. If multiplying the mass of the Earth with the mass of an object and dividing that with the distance parting the two mass factors does not deliver 9.81 Nm/s^2 , and then any claim by Newton indicating that is equal to gravity, such claiming constitutes to deliberate

fraud. even if Sir Isaac Newton said this. Prove that the mass of the Earth with the mass of an object and dividing that with the distance parting the two mass factors delivers 9.81 Nm/s^2 or admit physics is conducting fraud to protect Newton!

To whom it may concern:

My introduction as well as introducing the readers to general cosmology in a very brief and compressed manner but first, I have to give the emphatic warning to all prospective contemplating readers.

Please take note of a conscientious warning about the gravity of the misgiving there is on the part of the most respected Academics in physics about a much concerning matter.

I state it emphatically that science accuses me to be not schooled to the point where I am able to have any form of an opinion on any matter concerning Sir Isaac Newton. Notwithstanding that my research proves I did my private studies and through which I skipped the indoctrination and mind control academics place on students goes unrecognised by their standards and so too my ability to have any insight on matters regarding physics. However my skipping their methodical and systematic brainwashing enabled me to see and allowed me to be able to express the incorrectness in Newton's teachings and allowed me to show in clarity what destructive force Sir Isaac Newton used to corrupt the laws of mathematics, corrupting to science along the way and mostly raping to the work of a great man, Johannes Kepler and what Sir Isaac Newton did can only be expressed as being blatant criminal fraud. What his deeds amount to is to corrupt the laws of mathematics, to render the laws of cosmology useless and to rubbish all of science. Should you find this to be unbelievable, then I am glad to announce that this book is more for you than any other person, so go on and read what academics guarding science never wanted published.


I challenge any one that disputes any claim I make to prove me wrong by proving me wrong and not merely suggesting claims in that direction.

I have written several books in which I challenge the thought process of Mainstream

physics and especially Sir Isaac Newton's arguments about physics. I am of the opinion that even though everyone thinks that Sir Isaac Newton is the genius that established every aspect today used in modern physics the man did not have a foggy clue about any of the principles driving the concept. He name gravity, but was unable to explain the concept in any detail. I made a study on the subject of gravity and from that study I am able to explain the entire principle. I prove my explaining with mathematics backing up my statements. In using the correct principles, which was what I found applying in the cosmos and which was what Mainstream science had no idea how to explain or even how to interpret, those principles I use.

Moreover I back up my interpretation how the cosmos use those principles to conduct gravity with the correct mathematical formulating. By my effort of using phenomena applied by the cosmos but never understood by Mainstream science, I do a far better job than what Sir Isaac Newton did and what I achieve is of a far more acceptable level as well as being mathematically far more correct than what Sir Isaac Newton did achieve with his guessing about issues he couldn't explain.

To be successful in my quest to find an explanation for gravity, I had to redirect all my concepts I previously had and also alter all the otherwise normally accepted thinking relating to physics. I realised that if I ever wished to come to realise what gravity is, I had to first realise that gravity is not what Newton



$G \times M / r \times m / r$, which is
the same as

$$G \times M_1 \times m_2 \div r^2$$

saw as forming gravity. What Newton saw as gravity can't withstand even the slightest test of proof. I have tested Newton's thinking and this book bears the witness of all my testing of Newton. As any body can see that reads this book, I tested Newton from all the angles that he possibly could be correct and found his thinking wanting every time.

The truth about Sir Isaac Newton's concepts that he formed is that I came to conclude that in reality it is not in any way overstated to declare that Newton conspired to defraud science and moreover that he committed blatant mathematical corruption in trying to prove the concept he had about what he thought forms gravity. There are no mathematical or any other forms of proof to be used as backing for his ideas. There is no cosmic backing one might use to prove any of his claims in as much as what forms gravity or find proof about any of the claims that Newton made on matters concerning science in cosmic gravity, and every thought he introduced that later proved useful and was correct, was what he stole from another far better

cosmologist.


At least the four phenomena I use are visible and are presently prevailing in the cosmos and I use the four phenomena in my explaining about how gravity forms. Not one of Newton's laws are directly relating to any concept Newton ever introduced at any stage but is the result of academic theft he committed against a much larger figure that preceded him by almost a century. However he committed academic rape and plunder of the man that preceded him while he saw to it that the phenomenal work the first person did was for ever inferiorly linked to Newton's concepts.

He presented the work of this man totally incorrect and these mistakes Newton made when he changed the work of the first person were since then never addressed as it should have been addressed. Newton brought no original input into science except that he gave a concept familiar to everyone a name. This well-known concept I refer too he named gravity and even that is inappropriate.

Newton changed science by incorrectly suggesting changes to science and to mathematics that breaks every mathematical principle he could think of. He has no right to change mathematical laws as it pleases him just because he thought to be greater than any person that ever lived!

Newton changed what no man can and that Newton did in his attempt to win over the prevailing academic thinking of the day as to lay some sort of groundwork to form the required backing for his ideas on physics and the changes he made to mathematics was his personal attempt to explain gravity or what he thought gravity is. Newton stole, cheated, lied, diverted the truth and raped other people's work as well as falsifying mathematics to find support for his most incorrect ideas on gravity.

This part is dedicated to a book entitled **Open Letter Announcing Gravity's Recipe**. In the book the author explains gravity. This achievement is possible because the author broke from Mainstream physics and the impossible double standards Mainstream physics use to promote their much shady explaining and double vision about things they have no vision of. Do you think of astrophysics as the department run by the wise? If you think that, then get wise and read the following. After you have considered the following you might agree with me that Children can be more logic than what they are because they live in a make believe fool's paradise. If you are a student then ask your Educated masters too please explain the following abnormalities and inconsistencies they promote, which I present in this information and get wise instead of brainwashed. I say again brainwash because they force-feed you facts, which they cannot explain because the facts are untruths. Tell them to prove that planets have mass. Tell them to prove that it is mass that generate gravity. Ask them to explain gravity in detail.



$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

When you deal with a relevancy such as this $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$ it is not the value of the top part that is of a crucial nature but it is the bottom part that controls the top part that predicts the value of the outcome.

The formula $\frac{\text{top part}}{\text{bottom part}}$ the size of the bottom part dictates the top part value. With the Titius Bode

law applying where the distance doubles every time a new position of the next planet comes about, the mass has even a lesser role to play than it did before. This is the way you are

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$


supposed to see the formula and when having this view the formula

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

such as Newton saw it and what was the view in the dark ages Newton lived in having such a view will make a lot of sense. However, notwithstanding size or mass increases, the distance between the planets forms a doubling value relating to the specific position of the planet and in this there is no referring to size or mass whatsoever.

This Mainstream science use as the foundation of all physics anywhere. They put mass and the distance that parts objects in a relevancy, in other words the one is a ratio to the other. The increase in one becomes the reducing of the other. When the distance is large, the influence of mass will be small and when the distance is small, the influence of mass will be overwhelming. Why then when taken into consideration that if it is mass that produces an inclining force of contraction as Newton says then when the Universe was small it did not implode whereas, instead it did expand. After all, the radius was almost no factor at that point leaving the mass to enjoy an eternal power in relation to the non-existing radius.

When the Universe was at the point where the Big bang started, the radius was incredibly small. That would make the mass inducing gravity by contraction inconceivably large because the mass was completely overpowering all factors with the small radius. It did not bring about an implosion that the overbearing mass contraction was supposed to unleash on such a small Universe in the beginning. The Universe at present with in comprehensible distances parting object that renders the force of mass no relative value as the force constantly weakens with the growth of the expanding.




The more the radius develops in time, the lesser would the gravity be that the mass factor generates in relation to the advancing radii developing and the larger would the reducing be of all contraction. The effectiveness of force the mass produce will tarnish as the radius that separates the material from each other increases as time moves

Although it is presumed that the Universe was small at the dawn of the Big Bang, such presumption will put validity to another presumption that the gravity the mass charged at the time was enormous because the influence of the small distance in radii and the factor such distance produced promoted the factor, which the mass has to an enormous large factor.

If an object is a million kilometres apart the radius is a million times more in value by dividing the mass influence than when objects are one kilometre apart. That is the most basic realisation about mathematics. It puts ratio to order and define coherency. That is what gravity is to the Universe as it puts respect to factors about the Universe in the Universe. It is what derives order in the Universe.

At the very same time we will find in a Universe that was supposedly so small it had a radius of less than only one kilometre, then at such a time when the Universe was still that small it must also be accepted that the gravity the mass charged was one million times greater as it would be when the radius keeping the structures apart is one million kilometres in distance. The extremely small radius that was only the size of one neutron in radii distance and with the factor that such a distance produces, it must promote the mass factor, which will support the mass in having an enormous large factor by relevancy to what the case must be at present. The mass factor that produces the gravity at any given point during the event of the Big Bang, had to be eternally larger at the dawn of the Big Bang while having an infinite radius, which gave gravity all the power it can have and which it will ever have.



If at the Big Bang there was not sufficient mass to destroy the radius and prevent the expanding from coming about, then the expanding won the match and there can be no contracting Universe as Newton had us to believe. If the Universe started a journey of parting objects no amount of dark matter that might lurk in the night sky and is at this moment hiding from detection will produce the gravity required to stop the expanding from continuing. At the start the expanding became evident and as the radii grows the inclination will suspend in influence as a factor. If there was insufficient mass at the start in order to tilt the balance in favour of the reducing factor, no amount of mass can ever accomplish such a goal afterwards. Then Newton's surmising was one of corruption making that which all physics are based on fools thought and corrupted proof.

If you might be of the opinion that my accusing the greatest intellectual department in the world as being in misconduct and to your view such accusing is outrageous and far-fetched, then be my guest and judge the following with a clear and unbiased mind because when scrutinised with a clear view then the facts cannot fool an idiot. However, that is just what the physics paternity thinks the rest of us forming the general public at large are. They have the opinion that they can feed us in the public arena any senseless rotten garbage they dish up because they see us as being inferior by thought and mind.

With all this in mind did any one ever come to wonder about the all too famous **Einstein's critical density** theory and the fact that this idea was conceived to conceal the corruption of Newton in physics? The fact in truth is that the **Einstein's critical density** theory was a scheme plotted by those in charge to cover up and conceal corruption in the heart of physics.

If Einstein was unable to recognise the most basic of mathematical principles then what type of genius did physics create in him and what slur did physics promote. This idea of the two factors being in opposing relevance is so simple that children will recognise the principle, and yet those fathers of physics wants me to believe that the greatest mathematician that ever lived did not realise this principle. the principle that the radius and the mass stands related and the growth in the one will promote the decline in the other as a dominant factor. Can any one with this information including the information given on the previous page have any other conclusion? It is obviously clear that having such a total idea that there might be dark unseen

mass floating in the Universe which at this time does not generate gravity but will some day because Newton has to be correct at some point in the future. I am to believe that dark undetected mass can be found and such undetectable mass could be found which will bring about contraction after all this expanding? Why would the mass at present then not activate gravity and why would the mass at some point spring to life and start activating gravity? How much can the Physics paternity still hide the fact that **Einstein's critical density** is being used as a **cover-up to distort the truth to conceal fraud**? The **uncovering** by the Hubble constant about of the **Newton fraud** is so simple to see. Hubble found the Universe is expanding and Newton's said otherwise. Who is lying about what?

Hubble's declaration was on track to blow the cover that was concealing the Newton fraud wide open and uncover the centuries old deception. To see this we have only too look at the comet behaviour when any

and all comets again come around on a cycle by repeated visiting the sun. The question is if it is mass pulling mass onto mass, then why do we have comets left in the solar system? The mass of the Sun should by now at least have destroyed every comet going around.

Let any student ask his Master to explain Newton's formula in relation to the comet behaviour.

$$\text{Contracting } F = G(M_1 \times m_2)/r^2$$

Every one believes in Newton except comets, because comets fail to collide with the sun. However I can explain in some way.

Every indication that we so far received in vivid portraying from astronomy photography studies from outer space disputes a shrinking Universe concept. From the moon increasing the radius distance between the earth and the sun, to the Hubble Constant indicating a space growing any where in space wherever man may conduct studies. Since the end of the middle ages a force called gravity was identified, but more than that science did not take it. What is gravity, besides being a force? What forces the force? I introduce a cosmic theory that turns the missing questions to answers.

Let us for one second return to the science we all know.

There is an undefined phenomenon in the cosmos, never mentioned (in public) because it obscures the basic formula

$$F = \frac{M_1 M}{r^2} G$$

Lets put the mathematical formula into a practical context.


By reducing r would bring about the same result as enlarging the mass factor of the cosmic objects i.e. the Sun and the planets. It is a very drastic implication that will cause much more than just seasons changing. It must bring about that gravity changes through out the year.yet the radius does constantly change, therefore.

The closer any two cosmic objects come the stronger the force should be, with eventually no force in the

Universe being able too keep them apart. This is just not happening!!!

There is no indication of truth about a contracting solar system as Newton proposed and as Newton's followers promote and or a contracting Universe as seen from the Hubble as he introduced has Hubble Constant.and not from any other evidence seen through the Hubble Telescope.


contaminated from any other evidence seen through the Hubble telescope.



PROVE ME TO BE INCORRECT

IN ANYTHING I SAY! What you see in the picture above is gravity opposing gravity and by using the four cosmic pillars, which builds the Universe, that is how the cosmos comes about.

I say gravity and the sound barrier is the very same thing where it indicates opposing movement of the earth and some other object within the earth that moves apart from the earth. Allow me to very briefly explain the sound barrier as it was never explained before by applying



relevancy. Science in the present and for the last three centuries placed all their focus on material. In placing the focus on mass while mass does not exist as a cosmic entity, science has been running around like a chicken without a head and the truth eludes them even after three centuries of lying and corrupting science. You think that this is harsh words, read and you will see those in science deserves much more that just that.



Those in science concentrates on the material filling the space but gravity is about the movement of the space and not of the object in the space, but of the space the object takes with as the object moves faster than the other space. That is why objects all fall equal because the space the objects fill is the same, unconditional of what forms the material. All objects fall at an equal pace without size or mass becoming a factor. That is why I introduce gravity by a very new set of principles that no one ever heard of. When an aircraft goes through the sound barrier, the object fills more space per time unit by going faster through space in time. The object then in accordance with the space it holds stretches because it holds more space than when it went slower or when it stood still. Since the aircraft is solid and does shrink a little but not much, it has to concentrate the space around the aircraft and by concentrating it reduces the space. As the space concentrates through the movement of the aircraft a cloud appears around the aircraft because the water vapour in that space

concentrates into a cloud that forms. All movement is part of the sound barrier because the sound barrier is the movement of space. However the sonic boom is confused with the sound barrier but the sonic boom is a small part of the entire sound barrier and only fills the centre spot or the middle in the sound barrier. If mass is anything then show me the role that mass plays in the sound barrier and how does mass conform into what we think of in terms of



the sound barrier. Gravity forms as not by the mass of what any object presumes to have, which I prove in this book and in all my other books, is clearly not present as a cosmic factor. There is no such a thing as mass in the entire Universe and the conspirers that conspire to keep Newton's fraud disclosed, cover up this reality with all they have. I challenge anyone to prove where do they find proof of a factor such as mass. I found the place! It is in the imagination of physicists while they try to conceal that mass is only a product of Newton's imagination. They conspire to confuse everyone with the implication of weight. Weight there is but things pulling other things by the measure of their mass is a daydream and the thought could be funny if it wasn't that crooked. Gravity forms by redirecting the movement of space in circular flow by the spin of any cosmic structure that has the ability to do so. The aircraft goes straight while it also circles the earth and that forms movement within the earth's confinement by the earth's movement, which is what forms the sound barrier. The sound barrier is gravity and sciences present way of going on about the "Doppler effect" and "Mach's principles" shows how incredibly little those incompetent physicists know about physics. I challenge the lot to prove Newton by showing that the Universe contracts or where mass plays a part in cosmic physics. Show how the planets hold their positions according to the mass they have.

All objects move straight while at the same time circle around some other object. The object moves in a straight line while the spin the object hold diverts the space in which the object is into a circle. This circle brings the value of gravity to . By diverting the space in which the object is as well as the space surrounding the object, gravity concentrates space into becoming denser by circling and also hotter.

return to this explanation later on in this book and then bring the applicable my arguments.

Meet the Newtonian. This Newtonian says on the Internet no less that Master Newton and Master Galileo shared the same opinion. This Newtonian says that things fall equal as Galileo said and things fall by mass as Newton said. How much double standard are they allowed to maintain and never get questioned by the public?

When out Newtonian falls our Newtonian would fall at the speed as anything else would fall. This evidence we see on TV everyday in advertisements and on reality shows. We see blokes jump out of aeroplanes with rug sacks and tennis balls and the lot through each other with the balls and catch the balls while putting on the rug sacks and tasking off the rug sack all the while the lot is getting in and out of a car as they please.

We have all seen this. The car drops evenly paced with the rug sack, the humans and the tennis balls. Then the Newtonian tells the student that according to science everything is dragged down by gravity in accordance with mass. See the Newtonian fall. This Newtonian is the only one that falls by mass

The latest is that there is some little particle undetected as yet or as this goes to print (just to cover my arse in case they discover this particle in the meantime) scientifically named and called "graviton" that "pulls" other things that "pulls" back. Science rumours their profession that science only work on established facts and nothing but facts proven to the point of no contest could other wise be good enough.

This expression is so burned into the minds of everyone that science can say any rumour and because it is science everyone believes and never disputes. One good example is this Global Warming by carbon immersions. They take two truths and make one lie to convince people the lie is true. People take what they say as undisputed truths because science is renowned for only working with facts. What a lot of horseshit that expression is, that science only work with proven facts and that they use this idea to convince persons in the public that that is why the lot are atheists. They use this expression to convince people they are atheists because God is not a proven quantity but then they propagates the graviton as the measurable quantity, It is because they only work with facts! I can much easier prove God by using physics that they can prove mass by using physics.

Gravity forms by the dual directional movement of objects going according to the speed of movement. An astronomer will hang suspended in space and high above the earth if the circular movement is high enough to keep him there. If he spins slower he will start to drop. It is not gravitons grabbing him by pulling him closer to the earth. If his circular momentum can sustain the rotation his linear distance will maintain his orbit but as soon as the circular rotation become insufficient to maintain the orbit the linear distance will reduce and then the "gravitons" are called into action. How ridiculous can they get!




Gravity is the sound barrier because the sound barrier forms by four cosmic principles that form gravity and therefore gravity and the

sound barrier are the same principles in conflict because of movement differences. The sound barrier applies by movement in space in space shared.

Everything I am about to show Newtonians say is nothing new. Newtonians say they are aware of everything that I show and then they turn around and simplify physics by putting the entirety of their physics down to the pulling power of mass that forms gravity. The sound barrier is gravity and to understand gravity is to understand the sound barrier. They say that I bring nothing new to the table and they say they apply every aspect I indicate that forms gravity. When I ask them to explain the sound barrier they use the Mach principle and Doppler's effect, which both dates from a time when no one was aware anything man-made could fly let alone go faster than sound can. Doppler made his contribution to science at a time when the train he measured was slower than a horse. The statistics he left to science applies to going as fast as a man on a horse could ride. That is a far cry from a jet breaking the cosmic boom. They can claim what they like because they never have to prove anything and they never have to listen since they know everything while their shortcomings makes science more the jesting of a buffoon. That annoys me to my guts because all of that comes down to the conspiracy whereby they whitewash their stupidity from the brainwashing they inherited from their predecessors and pass the brainwashing on to their students. Their ignominious stupidity makes me want to shout to the mountains in agony and unbelievable frustration. When a cloak of arrogant self-righteousness hides their incomprehensible stupidity under a cloud filled by their belief in self-importance it becomes hideously loathing.

In the earth's atmosphere no object can move slower than the earth does. If it moves slower than the earth does, it moves as fast as the earth does by receiving mass and being pinned onto the earth as being part of the earth. There is always movement because of the earth moves and all other movement goes in anticipation of moving above what the earth does. Movement is space that is duplicating filled space at a pace and in relation to other space within other unfilled space. That is why using parachutes slows the falling process of falling objects down because falling is a ratio between solids and liquids. This is proven in these photos about the sound barrier where the movement of the solid jet contracts the space of the liquid cloud. What happens in the photo is vivid proof of my theory and it proves the Coanda effect is what is forming gravity. The Coanda effect is a relation between material spinning and air or liquid compressing and that is what happens between the Earth and the atmosphere. This picture shows objects are always moving extraordinarily as they move in relation to the earth's atmosphere that always moves in relation to the earth's gravity compressing space into forming the atmosphere. But it also shows the movement of the aircraft compressing space in relation to the aircraft moving as well. That shows that all movement is gravity or time related. This shows objects moving is extraordinarily because everyone always forgets about that it is the earth that normally applies all of the movement while all else stands still in relevancy. There is always movement because of the earth moving and when anything moves above and beyond the movement the earth provides that then forms the **sound barrier** using a modified version of the **Titius Bode law**.

"GRAVITY IS DIVIDED IN TWO FACTORS, BEING LINEAR DISPLACEMENT (π/π^0) WHICH IS WHAT NEWTON'S GRAVITY IS AND,



CIRCULAR DISPLACEMENT (π^3/π) = π^2

WHICH IS THE "GRAVITY" EINSTEIN RECOGNIZED

Those that are of the opinion that it is mass that "pulls" the object towards the earth might be a little wiser when reading the next part carefully as I explain the following:

Anything entering the earth from space it travels in a straight line. The mathematical formulating of this I do not provide at this stage but it is another "some simple algebraic relations" as Prof Friedrich W. Hehl from Annalen Der Physics put it (and I also explain this in the following chapter). But using the law of Pythagoras and putting 7 in relation to 10 provides the value of . The value of has two different dimensional values where the one is and the other is $21.991^\circ/7^\circ$

RE-(W)RIGHTING COSMOLOGY

I have realized the extension of the Einstein hypothesis, and concluded the other part of the relativity theory. In short, the part of "gravity" that Newton saw, and the part of "gravity" that Einstein saw is not the same identical thing, but two different values to the same "gravity". That is why Einstein concluded his theory on a single dimensional Universe. The part of "gravity", which Newton related too, Einstein did not see. "Gravity" consist of a single dimension value (Newton's gravity), which is part of (not the same thing) the "gravity", that Einstein saw. These two values are contained in a balance in space-time. In this, the Universe is in a three-dimensional state of space (three dimensions) in time. Therefore, space is not flat, as EINSTEIN SAW IT, but has three dimensions, locked in singularity where singularity is single dimensioned but by directional relativity forms the fourth dimension of time. I am not going into space-time in this book and I am steering clear by a country mile of any arguments about space-time in this book but Newtonians knows less about space-time than what my dog knows about communism.

In this, there is no force, but only an ever-altering balance, which forms the time component. Only the energy value we regard, as "life" is a force, because only "life" can inflict a change in the balance of space-time and this forms a force. That is why science has been unable to recognize the value of "life" as a separate energy form. If you regard the Universe driven by a force, the value of life has to disappear. The Universe is in a state of balance, and the scale of the balance is determined by time. In this aspect lies the reason why time has never been

detected. Only life can upset the balance, but then life will run into upsetting time. When time is upset, we see it as an explosion. The more time is upset, the bigger the explosion will be. I have put everything into dimensions:

1. Newton's "GRAVITY" which is the single dimension. It is a single value, starting nowhere and stopping nowhere.
2. Is Einstein's "gravity" which is a wave that is forever, circling forever by reducing and expanding.
3. These two values above are combined in the third dimension of space, the third dimension we, and everything in the universe, find ourselves in. In this, the first and second dimensions form an inseparable combination.

4. Everything in the Universe is moving. Even the part we relate to as "NOTHING" moves. This movement forms the fourth dimension of time. Time contains the third dimension, which is formed by the combination of the first two dimensions, and dictates the tempo, or balance in the first two dimensions, and which forms the third dimension. As all things the Universe are part of the balance in movement all things stand equally and evenly effected in the third dimension every thing is connected by movement (time). Therefore, everything connects to time and by time, from the smallest part of matter to the Universe as a whole.

When space becomes less, the movement becomes more therefore time becomes more.

5. As life are connected to the movement of time, but can also move independently from the balance of time, life is a separate energy value, and is in the fifth dimension, but part of the first four dimensions. Being part of the fifth dimension, life is subjected to the criteria dictated by the balance of the fourth dimension, and therefore has to abide by the laws of the fourth dimension, while it is part of the fourth dimension. In all of this is the outstanding factor that nothing happens by chance, except for the intervention of "life".

Everything I am about to show Newtonians say is nothing new. Newtonians say they are aware of everything that I show and then they turn around and simplify physics by putting the entirety of their physics down to the pulling power of mass that forms gravity. The sound barrier is gravity and to understand gravity is to understand the sound barrier. They say that I bring nothing new to the table and they say they apply every aspect I indicate that forms gravity. When I ask them to explain the sound barrier they use the Mach principle and Doppler's effect, which both dates from a time when no one was aware anything man-made could fly let alone go faster than sound can. Doppler made his contribution to science at a time when the train he measured was slower than a horse. The statistics he left to science applies to going as fast as a man on a horse could ride. That is a far cry from a jet breaking the cosmic boom. They can claim what they like because they never have to prove anything and they never have to listen since they know everything while their shortcomings makes science more the jesting of a buffoon. That annoys me to my guts because all of that comes down to the conspiracy whereby they whitewash their stupidity from the brainwashing they inherited from their predecessors and pass the brainwashing on to their students. Their ignominious stupidity makes me want to shout to the mountains in agony and unbelievable frustration. When a cloak of arrogant self-righteousness hides their incomprehensible stupidity under a cloud filled by their belief in self-importance it becomes hideously loathing.

When the object or aircraft stands motionless according to the earth centre the object moves only by the movement of the earth and therefore in cosmic terms the object and the earth is one whereby the object has weight and holds a value in mass. The object holds a relative position to the earth by the value of $\frac{1}{2}$. This is because gravity then links to 0 and this holds regard to singularity. When the object moves while being connected to the earth by singularity the earth holds space at 3 while the object moves in terms of

2 . The earth represents 1 and the object moves by 2 . When the object gets airborne the relation becomes $7(3^2)$ but I will not go into that. As the speed increases the relevancy of linking 2 breaks when the Roche limit is exceeded. The Roche limit is 2 or movement divided

by the four quadrants of the circle making it $\frac{1}{4}$. However while the aircraft shares the atmosphere one half is still within the earth making the Roche limit $\frac{1}{2}$. In the Theses I prove these values extensively.

Thinking in terms of gravity we all think according to going in a straight line. The car is going skew but is going straight ahead following a straight line. That is thinking very Newtonian and backwards. Those preaching Newton try to convey the idea that Newton thought the earth was round but this fact as a science proven conclusion was established long after the days of Newton.



We see the car going straight as the car is following the curve of the earth. The car is following a circle by just going straight. In Newton's concept on gravity there is no mention and therefore there is no admitting to the curve of the


earth playing a role in the forming of gravity by using the formula $F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$. The formula indicate gravity going by mass going in a straight line. This idea as Neanderthal as

$$F = G \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2}$$

The following is the simplest and the easiest I ever attempted to explain gravity. When a car moves at high-speed engineers say a car lift up into the air because air moves in underneath the car and that forces the car to lift up. That is Newtonian rubbish! When a car speeds forward there will always be more air flowing over the car than air could flow underneath the car and with more air above it is impossible to have the air underneath the car lift the car.

The air pushing the car down will always be much more than the air can be that lifts the car up. This is how gravity applies to a speeding car and this is why a speeding car starts to lift into the air at a high speed. The earth rotates at 7° . The curve of the earth holds the circle value of $\frac{1}{4}$ by which it rotates.

When moving the circle value move from a point holding to where move next and that distance is π^2 and that is the value of gravity. The car moves around the curve at 7° holding a point at that align with gravity π^2 . That means following the curve of the earth moves to forming π^2 and this forms a straight line that goes by a circle. Then when the car speeding exceeds $7^\circ(2) = 217 \text{ km/h}$ the line the car moves in starts to grow longer than the 7° that forms the earth curve. The car straightens the curve of the earth when it stretches the 7° the earth presents as the curve around which it spins. The car leaves the curve of the earth and gets airlift. It then needs "wings" to force the car down by creating more gravity because gravity is the space that pushes down on the earth and the wings produces more space that pushes the car down on the ground. As soon as the car lifts into the air not touching the ground surface the relevancy changes to $7^\circ(3^2) = 217 \text{ km/h}$ as the relevancy changes to $7^\circ(3^2) = 217 \text{ km/h}$. When the car is airborne the formula changes from $7^\circ(\pi^2) = 217 \text{ km/h}$ to



This picture we have above shows why gravity forms as the space we call air pushes down onto the earth. The faster the car moves from one point to the next the more air it will generate to push it down. Gravity is the space above the earth that pushes down on the earth and that includes the space the car holds. But if the car exceeds the speed the earth retains to spin the car will exclude some space from the earth's space and take this air along for the ride. This lump of air holding the car will stretch the 7° it retains to form a circle and this will extend the position where forms. This will then begin to lift the car when the point holding as radius becomes wider as the position where 7° forms is further apart.

This will come into affect when the projectile reaches Mach 1. I choose to use the accepted term Mach 1 at this point as to limit any confusion that may arise from the new arguments. I have to stress the fact that the Doppler effect is, once again, merely a co-incidental but duly related by product.


However, the Doppler effect, as such, plays no part in these phenomena, or in the outcome of the application. It must be seen in terms of cosmology and not from a human perspective. This is the principle applying when a newly formed star escapes the heat envelope within the centre of a galactica as a star is born. There now are two singularity factors within one space-time unit and the two goes into a battle of existing

Any object entering from space and that does not have direct contact with the surface of the earth, encounters gravity in terms of being directionally diverted from going straight to turning towards the earth by 7° . This then is what provides the "pulling of mass" and the other is having contact with the surface of the earth, which then puts in terms with the centre of the earth at 0° . What becomes clear from these two illustrations is the following: Where there is a direct entry by the spacecraft, the time factor is not sufficient in duration.

This will not allow the time-duration needed for this structure of the aircraft to reevaluate its space occupation and therefore the space factor of the spacecraft cannot adopt to its new position in space-time occupation as it has to relate to a new value in accordance with the value that is determined by the earth's concentration of space-time.

In the case of the second entry illustration, a lot more time lapse is allowed for the spacecraft to revalue its structural position in accordance to its new value of space-time occupation. This is the very reason why objects like "falling stars" burn out when they enter the earth's atmosphere.

Forget about mass forming gravity it is a fool's rhetoric. When an object holds a steady position to the earth while making a sound, the sound will go in all directions evenly. It will go left and right equally fast. The concept of gravity connects to roundness and to . Gravity is . Gravity is the movement in terms of by duplicating the position of per specific time units applying. Then the object making the sound moves left it will hasten the flow of sound in the direction it moves by moving towards the sound while it will increase the distance the sound has to travel by also moving away from the departing sound going to the right side. This means that gravity has two values one being linear and the other being circular and the linear affects the circular in movement as much as the circular affects the linear. This is not only connected to sound but is connected to everything applying to gravity as gravity. When an atom moves the size of the atom must shrink to compensate for the directional change of the orbit that decreases the electron's orbit and therefore decreases the size of the moving atom where atoms form an object.



Coming toward the earth from space the object travels straight but the spin of the earth by 7° re-directs the object to follow an inclining line of $21.991/7$. At such a point the object moves towards the earth but the object cannot have weight yet, since the object does not connect directly with the earth. When the object touches the earth it relates to $\Pi\Pi^0$ and at such a point the object receives a value of mass by which it becomes a unit within the earth. By connecting to singularity in terms of $\Pi\Pi^0$ or in terms of $\Pi=21.991/7$ puts the object in liquid or in solid.


Gravity has the value of . The value of has two measure where one is when the line gravity forms extends from singularity as ($^\circ$) and when the line that gravity Π_1 forms extends to singularity it is $21.991/7$ because of the curve gravity associates with forming the value of . To explain all these factors I have to take the reader back to the point where the Universe started as spot that formed a dot. I have to take the reader back to where the point where the Universe was numbers holding no space, which is way before the Big Bang era where the atom broke the Universe into space that formed. In gravity there are the two values that

2 gravity will apply. Gravity will link to the axis forming the centre around which everything spins. This gives the object a connection of 3 because it changes location in relation to the axis. Then the other gravity value connects to where always at all times connect to singularity within the centre at

When connects as the curve of the earth, this connection applies the object forms part of the solid and moves with the earth but also in accordance with the earth.

The condensing of the vapour surrounding the jet is a prelude to the sonic boom and is just one link in the sound barrier where the sonic boom is just another link in the sound barrier process. The sonic boom IS NOT the sound barrier but is as much part of the entire concept as the beginning of movement is part of the sound barrier. Waves in the sea are as much forming part of the sound barrier as winds howling or blowing is part of the sound barrier.





By the aircraft moving through the earth's space there is a differential difference developing between the size the aeroplane holds when moving at different speeds and the size the aeroplane holds when only moving according to the earth and finding size in relation to the movement of the earth. Movement determines size and this is because by moving faster more space is duplicated during the same time frame. There then is relevance between what moves at which speed in relation to other movement.



When any object moves it fill a certain space during a specific time period.



When an aircraft stands still by only moving with the earth it moves at Π^0 . As it moves faster it fills more space up to where it fills from Π^0 to $2\Pi^0$ of sky space and as the speed increase this goes up to $2\Pi^0$ to $4\Pi^0$. The aircraft holds more space but does not fill more air and therefore the air has to compress.



By going into space reduction as the fuselage occupies more space through extensive movement increase the space surrounding the moving aircraft condenses and this condensing forms the concentrated vapour. As soon as the fuselage begins to heat the heat surrounding the airplane pushes the vapour away again. The sonic boom is just one link in the chain of reactions we associate with the sound barrier and the sonic boom is more or less in the middle of the entire concept.

Flying at (3^0) three times the falling speed or the speed of gravity (7×3^2) going in a sideways direction the body of the aircraft starts to expand into another gravity limit (3^0) . However by

the movement of the aircraft the body also has to shrink (3^0) and the result is the body of the craft cant accommodate conflicting behaviour.

Since the body of the aircraft that should expand contracts the space surrounding the aircraft also contracts in sympathy with the aircraft body contracting. That makes the aircraft becomes literally smaller and this condenses the space surrounding the aircraft. But then the heat coming from the contracting body of the aircraft pushes away the atmospheric air as the surrounding air of the aircraft heats up because of the intensity of the aircraft increasing the space it holds.

Download for free [The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: Introducing The Sound Barrier](http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-introducing-the-sound-barrier/)
<http://www.lulu.com/content/e-book/the-absolute-relevancy-of-singularity-introducing-the-sound-barrier/> [0 2]

What I ask of readers is to beforehand forfeit the culture of Newtonian bias when reading this by paying attention to what I say and not about the degree in which I stray from mainstream science's thinking. This way the exercise will present many new ideas and explaining my new concept will become clear. There is so much to benefit from. Science has no idea what a Black Hole is while I can prove what a Black Hole is. I formulate mathematically what "**the sound barrier**" is. I prove what gravity is. By using the four cosmic phenomena, which is what the cosmos uses to form gravity, I show what "**the sound barrier**" is and I go much further than that. I show that gravity forms using the **Roche limit**, the **Lagrangian system**, the **Titius Bode law** and the **Coanda effect**.

I uncover these principles by placing within the formulating of gravity and when using I bring clarity to the misunderstood cosmic principles. The list of the unknowns I can then explain is almost endless. **Gravity forms by movement that establishes singularity initiating a circle in using .**

I show why gravity is there, how gravity forms and what role stars play in forming gravity. There is no difference between how gravity and electricity forms and that I prove mathematically by decoding the cosmos. I prove mathematically when atoms spin they establish that forms the Universe.

Whatever forms gravity has to link closely to since everything that has anything to do with gravity forms a circle that is by the value of the square radius. If mass has anything to do with generating gravity, then mass has to apply or otherwise mass has nothing to do with the forming of gravity.

Everything using gravity forms a circle of sorts, which forms the curvature of space-time, which is and which curves light. The way the planets orbit the Sun and how stars spin has all to do with . In spinning in a circle, forms gravity as a centrifugal force that condenses space.



Gravity forms by association or relevancies that holds a value of coming towards the centre from the sky which then is 7 (which is the curve of the earth) (n associating with the curve of the earth) and n^2 (associating with the moving of the earth) This is part of the Titius Bode law forming the measure of gravity which is not by mass but by forming n .

$7n^2 \times n^0$ to $4n^0$


Then when a line is drawn from the centre of the earth another value comes in place referring to the centre of the earth n^0 and the curve of the earth n . The value of n is then shared by both disciplines (association from the sky to the centre ($7n^2$) and association from the centre to the sky (n^0)). In reality it is ($7n^2$) but I am not explaining this.



If the body does not connect to the earth by way of forming a unit or forming "mass" the axel line of the earth comes into affect giving the ratio a value of 3. Then when not connecting to the earth surface the ratio becomes $7(3n^2) \times n^0$ going up to $4n^0$ all depending on the sped or the height. This is the way gravity forms by forming a connecting association with n^0n .

This forming of gravity explains the Coanda effect because the Coanda effect puts air or liquid in relative movement with solids and this movement (but not the mass part) is how gravity forms.

What is in the Universe, is spinning and therefore what I am referring to, applies to everything holding a place in the Universe and therefore this which I mention directly links everything holding any space whatsoever in the entire Universe to one single point around which all spin, notwithstanding the allocation. In the **precise middle** of all **objects in rotation** disregarding size is a precise centre dividing the object into opposing sectors that will **start the spinning initiation** from that centre point. The spinning object will have a very specific **centre point that does not spin** and only holds as a specific value because no radius can apply at the point being one space away from 0 holding r^0 . But also the one value such a line **cannot have is zero** because the line **is there and being unbroken, it holds contact** with the rest of the material bringing about that **zero does not start any line** and therefore the **value of the line must be infinite**, just as described in accordance and by **the definition of singularity**. As I am introducing a very new idea, I wish to explain in better detail what I try to convey. While anything spins, singularity forms a line and when reducing the rotating line or radius progressively to the middle at one point all further reducing must end. As the rotating direction moves inwards, the rings forming will become smaller and smaller. Then we reach a point everyone thinks of as being the axis around which everything rotates. The line only forms when everything around the line spins by establishing a circle to the value of π . go to <http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/> and also go to www.questionablescience.net Flying object is under this gravity control of movement and it is this that has crafts fly and cars requiring down force by the aid of aerodynamic devices.



The Universe is contracting by $7(3\pi^2)$
 The Universe is expanding by $7(\pi\pi^2)$
 Gravity is the inclining of material spinning in relation to 7° that is part of π
 This is because π is 3.1416 and spinning in space is 3 which makes time expanding $0.1416 \times 7 = 0.991$ larger than 3. The concept might appear simple when told in this manner but the entire philosophy is so much more complex when studied overall.
 By observing the rings around the planets we can identify all four pillars forming the composition thought of as gravity. We have the Lagrangian points that is five and I explain why it is 5, we have the Titius Bode law and I explain in detail the Titius Bode law, we find the Roche limit and I explain why it has the value of $\pi^2/4$ and we can see from my explanation I have provided above why the Coanda effect accumulates all the principles forming gravity.

When something moves it is within the space the earth rotates. When anything moves above and beyond the earth's movement it excels as it exceeds the movement the earth provide and with it, it takes the space it holds in excess of the position the earth relates too. Thus by moving it form a space-time unit within the earth confinement but yet also out of and beyond the space-time the earth provides. Between 1^0 and 21^0 , the body finds itself in its circular displacement, which is the earth's linear displacement value. When an object exceeds the earth's circular displacement value, it is refer to as Mach 1, or the speed of

sound. Objects fall at a rate of $7(3^2) = 208 \text{ km I h}$ while the earth gravitational displacement is $7(3^2) = 217 \text{ km I h}$. because the earth moves faster than anything can fall it will always reduce space and have all surrounding space confined to the earth. The object falling only holds space that is confined to the earth.

When the object or aircraft stands motionless according to the earth centre the object moves only by the movement of the earth and therefore in cosmic terms the object and the earth is one whereby the object has weight and holds a value in mass. The object holds a relative position to the earth by the value of 1 . This is because gravity then links to 0 and this holds regard to singularity. When the object moves while being connected to the earth by singularity the earth holds space at 3 while the object moves in terms of 2 . The earth represents 3 and the object moves by 2 . When the object gets airborne the relation becomes $7(3^2)$ but I will not go into that. As the speed increases the relevancy of linking 2 breaks when the Roche limit is exceeded. The Roche limit is 2 or movement divided by the four quadrants of the circle making it $2/4$. However while the aircraft shares the atmosphere one half is still within the earth making the Roche limit $2/2$.

This will come into affect when the projectile reaches Mach 1. I choose to use the accepted term Mach 1 at this point as to limit any confusion that may arise from the new arguments. I have to stress the fact that the Doppler effect is, once again, merely a co-incidental but duly related by product. However, the Doppler effect, as such, plays no part in these phenomena, or in the outcome of the application. It must be seen in terms of cosmology and not from a human perspective. This is the principle applying when a newly formed star escapes the heat envelope within the centre of a galactica as a star is born. There now are two singularity factors within one space-time unit and the two goes into a battle of existing. There is the same principle applying between stars sharing space-time. When two stars are at the Roche limit, the linear displacement reaches a value of one, and $3 \text{ I } 2 = 0 = 1$ is equal to singularity and in

this we find space-time forming a value. This formula does not impress the most learned Physicists such as Professor Doctor Friedrich W. Hehl, which you will learn from a little further on in this book since Professor Doctor Friedrich W. Hehl thought this was to use his words "With a lot of words and some simple algebraic relations, there is no way to "explain" the world of physics." However notwithstanding Professor Doctor Friedrich W. Hehl not being impressed, on this rides the entire cosmos formed by gravity. The circular displacement reaches its full complement of half r^2 which is the Roche limit.

Those Ever-So-Wisely-Mathematically-Sublime-Superiorly-Educated-Cosmic-Super-Brains never show that Newton is at odds with the cosmos on every issue at hand. The cosmos not once implement anything Newton says and Newton can never be reconcilable with what applies in the cosmos.

Go to **Questioning Newton's Mythology** <http://www.lulu.com/content/17570956>

I can prove what gravity is by proving the sound barrier because gravity is the sound barrier. Gravity is the rotation of the earth in relation to everything that rotates with the earth being relative to everything moving either in conflict to or in support of the earth rotating.

I say I can prove God with far more proof than Newtonian physicists can prove a factor such as mass does exist and moreover that mass can bring a pulling force such as gravity!

I prove what I say so I challenge those in physics to prove the fact of mass and not just weight. Gravity is the contracting or compressing of the space surrounding the earth and this is by way of rotation.

I use the very same values I apply to gravity to show how the sound barrier works. This I can do because the sound barrier and the concept we think of as gravity is the very same thing that is what a Supernova star and a jet going through the sound barrier and the Tunguska event in 1908 was. It is the very same cosmic principles.

It is very quietly and not well promoted but we know that the moon and the earth are drifting apart. Nothing is coming closer together as Newton claimed in his principles.

Very quietly and not well promoted is the fact we know that the circumference of the earth or the circle around the earth is steadily enlarging thus Nothing is shrinking.

No where does any Newtonian ever put this tendency in relation to Newton's gravitational pulling principles of contracting in line with other cosmic accepted norms such as with everything expanding since the Big Bang, then what is shrinking.

Galileo proved that a large pendulum swings in time with a small pendulum and this Newtonians never dispute. Galileo proved that large things and objects fall at the same rate and by the same speed as small objects and that too they never dispute.

Yet they force students to repeat in examinations that objects fall by mass, which means that a big object and a small object falls differently because of mass, and yet they fall the same. If they fall by

mass the bigger object must fall faster than the smaller object does. As the objects of different mass fall equal as Galileo said it does, and then Newton is wrong in claiming objects fall under mass pulling mass.

...And yet you can go to the Internet and see how many Newtonian cheats calling them physicists announce in capital letters that Newton and Galileo had the very same principles in mind. You are going to read why I call Newtonian physicists the biggest cheats that walk the earth, they are more crooked than Bankers and Politicians are! If you know one personally please show him this book and see his reaction when he reads my accusations and that I ask to prove mass (not weight).

Go on to download

www.sirnewtonsfraud.com


in Adobe and see how I disprove this fantasy.

Then, after feeling convinced about the truth in my accusations go to

www.singularetyrelevancy.com

www.questionablescience.net

and learn what gravity is and find out how gravity forms.



When something such as the top is not spinning being stationary and still on the ground, the body of the top joins the body of the earth by finding mass and joins the earth by measuring the weight. By not spinning the body of the top is forming a conclusive part of the earth not distinctly clear from the body of the earth and by not being apart from the earth the body of the top is having weight. When the top starts to spin the spinning provides the top with a centre line called the axis. By having the axis the top is holding a body standing apart from the earth spinning around its individual axis. As both installed an axis, each body (the top as well as the earth) forms its own gravity albeit that the top is compromised under the influence of the earth just as the earth is influenced under the restriction of the sun. The top spins under its own axis and in that it forms its independent gravity in relation to the spinning motion of the top's axis. That is what Kepler's formula shows. ($a^3 = k T^2$) By spinning around an independent axis the top forms an independent body from the earth by spinning apart from the spin of the earth although it remains duly influenced by the movement of the earth. Putting this in terms of what Kepler said when he used his formula it reads as follows: The top forms an independent body (a^3) standing erect (k) from the earth by spinning (T^2) apart from the spin of the earth although duly influenced by the movement of the earth. ($k^0 = a^3 + T^2 k$) The earth also forms a body (a^3) by the rotation or spin (T^2) in relation to the surface where (k) the earth ends. That end being round the earth is a circle and is subject to Π . The rotation follows the curve of the earth and the curve of the earth is Π . By turning in relation to Π it means that gravity is linked to Π and not mass.

Everything in the Universe moves and everything moves in terms of something else that moves.

The movement other than cosmic movement applies as individual motion on top of the structural movement the earth provides and by having more movement individuality, it increases the motion that the earth provides. The independence of the individual object is becoming further exaggerated by having independent motion, which is further defying the incorporation the earth strives to achieve. As the motion of the independent object grows more independent by applying more excessive motion to such an extent

where motion creates almost the ultimate independence that may free the individual object with independence from the motion the Earth creates is what is breaking the restraint gravity has on all

objects with independence formed by their structure. The structure show independence at all times by not forming part of the structure of the Earth within the sphere of the Earth's gravity. Moving about shows even more reluctance on the part of the top when spinning allows the top to eventually become part of the Earth. **Breaking the sound barrier is the**

motion in space duplicating space by crossing over gravity borders, which is the limit to what constraint the Earth may produce in accordance with what full independence would allow. It is in the movement that gravity performs and in movement singularity locks the Universe.

In the books on offer through this web page and in which I am introducing a totally new concept in terms of gravity, the proof I bring is true about gravity being formed as a result of these phenomena. In the past science hardly recognised the existence of such phenomena although they are known to science for centuries.

They are known as

- 1) **The Lagrangiansystem**
- 2) **The Rochelimit**
- 3) **The TitiusBodelaw**
- 4) **The Coandaaffect.**

However, since the explanations that I provide holds a completely new line of thought, there are just too many and too numerous wide ranging facts behind that which forms the complete picture as a whole, this leaves me unable to include a full introduction in a space as small as that which a web page will allow. The explaining of such a totally new approach includes for instance those phenomena science this far failed to understand and which I have named as **the four cosmic pillars**. With these facts being altogether new to science, I find academics showing very little willingness to consider the acceptable value thereof. I recon it must be the result of science seeing so many idle explanations in the past and then proving to be senseless as much as being little impressive, therefore my mentioning it without bringing and substantiating proof will be fruitless and counter productive.

Please be warned that although the work is extremely simple, the understanding will call on your concentration, as you may not have experienced in a long while. This may be observed as having little understanding of concepts while reading. The result is that the reader might find connecting difficult because of a lack previously encountering, as the work is completely new to everyone.

By using the **four cosmic pillars**, it enables me to **present the proof** where I now can explain what conditions bring on the **sound barrier**. By proving it **is gravity that** the individual structure generates motion above and beyond the gravity the Earth provide is what is producing individual **motion** that the independent object earned within the sphere of motion that the Earth's gravity provides where the **independent and individual motion** put the relevance that gravity has beyond the conserving means gravity has where the space **that is serving the independent object is independently in motion**. The adding to the independence on top of the normal structural independence is creating more individualism by the independent motion of the individual structure being apart from the motion that the gravity of the **Earth provides**. The fact every one misses is that any structure that is not part of the Earth's crust has an independent gravity and the form this gravity applies is stronger than the Earth's gravity which is why the structure maintains its form and this provides the independent individuality the structure has giving the unique structural space. The gravity of the Earth strives to incorporate everything into the Earth's sphere and into the Earth's structure and therefore the fact that the object is not incorporated into the Earth shows defiance and individuality, which gives it, mass.

Whatever your studies were directionally involved with albeit physics, cosmology, architecture, engineering or theology or you whether you did no studies at all and notwithstanding the level or the degree your studies came to evolve too, it will be of very little to help as you now are about to embark on

something completely new to science. Any such knowledge that you may have gained in the past about science founded on the Newtonian direction of thought would come to zero, as the work you are about to embark on is as new as anything ever could be. and in that sense it would be better before spending a lot of money to first familiarising yourself with information. First, before laying out a lot of cash in printed work, read what I present in the e-books as to get confident with this new work. Then, when you are convinced, purchase the following printed work. These are the definitions underwriting cosmology and while my work is that much ignored; let's see how far I stray from these definitions in comparison of how much Mainstream science underwrites these definitions by them bringing indisputable proof in presenting unwavering hardcore facts as I do.

Quoted directly from the Oxford dictionary of Astronomy the following:

The definition of space-time is as follows:

Space-time is a four dimensional position of the Universe where the position of an object is specified by three coordinates in space and one position in time. According to the theory of special relativity there is no absolute time, which can be measured independently of the observer, so events that are simultaneous as seen from one observer occur at different times when seen from a different place. Time must therefore be measured in a relative manner as are positions in three-dimensional Euclidean space, and this is achieved through the concept of space-time. The trajectory of an object in space-time is called world line. General relativity relates to curvature of space-time to the positions and motions of particles of matter.

The definition of singularity is as follows:

Singularity: a mathematical point at which certain physical quantities reach infinite values for example, according to the general relativity the curvature of space-time becomes infinite in a black hole. In the big bang theory the Universe was born from singularity in which the density and temperature of matter were infinite.

The Oxford dictionary of Astronomy defines gravitation as follows

Gravitation is the force of attraction that operates between all bodies. The size of the attraction depends on the masses of the bodies and the distance between them; gravitational force diminishes by the square of the distance apart according to the inverse square law. Gravitation is the weakest of the four fundamental forces in nature. I. Newton formulated the laws of gravitational attraction and showed that a body behaves as though all its mass were concentrated at its centre of gravity. Hence the gravitational force acts along a joining of the centres of gravity of the two masses. In the general theory of relativity gravitation is interpreted as the distortion of space. Gravitational forces are significant between large masses such as stars planets and satellites, and it is this force, which is responsible for holding together the major components of the Universe. However on the atomic scale the gravitational force is about 10^{40} times weaker than the force of electromagnetic attraction

I found the manner in which to interpret Kepler's formula as $a^3 = kT^2$ and I found that when dealing with Kepler's formula, we should not see a^3 as space but we should see singularity being positioned in space in relation to singularity forming relevancies. Doing that placed me in the position to discover what gravity

is and how gravity operates to form the Universe. By placing in relation to gravity I manage

to find an explanation for the four cosmic phenomena. Everything that has anything to do with gravity forms a circle albeit that it is called the curvature of space-time or gravity bending light or forming a round galactica, the connecting factor is gravity which implements . Gravity or another name used to call gravity would be time is running on the measure of and every aspect of cosmology integrates as the basic concept on which cosmology is founded.

This work contains ideas about the **introducing of a totally new concept** on explaining for the first time ever the **working principles** of **gravity**, a matter that eluded Newton no less. I decided to offer four books that introduce the explaining of these concepts in e-book format. This motivated me to only introduce the concepts in **producing small books** that then could be sold via the electronic publishing media as **to allow persons to first acquaint themselves about the viability of the concepts and the feasibility** of this new approach I introduce. By giving each of **the phenomena a measured value in**

terms of solved every riddle connected to the phenomena and not only did the phenomena become purposely clear but also the working principle gravity. I prove that gravity is and the four cosmic phenomena forms gravity by producing when objects move in relation to each other.

By using the above **the four cosmic pillars**, it enable me to **present the proof** where I now can explain what conditions bring on the **sound barrier**. By proving it **is gravity that** the individual structure generates motion above and beyond the gravity the Earth provide is what is producing individual **motion** that the independent object earned within **the sphere of motion that the Earth's gravity provides** where the **independent and individual motion** put the relevance that gravity has beyond the conserving means gravity has **where the space that is serving the independent object is independently in motion.**

The adding to the independence on top of the normal structural independence is creating more individualism by the independent motion of the individual structure being apart from the motion that the gravity of the Earth provides.

- 1) I found the **location, position of singularity 0** as a factor forming space-time
- 2) I found **space-time** by dissecting Kepler's formula in relation to **valuing singularity as 0**
- 3) I not only found but I also **proved space-time by showing how movement forms** .
- 4) I found that the **working principals** behind **gravity** as a cosmic occurrence are forming .
- 5) I found the reason for the **Roche limit** and explaining the resulting of **that in relation to** .
- 6) I found out why the **Lagrangian system**, becomes as **the building form** of the Universe.
- 7) I found why the **Titius Bode law** mathematically provides the **foundation of** .

By proving that the **Coanda affect is gravity assisted by the other three phenomena and thereby through activating singularity it formed space-time, I was able to link gravity with singularity in applying the measured value of what forms and then I could link singularity to gravity by the forming of the value of as gravity. That I managed by seeing that it is the way that forms that gravity also forms.**

The fact every one misses is that any structure that is not part of the earth crust in the structure has own gravity that is stronger than that of the Earth which provides the independent individuality the structure with unique structural space has. The gravity of the Earth strive to incorporate all in the sphere the Earth has into the structure the Earth has and therefore the fact that the structure that forms a factor Newton called mass is not incorporated as yet, shows defiance and individuality in the first place.

Without trying to sound theatrical or over boastful there is no sense even in contemplating to read these books mentioned above before completing the reading of The Four e-books. The science introduced is altogether new. The approach introduced to science is altogether new. The complexity by which the introducing and explaining is done is altogether new. The introduced concept defining cosmology and physics is altogether new. If the correct introduction is not made, then the understanding of the total layout and arriving at the eventual conclusion is hardly possible. The explaining of the groundwork and the layout of the new proposed cosmic theory is introduced in the four books on offer called **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** The Thesis consisting of: **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Newton**

1) The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Cosmic Physics

2) The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Sound Barrier

4) The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Four Cosmic Phenomena

5) The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Cosmic Code

6) The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Life

What you purchase is information and not ink put on paper, forming a copy of yet another science book. You purchase information never yet divulged, and I am not exaggerating. Look at what new

knowledge that I uncover as I explain for the first time the following phenomena:

It would be preferable for a reader to be acquainted with some of the new ideas as explained in the e-books. And also preferably in the designated order as recommended below because you will not be familiar with any of the work I deliver in the printed books if not reading the e-books first.

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity The Article

The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity: The Dissertation, then when convinced about the authenticity buy

1) Book 0 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Newton

2) Book 1 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Cosmic Physics

3) Book 2 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Sound Barrier

4) Book 3 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Four Cosmic Phenomena

5) Book 4 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of The Cosmic Code

6) Book 5 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Life

6) Book 5 The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity in terms of Investigating Kepler
Which are also available from Lulu.com.

Which is the work I propose that any person should read in the order that I suggest above, should any person be interested in finding out more about what was never yet revealed. Then, before venturing into the printed work, please make sure you have read the recommended e-book titles. Whatever you know about cosmology or about physics, you will venture into a Universe you have never encountered before and the logic and the proof that I build on in the printed titles I derive from the proof I give in the e-books where I do not repeat the proof I claim and which I give in the e-books. After completing the e-books you will be one of a few that truly know what gravity is! **To download**

www.singularetyrelevancy.com in Adobe

Then press www.singularetyrelevancy.com

After and only after you are convinced about the merit of my theory and the correctness about my arguments, you then may further explore my more elaborate

work by visiting www.gravitysveracity.com

After exploring **The Absolute Relevancy of Singularity** you may wish to find

more information by which you can advance to the printed work on offer in

www.questionablescience.net

For more information visit www.questionablescience.net

In the end all the letters I sent to academics while receiving no reply from the academics where those letters that then formed the following books:

The Books **published** in e-book format:

The Veracity Of Gravity

In open letter On Gravity Part 1 Volume 1 + 2

An open letter On Gravity Part 2 Volume 1 + 2

Newton's Mythology

Sir Isaac Newton: A Conspiracy to Defraud Science

An open letter Announcing Gravity's Recipe An open letter Addressing Gravity's Formula An

open letter About Gravity's Prescription An open letter Explaining Gravity's Rules

An open letter To Selected Academics

A Cosmic Birth Dismissing Nothing

An open letter About Investigating Kepler

The Dissertation about the Absolute Basis of Physics forming Gravity

The last letters I addressed to academics became books as I included several letters as to form one book where I later joined the letters to elaborate on the letters that I was writing with letters I already wrote and that I eventually combined to form a unit that became a compilation as a book. The letters grew into books and the books grew into a collection where some are intense and might seem to be rather complicated and others would be deemed as introduction material. The first eleven on the list would be rather introduction material by nature and if there would be interest it is advisable to start by reading those first eleven from the list.

But in my work I do some things no one should do. I break rules never broken by man in three hundred and fifty years or more. I cross a line that is forbidden to cross by any man not dead or insane. I go into the darkness of the foreboding chambers of insane madness and mental instability.

Then I go further and do the unspeakable, the act that proves insanity, the dead that vanquishes the force by distorting the gods presence in physics, becoming the reason why the cause of the future Earth will be destroyed. why what would have been will be no more because I unleashed the wrath of God onto man bringing punishment forth that man cannot endure, I sent my sole to physics hell just because I criticize Newton!

I challenge any person to bring proof about any part where any of my theory might be incorrect and furthermore I challenge any Academic in physics to prove that Newton's mass pulling mass is anything other than fraud. I charge any one to bring proof that the cosmos is contracting by the force of mass and that mass produce gravity as Newton advocated when he committed the biggest fraud of all times. If you are of the opinion it is ridiculous that I say that Newton committed fraud then answer the allegations I make and which I prove. I know every Newtonian is shouting for my scalp on hearing this allegation and I did consider the penalties I possibly face that goes with such allegations before I made the allegations.

If you visit :

- 6) **The VeracityOfGravity**
- 7) **Anopen letterOnGravityPart1 Volume1+2**
- 8) **Anopen letterOnGravityPart2 Volume1+2**
- 9) **Anopen letterAboutInvestigatingKepler**
- 10)**TheDissertationabouttheAbsoluteBasisofPhysicsformingGravity**
- 11)**Newton'sMythology(ortobe betterinformedread):**
- 12)**SirIsaacNewton: AConspiracytoDefraudScience**

Which is the work I propose that any person should read in the order that I suggest above, should any person be interested in finding out more about what was never yet revealed. Then, before venturing into the printed work, please make sure you have read the recommended e-book titles. There is a very good reason why I suggest you follow this path. Everything you are about to learn this approach you will encounter is in all aspects very new to science. What ever you know about cosmology or about physics, you will venture into a Universe you have never encountered before and the logic and the proof that I build on in the printed titles I derive from the proof I give in the e-books where I then do not repeat the proof I claim and which I give. but first you must get better informed, so treat yourself to astonishing information by downloading books introduced in www.singularetyrelevancy.com.

Read and find out why I say cosmic science has arrived covered with a new coat and a fresh view.

After all it is free of charge and convince your mind that there is more to physics than what Mainstream science brings you.

To download www.singularetyrelevancy.com in Adobe then press www.singularetyrelevancy.com

Or go directly to Lulu.com

and ask for

www.singularityrelevancy.com

to be introduced to The Absolute Relevancy

of Singularity

WELCOME ALL you and those that are DISBELIEVERS TO

www.SIRNEWTONSFRUAD.com

WRITTEN BY PETRUS S. J. SCHUTTE (Peet Schutte)

KOSMOLOGIESE EN ASTRONOMIESE TEGNIKA

All rights are reserved.

No part, parts or the entirety of this book may be reproduced by publishing, electronically copied, duplicated by whatever means that form reproduction or duplication, without the prior written consent of the copy rite owner.

Newtonians uphold their law of physics without showing mercy. The very first things the Newtonians use to beat us into submission are to blast us with incomprehensible mathematical formulas.

Incomprehensible they are but it is to scare anyone with the mathematical equations to get everyone hiding. They bewilder you with equations that put the fear of God into you; used simply to make you feel inferior so that they can feel superior and frown down on your inferiority from a dizzy height.



What Newton show that should place planets according to mass is not used by nature.

I show what nature uses namely the Titius Bode law, The Roche limit, The Lagrangian Points and the Coanda effect and how this forms gravity as well as place the positions of the planets in accordance with singularity. Because I trash Newton's rubbish that does not fit and that can't apply no publisher of science books or science magazines will publish my work I show what goes on in nature while Newton's contribution of mass applying is total rubbish. Because I call it rubbish and I rubbish Newton I am ignored.

They are masters at manipulating anyone into a state of senselessness.but mostly that they do onto themselves.That they do because it forms the backbone of their fraud.They do not wish you to read closer and to find the fraud they hide to protect Newton. Ignore their mathematics because it only shows their incompetence to understand physics or Newton and see the fraud they propagate...

They employ mathematics to bewilder and that is all. I am going to show what we can uncover underneath what they cover. Look at what the mathematics supposedly says and then wake up, they are using maths as a scare tactic for three centuries to scare the daylight out of you and all this while its been working! Looking at the formula shows just how little Newton understood physics. Do not get scared as everyone usually does when see and get frightened then consequently as a reaction to find survival you turn on your heels and run. Don't run, just read on and see how simple it is to prove Newton was a backward dark aged sod!

I am going to show you how miserably incompetently incorrect this formula of Newton is when shown what the cosmos has in place. However, since I don't support Newton's blunders my work goes unpublished by science magazines and science publishers.

Bring me any mathematical formula Newton devised where he used mass as a factor and I show you how far the cosmos discards all of his claims. Newton is hugely wrong.

What the cosmos does use Newtonians reject because they can't explain it, they know too little about physics and secondly it rubbishes whatever fraud Newton thought up.

As you read the title of the book

www.SIRNEWTONSFRUAD.com

I know and realise that you are disgusted by my attitude when I degrade the name on which physics are founded. In this introduction part I am going to show you just some of the deceptions all students are forced to believe since all physics students are forced to believe in Newton, **Sir Isaac Newton** that is.

In the following am giving you a choice. You can say I am going to commit fraud by aligning the

planets' positions according to mass but then Newton has committed the fraud because I only follow his lead. If I am judged to be the culprit that is guilty of deception then it is because Newton misled me. You can choose.

You are expected to believe the following: Newton stated under the nametag of Kepler that there is so called Conversions for "

This entire book with its content forms part of

<http://www.sirnewtonsfraud.com/> or

www.questionablescience.net and

<http://www.singularityrelavancy.com/>