Vol. 14(4), pp. 129-143, April, 2020 DOI: 10.5897/JMPR2020.6905 Article Number: 9EC92A663380

ISSN 1996-0875 Copyright © 2020 Author(s) retain the copyright of this article http://www.academicjournals.org/JMPR



Full Length Research Paper

Antimycobacterial activities, cytotoxicity and phytochemical screening of extracts for three medicinal plants growing in Kenya

François Nimbeshaho^{1,2}, Charity Ngina Mwangi¹, Fred Orina³, Meryl Chacha³, Nicholas Adipo³, Jones O. Moody¹ and Elizabeth M. Kigondu³

¹Pan African University Life and Earth Sciences Institute (Including Health and Agriculture)-University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria.

²Centre de Recherche en Sciences Naturelles et Envionnementales-Université du Burundi, Burundi.

³Kenya Medical Research Institute-Nairobi, Kenya.

Received 3 January, 2020; Accepted 24 February, 2020

Tuberculosis (TB), an airborne disease, is among the ten leading deadly diseases worldwide. Despite the efforts of WHO and its partners to eradicate it, it is still a public health issue especially with the rise of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) and extensively drug- resistant tuberculosis (XDR-TB). Commiphora species (Burseraceae family) are known in the Kenyan traditional medicine to treat respiratory diseases including TB. In the search of new anti-TB alternative drugs, plant materials from Commiphora mildbraedii Engl. (root bark and stem bark), Commiphora edulis (Klotzsch) Engl. (stem bark and leaves) and C. ellenbeckii Engl. (Stem bark and leaves) were tested for antimycobacterial activity, cytotoxicity and phytochemistry. 100 g of the powdered plant materials were macerated using the serial method with solvents of increasing polarity. Aqueous extraction was carried out by decoction. The microbroth dilution method was used to determine the antimycobacterial activity (MIC) against a model Mycobacterium smegmatis ATCC607 while the cytotoxicity evaluation (CC50) was carried out using the MTT assay. The most active extract was fractionated using preparative TLC and fractions were analysed by GC-MS. Thirty extracts were obtained from the 6 different plant materials and eleven of them exhibited the antimycobacterial activity with the methanolic extracts of the stem and root bark of C. mildbraedii, and the aqueous extract of the C. ellenbeckii leaves exhibiting high activities (MIC= 0.39, 0.78 and 0.78 mg/L respectively). The MTT assay showed no or low cytotoxicity. The GC-MS analysis of the preparative TLC fractions from the methanolic extract of C. mildbraedii revealed the presence of 42 compounds belonging to 10 different classes of phytochemicals. Lup-20(29)-en-3-one and o-xylene were the most abundant. Except o-xylene and α-terpineol, all the compounds were detected for the first time in the Commiphora genus. These findings justify the ethnomedicinal uses of Commiphora species in TB treatment.

Key words: C. mildbraedii, C. ellenbeckii, C. edulis, antimycobacterial activity.

INTRODUCTION

Tuberculosis (TB) is an airborne contagious disease caused by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Despite all the efforts of the World Health Organization (WHO) and its partners to eradicate this disease, TB continues to be a

public health concern. TB is one of the top 10 causes of death worldwide. In 2017, there were an estimated 10 million new TB infections worldwide. People co-infected with HIV and TB accounted for 9% of the total

and the majorities were adults up to 90% (aged ≥15 years). TB is also the main cause of deaths related to antimicrobial resistance and the leading killer of people with HIV. Globally, the TB mortality rate has widely decreased from 23% in 2000 to 16% in 2017 and the TB incidence rate is generally reducing to about 2% yearly worldwide (WHO, 2018). This reduction of the incidence is contrasted with the continuous rise of drug resisting TB strains, hence the need for developing new intervention methods. In 2017, an estimation of 558 000 new cases with resistance to rifampicin (RR-TB) was reported and eighty-two percent (82%) of those cases had multi-drug resistance-tuberculosis (MDR-TB). Moreover, an estimated 8.5% of people with MDR-TB had extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR- TB). About 23% of the world's population has latent TB infection and is therefore prone to develop active TB disease during their lifetime (WHO, 2018). It is for these major reasons that researches carried out these days, focus more on developing new alternatives to counteract this hectic problem of drug resistance in bacterial infections and particularly in TB.

Synthetic drugs are not the only focal point, nature provides also a great reservoir of medicinally active ingredients that could alleviate or suppress this phenomenon of drug resistance (Zumla et al., 2013). Commiphora plants (myrrh plants) are well known in various cultures to have medicinal virtues including treating infectious diseases. The myrrh plants (Commiphora sp.) belonging to the Burseraceae family are among the most popular plants that have been in use since time immemorial.

The myrrh genus (Burseraceae family) is the richest in terms of species among the flowering plants of the Burseraceae family. The plant list reports that it comprises approximately 208 species (https://www.plantlist.com). They are shrubs and trees mostly distributed throughout the sub-tropical regions of Africa, the western Indian Ocean islands, the Arabian Peninsula, India and Vietnam (Daly et al., 2011). The genus Commiphora has been exploited worldwide as a natural drug to treat pain, skin infections, inflammatory conditions, diarrhea, periodontal diseases, and wounds 2007; Abdul-Ghani et al., 2009). Its (Nomicos, ethnomedicinal uses, pharmacology and phytochemistry have been thoroughly reviewed by Hanuš et al. (2005) and Shen et al. (2012).

Egyptians used *C. myrrha* early 4000 years ago, in the process of embalming bodies and its perfume was used in spiritual rites (Abdul-Ghani et al., 2009). In the Islamic inspired culture, it was utilized for the treatment of intestinal parasites, diarrhea, wound treatment,

persistent chest ailments (Ghazanfar, 1994). Chinese medicine exploits *C. myrrha* for the treatment of wounds, dysmenorrhea, abnormal blood clotting (thrombosis) (Hanuš et al., 2005), for toothache, oral ulcer, tumor, inflammatory diseases and for acroanesthesia (Shoemaker et al., 2005). Pharmacological studies carried on *C. myrrha* proved that it exhibits good antimicrobial activity. It was tested against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria and some fungal strains, and it inhibited the growth of the tested strains (Omer et al., 2011, Alhussaini et al., 2015).

South Africans valued *C. molmol* (or *C. mollis*) for the treatment of fever (malaria and typhoid), wound healing, cancer, ulcer, rheumatic conditions, colds, nasal congestion and coughing (Van Wyk et al., 2002). It is reported that the methanolic extracts of *C. molmol* exhibited high antibacterial activity against Grampositive bacteria than tested Gram-negative bacteria with MIC ranging from 31.25 to 500 μ g/mL (Abdallah et al., 2009). In another study, the oil from *C. molmol* has strong activity against clinical *S. aureus* isolates including multi-drug resistant strains (Mohammed and Samy, 2013).

In Nigeria, the seed decoction of *C. Africana* is traditionally used for expelling tapeworms (McGuffin et al., 2000). An alkaloid isolated from *C. africana* presented antimicrobial activities against all the test microorganisms (Banso and Mann, 2006). On the other hand, the chloroform and methanolic extracts (leaves and stem) of ten South African *Commiphora* species including *C. africana, C. schimperi, C. grandulosa, C. marlothii, C. mollis, C. neglecta, C. pyracanthoides, C. tenuipetiolata, C. viminea* were tested for the antimicrobial activity and were found to possess high bioactivity with MICs of 0.01-8.00, 0.25-8.00 and 1.00-8.00 mg/ml against Gram-positive bacteria, yeasts and Gramnegative bacteria respectively (Paraskeva et al., 2008).

In folk medicine, the bark infusion of *C. edulis* was used to treat malaria and its roots, leaves, and stem are used in the treatment of stomachache, menstrual problems and spirits related illnesses (Orwa et al., 2009). Paraskeva et al. (2008) tested *C. edulis* for the antimicrobial activity and found that it was able to inhibit the growth of yeasts and Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria tested with MIC ranging from 2-8 mg/mL.

The literature provides a number of reports where some *Commiphora* species were tested for antimycobacterial activity using different *Mycobacteria* model strains and the pathogenic *M. tuberculosis*, a pre-requisite step in TB drug discovery.

The essential oil from the fresh aerial parts of C.

^{*}Corresponding author. E-mail: nimbeshahof@gmail.com.

opobalsamum L., a plant used by Saudi Arabians to treat infectious problems (Shen et al., 2007) was evaluated for the antimicrobial activity and exhibited a good activity with IC₅₀ of 80, 90, 150 and 15 μg/mL against *Candida glabrata*, *C. krusei, Cryptococcus neoformans*, and *Mycobacteria intracellulare* respectively (Al-Massarany et al., 2008). The yellowish sap from the stem of *C. mukul* (guggul) is well known in Ayurvedic medicine over 2000 years for the treatment of arthritis inflammation, hyperlipidemia related diseases and to improve the hepatic antioxidant defense system (Al-Rejaie, 2012). It is also known to treat some infections and is reported to exhibit good antimycobacterial activity against two models of Mycobacteria, *M. aurum* and *M. smegmatis* with a MIC 62.5 μg/mL for both (Newton et al., 2002).

Tanzanian pastoralists use aromatic saps from the stem bark of *C. eminii* in the treatment of skin infections, gastrointestinal infections, wounds and as well as dry and blood cough (Erasto, 2012). Two sterols (4 -methylcholest-7-en-3-ol and cholest-7-en-3-ol) isolated from the sap of *C. eminii* stem bark were tested for antimycobacterial activity against *Mycobacterium madagascariense* and *Mycobacterium indicus pranii* and only the second sterol (cholest-7-en-3-ol) exhibited antimycobacterial activity with MIC values of 1.6 mg/mL against both *Mycobacteria* strains used.

Indigenous tribes in the Northeast of Brazil utilize C. leptophloeos as an infusion, tea or syrup for the treatment of their illnesses, such as infectious and inflammatory ones (Silva et al., 2011). Hinokinin, a compound that was isolated from C. leptophloeos presented antimicrobial activity against a variety of microbes with MIC values ranging from 0.0485 to 3.125 mg/mL (against different S. aureus clinical isolates) and showed a Methicillinbactericidal activity against resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolated from blood with of MMC= 0.40 mg/mL. Additionally, the C. leptophloeos extracts were tested for antimycobacterial and it exhibited moderate activity against M. smegmatis (MIC=12.5 mg/mL) and M. tuberculosis (MIC=52 mg/mL) (De Souza et al., 2017). The Maasai community of southern Kajiado District of Kenya utilizes Commiphora sp. for various medicinal uses including the treatment of killing chiggers. open wounds, as a skin moisturizer and for the treatment of respiratory diseases such as coughing tuberculosis (Kiringe, 2006).

Kenyan biodiversity is so rich in *Commiphora* species but less has been subject to studies for proving the above mentioned ethnomedicinal uses. Therefore, this study was designed to establish the antimycobacterial, cytotoxicity and phytochemical properties of selected African *Commiphora* species growing in Kenya. These include *Commiphora mildbraedii* Engl., endemic in regions ranging from Ethiopia to Kenya, and *Commiphora edulis* (Klotzsch) Engl. And *Commiphora ellenbeckii* Engl. found abundantly in Kenya, Ethiopia and Tanzania (Vollesen, 1989). This work intends then to verify the efficacy and safety of the three *Commiphora* plants used

in the treatment of TB by the Kenyan local communities; and to screen their bioactive compounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant collection

Plant materials consisting of *C. mildbraedii* stem and root bark, *C. edulis* stem bark and leaves and *C. ellenbeckii* stem bark and leaves were identified by a taxonomist and collected in various locations of Kenya. *C. edulis* was collected at Chekbor, Marakwet County and *C. mildbraedii* and *C. ellenbeckii* were collected at Kibwezi forest, Kenya. Voucher specimen were prepared and deposited at the University of Eldoret Herbarium, Eldoret, Kenya where they were assigned voucher numbers: CFW/31/1/19/003 for *C. edulis*, CFW/3/2/19/008 for *C. mildbraedii* and CFW/3/2/19/010 for *C. ellenbeckii*.

Sample processing

Drying of the plant materials was carried out in open-air and well-ventilated room for about one month. Once the plant materials were dry, grinding was undertaken using an electric mill "Christy 8 MILL, No. 51474" to obtain a coarse powder.

Chemical reagents

Chemicals that were utilized in the various experiments were bought from Merck-Sigma Aldrich. All the reagents were of analytical grade.

Plant extracts preparation

Organic solvent extracts were prepared using the serial or successive extraction method with solvents of increasing polarity: nhexane-dichloromethane (DCM)- ethyl acetate (EtOAc)- methanol (MeOH). 100 g of the powdered samples were soaked successively. For each solvent, the maceration was carried twice before using the following solvent. The filtrates were concentrated under vacuum, dried on open-air for two weeks and kept in a dark place until needed. Aqueous extracts were prepared separately from the fresh powdered sample. A quantity of 50 g of the plant material was mixed with about 300 mL of water and placed in a warm water bath (60°C) for 2 h. After the filtration using normal Whatman filter paper (45 μm), the filtrate was placed in a round-bottomed flask, cooled and coated with dry-ice in acetone. The coated flasks were freezedried using the freeze-dryer machine Butchi, LYOVAPOR L-300 for about 24 h. The aqueous dried samples were kept in the dark and at 4°C to prevent re-humidification.

Antimycobacterial activity

Preparation of the broth 7H9 media

Powdered Middlebrook 7H9 broth was dissolved in distilled water and glycerol was added up to 0.2%. The mixture was autoclaved at 121°C for about 15 min and cooled down. A sterile preprepared tween 80 (20%) in distilled water was added on the broth media up to 0.25% to prevent clumping and the volume was topped up with the Middlebrook oleic acid-albumin-dextrose (OADC) enrichment up to 10%.

Preparation of plant extracts stock solution

A sufficient volume (10 mL) of plant extract stock solution at 100 mg/mL was prepared. The organic plant extract (100 mg) was first dissolved in 100 μ L dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and diluted with 9.9 mL of broth 7H9 media (supplemented with Middlebrook OADC and Tween 80 20%) which brought the DMSO to 1% in the whole solution (Dorin et al., 2001). Aqueous extract stock solutions were prepared with the broth 7H9 media. A volume of 2 to 4 mL of each plant extract was filter-sterilized and stored at 4°C until when needed for experimentation.

Inoculum preparation

A reference strain of *Mycobacterium smegmatis* ATCC607 was used to test the extracts for the antimycobacterial activity. The existing seed stock at the Tuberculosis laboratory, Centre for Respiratory Diseases Research-Kenya Medical Research Institute was diluted in the ratio 1:1000 (v/v) with filter-sterilized broth media in a 750 mL culture bottle and pre-cultured for 16 h at 37°C with no shaking. The pre-grown inoculum was again diluted in the ratio 1:1000 at the time of use (Kigondu, 2015).

Broth microdilution method

The method was carried out according to Collins and Franzblau (1997) with some slight modifications. A two-fold dilution in a 96well plate was used to determine the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC99). The broth media 7H9 (50 µL) was added in all the wells, except the first column where the initial concentration of plant extracts was added. Each plant extract was tested in duplicate (100 μL of plant extract solution 100 μg/mL in column 1), with two rows (1st and 8th) serving as negative control and positive control respectively. The two-fold serial dilution was carried out by transferring 50 µL of the content (plant extract + broth media) of the wells of the first column to the next wells until the wells of the 12th column are reached, where 50 µL was aspired off. An aliquot (50 μL) of the pre-grown inoculum (M. smegmatis ATCC607) was added in all wells except the row 1 wells serving as the control. The total volume in each well was 100 µL and the initial concentration was diluted to 50 µg/mL by adding the inoculums solution. After sealing the micro plates with parafilm paper, they were placed in a tight box and incubated for 48 h at 37°C.

Freshly prepared resazurin blue dye (20 μ L) at 0.01% in distilled water was added and the plates were incubated for another 24 h. The change in color from blue to pink indicated the growth of microorganisms (Inactivity of the plant extract) and the non-color change indicated the inhibition of growth of microorganisms (the activity of the plant extract). Rifampicin was used as the reference standard drug in this study.

Cytotoxicity evaluation of the bioactive plant extracts

The cytotoxicity assessment of the active plant extracts against M. smegmatis ATCC607 was carried out according to the MTT-based assay, a method described by Mosmann (1983). Vero cells were grown to confluence. They were trypsinized and the required seeding density of 2.10^5 cells per mL (20,000 cells/ $100~\mu$ L) was determined. The cells were seeded ($100~\mu$ L of the cells suspension) into the 96-well plates and incubated at 37° C for 24 h at 5% CO₂, 80% humidity for the cells to adhere conveniently into the wells. The maintenance media ($35~\mu$ L) was added in the plates with cells that were incubated the day before, bringing the volume to $135~\mu$ L in each well.

An aliquot of 15 µL of the plant extract solution (at 10,000 µg/mL in PBS) was then added in all row H wells. The mixture was homogenized and this brought the total volume per well to 150 µL and the plant extract concentration to 1000 µg/mL. A three-fold serial dilution was performed from row H to row B, with row A serving as a positive control (non-drug-treated cells). The last 50 µL picked from row B was discarded. The micro plates were closed and incubated at 37°C for 48 h at 5% CO₂, 80% humidity. Rifampicin was used as a reference standard drug. For each test sample, two columns were used as a negative control (media and plant extract only) and the tests were carried out in quadruplicates. After 48 h of incubation, 10 µL MTT dye was added to all the wells and the plates incubated for another 4 h (at 37°C at 5% CO2, 80% humidity) until a purple precipitate was clearly visible in the wells under the light of a microscope. The liquid content of the wells was aspirated off and 100 µL DMSO was added to dissolve the formazan crystals (attached into the wells) produced by viable cells. The absorbance was read using ELISA plate reader at 540 nm with a reference wavelength of 720 nm. The percentage cell viability at different extracts concentration was obtained using this formula:

Percentage cell viability =
$$100 - \frac{A_t - A_b}{A_c - A_b} * 100$$

Where, At is the absorbance value of the test compound, Ab the absorbance value of the negative control (blank) and Ac the absorbance value of the positive control. The cytotoxic concentration of plant extract which reduces at 50% the Vero cells (CC50 value) was estimated using a linear regression equation (Y= aX + b) obtained after plotting the percentage cell viability against drug concentration.

Preliminary phytochemical screening

The phytochemical screening of the active plant extracts was carried out according to Harborne (1984) with minor modifications. Alkaloids were screened using the Dragendorff's reagent, the flavonoids using the alkaline method, phenols by the ferric chloride method, the tannins by the ferric chloride method on a pre-heated sample, the terpenoids by the chloroform-sulphuric acid method and the saponins by the foaming method.

Preparative TLC fractionation

Preparative TLC was carried out on the most active plant extract using a glass plate pre-coated with silica gel 60 PF₂₅₄, 2 mm of thickness. The sample was loaded continuously from left to right 5 mm above the base of the plate and the best solvent system (Hexane: ethyl acetate in ratio 6: 4) obtained from the TLC experiment was applied. After development, the different bands were scraped off from the plates and dissolved into the most polar solvent of the system, that is, ethyl acetate. The fractions were analyzed further by a Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS) machine.

Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis (GC-MS)

The samples were diluted in ethyl acetate. They were filtered through PTFE 0.45 μ m syringe filters and transferred into autosampler vials for GC-MS analysis. A Shimadzu QP 2010-SE GC-MS with an auto-sampler was used for the analysis. Ultrapure Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/ minute. A BPX5 non-polar column, 30 m; 0.25 mm ID; 0.25 μ m film thickness, was used for separation. The GC was programmed as follows: 50°C (1 min); 5°C/min to 250°C (4 min). The total run-time was 45

Deterior name	Dout					
Botanical name	Part	n-hexane	DCM	EtOAc	MeOH	H ₂ O
C mailalla ma a alii	Stem bark	1.51	0.18	0.27	7.5	7
C. mildbraedii	Root bark	2.59	1.65	0.32	3	3
C. edulis	Stem bark	0.21	0.34	0.15	10	24
C. Edulis	Leaves	1.7	1.06	0.42	10	20
0 -11	Stem bark	1.69	0.99	0.21	10	10
C. ellenbeckii	Leaves	0.25	0.82	0.57	8.33	18

Table 1. Percentage yield of extracts obtained from the selected six plant materials of the 3 plants

DCM=Dichloromethane; EtOAc= Ethyl acetate; MeOH= Methanol; H_2O = Water.

min. Only 1 μ L of the sample was injected. The injection was done at 200°C in split mode, with a split ratio set to 10:1. The interface temperature was set at 250°C. The electron-ion (EI) source was set at 200°C. Mass analysis was done in full scan mode, 50 – 600 m/z. Detected peaks were matched against the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST 2014 MS library) for possible identification.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Extraction percentage yield

The organic solvent and aqueous extractions of the six well-dried plant materials (2 plant parts per plant) led to thirty (30) extracts. Table 1 reports the percentage yield of the extraction with the different solvents. The non-polar and moderate solvents (n-hexane, DCM and Ethyl acetate) extracted more in the root bark (0.32-2.59%) than in the stem bark (0.18-1.51%) for *C. mildbraedii*, while those solvents extracted more in the leaves (0.42-1.7%) than in the stem bark (0.15-0.34%) for *C. edulis*. Hexane and DCM extraction yielded more in the stem bark (1.69% and 0.99%) than in the leaves (0.25% and 0.82%) for the *C. ellenbeckii*. On the contrary, it was not the case for the ethyl acetate extraction.

Extraction using methanol yielded generally comparable quantities of extracts for the different parts of plants under study, except for the *C. mildbraedii* where the yield was higher in the stem bark (7.5%) than in the roots (3%). Moreover, the methanolic extracts represented the major yields compared to others. A comparison between different solvents indicated that methanol is capable of extracting higher quantities and more types of phytochemicals (Truong et al., 2019; Gahlot et al., 2018; Dhawan and Gupta, 2017).

Antimycobacterial activity of *Commiphora* sp. plant materials

The antimycobacterial activities of the 30 plant extracts

from the three Commiphora species were evaluated using the broth microdilution method. The assay was performed against M. smegmatis ATCC607 and eleven thirty (30)(11)out the extracts exhibited antimycobacterial activity (Table 2) with MICs ranging from 0.39 to 50 mg/mL. At least one of the plant parts of the 3 Commiphora species under study have showed antimycobacterial activity with C. mildbraedii proving to be more active than the other two species i.e. C. edulis and C. ellenbeckii. The methanolic extracts of C. mildbraedii stem bark and root bark, and the aqueous extract of C. ellenbeckii showed greater bioactivity with MICs less than 1000 µg/mL (0.39, 0.78, 0.78 mg/mL respectively). The highest MIC (50 mg/mL) was recorded for the DCM extract of C. edulis leaves, hence the less active among the tested plant extracts. All the tested plant extracts showed lower activity than the one of rifampicin, the reference drug (MIC= 0.015 mg/mL).

The best bioactivity of the methanolic and ethyl acetate extracts is supported by the qualitative phytochemical screening which proved that those extracts in general, contain phenols, flavonoids, terpenoids, saponins, tannins, and alkaloids (Table 4). Indeed, those bioactive molecules are well known to exhibit antimicrobial activity (Newton et al., 2002; Cushnie and Lamb, 2011, Gupta et al., 2012, Akiyama et al., 2001, Liu and Henkel, 2002; Alves et al., 2013).

Nevertheless, further work for purification of the most active extracts, isolation and testing of the purified compounds are worth to be carried out for a thorough understanding of the active principles behind this antimycobacterial property.

The *Commiphora* genus has been used since time immemorial as an antimicrobial agent (Hanuš et al., 2005). Researchers have assessed several species within this genus for the antimicrobial activity including antibacterial, antifungal and antimycobacterial activity.

The findings obtained from this study can therefore be compared to the findings from previous studies. For instance, *C. edulis* was investigated for the antibacterial

Botanical name	Part		MIC99 (mg/ml	9 (mg/mL)		
botanical name		n-hexane	DCM	EtOAc	MeOH	H ₂ O
0	Stem bark	NA	NA	9.35	0.39	NA
Commiphora mildbraedii	Root bark	NA	NA	6.25	0.78	NA
O - duli-	Stem bark	NA	1.56	3.125	NA	NA
C. edulis	Leaves	NA	50	NA	NA	NA
C. allambaakii	Stem bark	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.125
C. ellenbeckii		N.1.A	N 1 A	40 =	0.405	0.70

Table 2. Antimycobacterial activity (expressed as MIC99) of the different extracts obtained from the 3 *Commiphora* species under study against *M. smegmatis* ATCC607.

NA = Not active at highest tested concentration (50 mg/mL). MIC_{99} for the rifampicin (reference standard drug) = 0.015 mg/mL.

NA

Leaves

property *Commiphora* species have been evaluated for the antibacterial activity, including *C. myrrha* (Alhussaini et al., 2015), *C. caudata* and *C. berryi* (Latha et al., 2005), *C. molmol* (Abdallah et al., 2009, Kuete et al., 2011, Mohammed and Samy, 2013), *C. gileadensis* (Iluz et al., 2010; Al-Sieni, 2014; Al-Mahbashi et al., 2015), *C. swynertonii* (Mkangara et al., 2014), *C. africana*, *C. shimperi*, *C. grandulosa*, *C. marlothii*, *C. negleta*, *C. pyracanthoides*, *C. tenuipetiola*, *C. veminea* (Paraskeva et al., 2008), *C. guidottii* (Gebrehiwot et al., 2015), *C. pedunculata* (Sallau et al., 2014), *C. kerstingii* (Ibrahim et al., 2016). The antifungal activity was demonstrated in *C. wightii* (Fatopea et al., 2013), *C. kua* (Berzinji et al., 2014), *C. wildii* (Sheehama et al., 2019) and *C. guidottii* (Gebrehiwot et al., 2015).

Four Commiphora species so far have been subjected to antimycobacterial evaluation and some of the results obtained are comparable to the ones attained in this study. In a study carried out by De Souza et al. (2017), the chloroform extract of C. leptophloeos stem bark was tested against M. smegmatis and M. tuberculosis and proved to have antimycobacterial activity with MICs of 12.5 and 52 mg/MI respectively, while dichloromethane extract of *C. eminii* sap and the sterols isolated from it were tested against M. madagascariense and M. indicus pranii and showed same activity of MIC at 2.5 and 1.6 mg/mL respectively for the DCM extract and the isolated sterols (Erasto, 2012).

This is comparable to the MIC₉₉ (1.56 mg/mL) of the DCM extract of *C. edulis* stem bark obtained in this study. In another study, the *C. mukul* gum was tested against *M. aurum* and showed a MIC of 62.5 μ g/ml (Newton et al., 2002), while *C. opobalsamum* essential oils from the fresh aerial parts exhibited good activity (MIC = 15 μ g/mL) against *M. intracellulare* (Al- Massarany et al., 2008). The reported results for *C. mukul* and *C. opobalsamum* essential oils are better than the ones obtained from this study. This implies that further studies using essential oils or gum from the *Commiphora* species under investigation here are needed for proper

comparison. Nevertheless, the present study demonstrated the antimycobacterial activity of *C. mildbraedii*, *C. edulis and C. ellenbeckii*, which has not been reported previously.

3.125

0.78

12.5

Cytotoxicity of the active extracts

NA

The MTT-based assay and the Vero cells were used to assess the cytotoxicity of the eleven plant extracts. The CC50 values of the active plant extracts ranged from 339.65 ± 1.38 to $1734.05 \pm \mu g/mL$, as presented in Table 3. The active plant extracts tested showed low or no cytotoxicity compared to the reference standard drug rifampicin. The aqueous extract of *C. ellenbeckii* leaves and the ethyl acetate extract of *C. edulis* stem bark presented the lowest cytotoxicity, at CC50 of 1509.64 and $1734 \mu g/mL$ respectively, compared to rifampicin.

According to Zirihi et al. (2005) and Kigondu et al. (2009), the plant extracts can be considered non-cytotoxic when their CC_{50} values > 20 μ g/mL. However, the literature reports that South African *C. edulis* stem bark and leaves chloroform/methanolic extracts exhibited high cytotoxicity ($CC_{50} = 194.0 \ \mu$ g/mL and $CC_{50} = 99.5 \ \mu$ g/mL respectively) when tested against Graham cells (Paraskeva et al., 2008).

Based on the findings reported here, there is need to carry out further studies to investigate the *in vivo* antimycobacterial activity and toxicity of the *Commiphora* species used in this study.

Preliminary phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening of various extracts of the plant materials used in this study as presented in Table 4 revealed the presence of alkaloids, phenols, terpenoids, saponins, flavonoids, tannins. The active extracts of *C. mildbraedii* seemed contain similar phytochemicals either in the stem bark or in the root bark with minor variability.

420.15 ± 12.59

608.53 ± 43.62

1509.647±67.47

527.65 ± 48.30

Botanical name	Plant part	Solvent used	Mean CC ₅₀ (μg/mL)
	Stem bark	EtOAc	432.65 ± 9.41
C mailalbura a dii	Stem bark	MeOH	452.80 ± 4.37
C. mildbraedii	Doot hould	EtOAc	339.65 ± 1.38
	Root bark	MeOH	559.30 ± 35.37
0 - 4.1.	Ota banda	DCM	393.54 ± 36.64
C edulis	Stem bark	EtOAc	1734.05± 186.04
	Leaves	DCM	506.41 ± 26.08
	Stem bark	Aqueous	448.62 ± 19.00

EtOAc

MeOH

Aqueous

Table 3. Cytotoxicity evaluation (expressed as CC₅₀) of the bioactive plant extracts on Vero cells.

Table 4. Preliminary phytochemical screening of the active plant extracts from the Commiphora sp. under studies.

Leaves

Botanical	Plant	0.1	Parameter					
name	part	Solvent	Alkaloids	Saponins	Phenols	Tannins	Flavonoids	Terpenoids
	Chara hards	EtOAc	+	-	+	-	-	+
	Stem bark	MeOH	-	+	+	-	-	+
C. mildbraedii	Doot book	EtOAc	+	-	+	+	+	-
_	Root bark	MeOH	-	+	+	+	-	-
0 1"	Stem bark	DCM	-	-	-	-	+	+
C. edulis		EtOAc	-	-	-	-	+	-
	Leaves	DCM	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Stem bark	Aqueous	-	+	+	+	-	+
C. ellenbeckii		EtOAc	-	-	-	-	+	-
C. Choribcom	Leaves	MeOH	+	+	+	+	+	-
		Aqueous	-	+	+	+	+	+

C. edulis was found to contain a small number of classes of metabolites, at the same time only flavonoids and terpenoids were detected in its active extracts (DCM and ethyl acetate extracts).

C. ellenbeckii

Rifampicin

The active extracts (aqueous extract of the stem bark and ethyl acetate, methanol and aqueous extracts of the leaves) of *C. ellenbeckii* generally manifested the presence of all the tested phytochemicals. Some or all the phytochemicals detected in the *Commiphora* plants used in this study have been reported present in other species of this genus. These include *C. africana* root bark (Okwute and Ochi, 2017) and stem bark (Nuhu et al., 2016), *C. myrrha* resins (Chandrasekharnath et al., 2013), *C. opobalsamum* aerial parts (Al-Howiriny et al., 2004), *C. caudata* and *C. pubescens*leaves (Deepa et al.,

2009), *C. berryi* stem bark (Selvamani et al., 2009), *C. gileadensis* stem bark (Al-Mahbashi et al., 2015), *C. mukul* stem bark and seeds (Singh et al., 2016), *C. kerstingii* leaves (Ibrahim et al., 2016), *C. pedunculata* stem bark (Sallau et al., 2014), *C. guidottii* (Gebrehiwot et al., 2015) and others.

All the detected phytochemicals have been investigated for their antimicrobial properties including antibacterial, antimycobacterial and antifungal activities. Reports from the literature show that alkaloids have antibacterial (Karou et al., 2006) and antimycobacterial (Newton et al., 2002) activities, while flavonoids (Cushnie and Lamb, 2011) and tannins have antibacterial activity (Akiyama et al., 2001; Lerato, 2017). Terpenoids (Gupta et al., 2011), saponins (Liu and Henkel, 2002) and phenols exhibit

antimicrobial activity against a wide range of bacteria and fungi (Alves et al., 2013).

However, it is recommendable to further investigate other phytochemicals that have not been included in this present work. Meanwhile, this is the first time that phytochemical screening of the *C. mildbraedii*, *C. edulis* and *C. ellenbeckii* is being reported to the best of my knowledge.

Preparative thin layer chromatography (p-TLC) and gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis of the methanolic extract of *C. mildbraedii* stem bark

The most active plant extract (MIC₉₉= 0.39 mg/mL), that is the methanolic extract of the stem bark of C. mildbraedii, was further fractionated by p-TLC using hexane-ethyl acetate (6:4) as the solvent system. Seven bands/layers (F1-F7) were obtained and their scraping from the plate led to five reconstituted fractions in ethyl acetate, FF1-FF5 (with F1 and F2 and F6 and F7 pulled together). A plethora of different bioactive compounds were detected in each fraction (Appendix 1; by the GC-MS analysis). In total, 42 different bioactive compounds belonging to various chemical classes were found to be present across the different fractions (Table 5). Two compounds were detected as the most abundant; triterpenoid- lup-20(29)-en-3-one (lupenone) present in FF3 (45.29% peak area) and FF4 (12.6% peak area) and an aromatic compound o- xylene present in FF1 and FF5 at 10% peak area.

The phytoconstituents revealed by GC-MS can be grouped into saturated hydrocarbons-alkanes (12), unsaturated hydrocarbons-alkenes (5), primary fatty (5), phenols (1), monoterpenoids (2) and triterpenoids (1), indanone (1), aldehydes (2), ethers (2), carboxylic acid (1), carbonate esters (3), fatty acids esters (6) and aromatic hydrocarbon (1). Even though the chemistry of Commiphora plants has been thoroughly studied (Hanuš et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2012), the phytoconstituents detected in C. mildbraedii stem bark (methanolic extract) are almost all newly reported in this genus, except three compounds including α -terpineol detected in the gum resin of C. mukul (Saxena and Sharma, 1998), C. leptophloeos essential oils (Da Silva et al., 2015), C. gileadensis essential oils (Dudai et al., 2017), C. wildii essential oils (Sheehama et al., 2019); tridecene in C. gileadensis essential oils (Dudai et al., 2017) and o-xylene in the C. quadricincta essential oils (Assad et al., 1997). Researchers have paid more attention and studied the most ethnomedicinally used plant part/product, that is, resinous exudates or gum resin and not the other plant parts i.e. leaves, roots or the entire stem bark (Shen et al., 2012). This is a great contribution so far in the study of Commiphora genus chemistry as nobody else has reported on the chemistry

of *C. mildbraedii* before, to the best of my knowledge.

Twenty-three compounds out of the 42 have been reported to exhibit antibacterial. antifungal and antimycobacterial activities (Table 5). The antimycobacterial activity of the methanolic extract of C. mildbraedii may be due to two of its bioactive compounds which have been reported to possess anti-tuberculosis activity, 1-tetradecene (Kuppuswamy et al., 2013) and 1heneicosanol (Poongulali and Sundararaman, 2016). Synergism effect of all the antimicrobial phytochemicals may be responsible for the high antimycobacterial activity of the named plant extract under study (Doern, 2014) because the most abundant detected components, that is, lup- 20(29)-en-3-one (Madureira et al., 2003) and oxylene (Tiwari et al., 2016) are reported to have good antibacterial activity. Thus, the C. mildbraedii methanolic extract is also presumably a potential antimicrobial agent against different bacteria and fungi strains based on the reports from the literature on the bioactivities of compounds detected by GC-MS in this study.

Conclusion

The methanolic extract of *C. mildbraedii* stem bark presented the highest antimycobacterial activity and the active plant extracts showed low cytotoxicity. The phytochemical screening revealed that the active extracts of *C. mildbraedii* contain all the tested bioactive phytochemicals except the flavonoids. GC-MS analysis of the p-TLC fractions identified 42 different compounds with 39 compounds being detected for the first time in *Commiphora* genus. Twenty-three (23) of them are reported in the literature to have antimicrobial activities with two particular compounds, 1-tetradecene and 1-heneicosanol being reported to have anti-tuberculosis activity.

The results provided in this study therefore constitute an additional and reliable contribution to the study of the complex and interesting *Commiphora* genus. The findings justify the ethnomedicinal practices of different cultural societies which utilize the *Commiphora* species to treat respiratory diseases including TB.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the African Union through the Pan African University, and The World of Academic Science (TWAS) for the financial support. Our gratitude goes also to Mr. Bernard K. Wanjohi (University of Eldoret, Kenya) for his help in the identification and collection of the plant materials.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

Table 5. Summary of detected compounds by GC-MS and their reported bioactivities.

S/N	Compounds	Formula	Bioactivity
		Alkanes	
1	2,6,10-trimethyltridecane	C ₁₇ H ₃₆	Unknown
2	Decane	$C_{10}H_{24}$	Unknown
3	Cyclooctacosane	C ₂₈ H ₅₆	Antibacterial when derivatized (Ali et al., 2011)
4	Dodecane	C ₁₂ H ₂₆	Antioxidant and antimicrobial (Nandhini et al., 2015)
5	Heneicosane	C ₂₁ H ₄₄	Antioxidant and antimicrobial (Nandhini et al., 2015)
6	Heptadecane	C ₁₇ H ₃₆	Antibacterial activity (Uma and Parvathavarthini, 2010)
7	Hexadecane	C ₁₆ H ₃₄	Antibacterial activity (Kalpana et al., 2012).
8	Pentadecane	C ₁₅ H ₃₀	Cytotoxic activity and antimicrobial (Bruno et al., 2019), antibacterial activity (Uma and Parvathavarthini, 2010)
9	Tetradecane	C ₁₄ H ₃₀	Antifungal (Guo et al., 2008) and antibacterial activities (Rahbar et al., 2012)
10	Undecane	C ₁₁ H ₂₄	Unknown
11	Nonane, 1-iodo-	$C_9H_{19}I$	Unknown
12	Nonane, 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethyl-	C ₁₆ H ₃₄	Unknown
		Alkenes	
13	1-dodecene	C ₁₂ H ₂₄	antibacterial activity (Togashi et al., 2007)
14	1-tetradecene	C ₁₃ H ₂₆	Antibacterial, anti-tuberculosis (Kuppuswamy et al., 2013)
15	1-tricosene	C ₂₃ H ₄₆	Unknown
16	1-tridecene	C ₁₃ H ₂₆	Antibacterial activity (Kumar et al., 2011)
17	Z-5-Nonadecene	C ₁₉ H ₃₈	Unknown
		Primary fatty alcohols	
		Primary ratty accomors	Antibacterial and antifungal activities (Arancibia
18	1-Heneicosanol	C ₂₁ H ₄₄ O	et al., 2016), anti-tuberculosis activity (Poongulali and Sundararaman, 2016)
19	1-heptacosanol	C ₂₇ H ₅₆ O	Unknown
20	n-nonadecanol	C ₁₉ H ₄₀ O	Antibacterial activity (Chatterjee et al., 2017)
21	n-tetracosanol	C ₂₄ H ₅₀ O	Antiproliferative effect (Vergara et al., 2015)
22	n-pentadecanol	$C_{15}H_{32}O$	Antibacterial activity (Chatterjee et al., 2017)
		PhenoIs	
23	2,4-di-ter-butylphenol	C ₁₄ H ₂₂ O	Antifungal activity (Sang et al., 2012), antimalarial activity (Kusch et al., 2011)
		Monoterpenoids	
24	Terpin	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ O ₂	Unknown
25	L-α-terpineol	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O	Antioxidant, anticancer, anticonvulsant, insecticidal (Khaleel et al., 2018)
			insecticidal (Mialeel et al., 2010)
		Triterpenoids	
26	Lup-20(29)-en-3-one	C ₃₀ H ₄₈ O	Anti-HIV properties (Callies et al., 2015), antibacterial activity (Madureira et al., 2003)
		Indanone	
27	1H-Inden-1-one, 2,3-dihydro-	C ₉ H ₈ O	Antimicrobial activity (Patil et al., 2017)
		Aldehydes	
28	E-14-Hexadecenal	C ₁₆ H ₃₀ O	Unknown
29	E-15-Heptadecenal	C ₁₇ H ₃₂ O	Antibacterial and antioxidant activities (Kumar et al., 2011).

Table 5. Contd.

		Ethers	
30	Ethanol, 2-butoxy-	C ₆ H ₁₄ O ₂	Mild antimicrobial activity ((Bailey and Neihof, 1976)
31	Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	$C_7H_{16}O$	Unknown
		Carboxyilic acids	
32	Pentanoic acid, 3-methyl-4-oxo-	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₃	Antimicrobial, antioxidant and anticancer activities (Madkour et al., 2017)
		Carbonate esters	
33	Carbonic acid, eicosyl vinyl ester	C ₂₃ H ₄₄ O ₃	Unknown
34	Carbonic acid, decyl 2-ethylhexyl ester		Unknown
35	Carbonic acid, 2-ethylhexyl octyl ester	C ₁₉ H ₃₈ O ₃ C ₁₇ H ₃₄ O ₃	Unknown
		Fatty acids esters	
36	Isopropyl myristate	C ₁₇ H ₃₄ O ₂	antioxidant and antimicrobial activity (Chandrasekar et al., 2016, Begum et al., 2016)
37	Isopropyl palmitate	C ₁₉ H ₃₈ O ₂	hepatoprotective and mild anticancer properties (Saxena et al., 2007)
38	Eicosyl trifluoroacetate	$C_{22}H_{41}F_3O_2$	Unknown
39	Heneicosyl heptafluorobutyrate	C ₂₅ H ₄₃ F ₇ O ₂	Unknown
40	Tetradecyl trifluoroacetate	$C_{16}H_{29}F_3O_2$	Unknown
41	Trifluoroacetoxy hexadecane	$C_{18}H_{33}F_3O_2$	Antifungal activity (Ibrahim et al., 2017)
		Aromatic hydrocarbons	
42	O-xylene	C ₆ H ₄ (CH ₃) ₂	Antioxidant, antimicrobial and antifungal activities (Tiwari et al., 2016)

REFERENCES

- Abdallah EM, Khalid AS, Ibrahim N (2009). Antibacterial activity of oleogum resins of *Commiphora molmol* and *Boswellia papyrifera* against Methicillin Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Scientific Research Essay 4(4):351-356.
- Abdul-Ghani RA, Loutfy N, Hassan A (2009). Myrrh and trematodoses in Egypt: an overview of safety, efficacy and effectiveness profiles. Parasitology International 58(3):210- 214.
- Akiyama H, Fujii K, Yamasaki O, Oono T, Iwatsuki K (2001). Antibacterial action of several tannins against Staphylococcus aureus. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherap 48(4):487-491.
- Al-Howiriny TA, Al-Sohaibani MO, Al-Said MS, Al-Yahya MA, El-Tahir KH, Rafatullah S (2004). Hepatoprotective properties of *Commiphora opobalsamum* ("BALESSAN"), a traditional medicinal plant of Saudi Arabia. Drugs and Experimental Clinical Research 30(5-6):213-220.
- Alhussaini SM, Saadabi AM, Alghonaim IM, Ibrahim EK (2015). An Evaluation of the Antimicrobial Activity of *Commiphora myrrha* Nees (Engl.) Oleo-gum Resins from Saudi Arabia. Journal of Medicinal Sciences 15(4):198-203.
- Ali KA, Hosni HM, Ragab EA, El-Moez SIA (2011). Synthesis and Antimicrobial Evaluation of Some New Cyclooctanones and Cyclooctane-Based Heterocycles. Archiv der Pharmazie, 345(3), 231-239.
- Al-Mahbashi MH, El-Shaibany A, Saad AF (2015). Evaluation of acute toxicity and antimicrobial effects of the bark extract of Bisham (*Commiphora gileadensis* L.). Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research 7(6): 810-814.

- Al-Massarany MS, Abbas AF, Demirci B, Baser HCK, Khan IS, Al-Rehaily JA, Jaber S, Mossa SJ, Abourashed AE (2008). Chemical Composition and Biological Evaluation of the Essential Oil of *Commiphora opobalsamum* L. Journal of Herbs, Spices & Medicinal Plants 13(4):111-121.
- Al-Rejaie SS (2012). Effect of oleo-gum-resin on ethanol induced hepatotoxicity in rats. Journal of Medical Sciences 12(1):1-9.
- Al-Sieni IIA (2014). The antibacterial activity of traditionally used Salvadora persica I. (miswak) and Commiphora gileadensis (palsam) in Saudi Arabia. African Journal of Traditional, Complementary and Alternative Medicine 11(1): 23-27.
- Alves MJ, Ferreira ICFR, Froufe HJC, Abreu RMV, Martins A, Pintado M (2013). Antimicrobial activity of phenolic compounds identified in wild mushrooms, SAR analysis and docking studies. Journal of Applied Microbiology 115(2):346-357.
- Arancibia LA, Naspi VC, Pucci NG, Arce EM, Colloca BC (2016). Biological activity of 1-heneicosanol isolated from *Senecio coluhuapiensis*, an endemic species from Patagonia, Argentina. Pharmaceutical Chemistry Journal *3*(4):73-77.
- Bailey CA, Neihof RA (1976). Biocidal Properties of Anti-Icing Additives for Jet Aircraft Fuels. Naval Research Lab Washington DC, ADA022839. Available online at https://apps.dtic.mil/docs/citations/ADA022839
- Banso A, Mann A (2006). Antimicrobial alkaloid fraction from *Commiphora africana* (A. Rich). Journal of Pharmacy and Bioresources *3*(2):98-102.
- Barzinji AKR, Nasher AK, Mothana RA, Al-Hamadi MMS (2014). *In vitro* Antimalarial activity of selected Yemeni plants used in traditional

- medicine. International Journal of Medicinal Plants-Photon 107:526-535.
- Begum FI, Mohankumar R, Jeevan M, Ramani K (2016). GC–MS Analysis of Bio- active Molecules Derived from *Paracoccus pantotrophus* FMR19 and the Antimicrobial Activity against Bacterial Pathogens and MDROs. Indian Journal of Microbiology 56(4):426-432
- Bruno F, Castelli G, Migliazzo A, Piazza M, Galante A, Verde VL, Calderone S, Nucatolo G, Vitale F (2019). Cytotoxic Screening and In Vitro Evaluation of Pentadecane against *Leishmania infantum* Promastigotes and Amastigotes. Journal of Parasitology 101(6):701-705
- Callies O, Bedoya LM, Beltrán M, Muñoz A, Calderón PO, Osorio AA, Jiménez AI, Alcamí J, Bazzocchi IL (2015). Isolation, Structural Modification, and HIV Inhibition of Pentacyclic Lupane-Type Triterpenoids from Cassine xylocarpa and Maytenus cuzcoina. Journal of Natural Products 78(5):1045-1055.
- Chandrasekar T, Rao MRK, Kumar RV, Prabhu K, Nandha KV, Divya D (2016). GC–MS analysis, antimicrobial, antioxidant activity of an Ayurvedic medicine, Nimbapatradi Choornam. Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research 7(9):124-136.
- Chatterjee S, Karmakar A, Azmi SA, Barik A (2017). Antibacterial Activity of Long- Chain Primary Alcohols from *Solena amplexicaulis* Leaves. Proceedings of the Zoological Society 71(4):313-319.
- Collins L, Franzblau SG (1997). Microplate Alamar Blue Assay versus BACTEC 460 System for High-Throughput Screening of Compounds against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* and *Mycobacterium avium*. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 41:1004-1009.
- Cushnie TT, Lamb AJ (2011). Recent advances in understanding the antibacterial properties of flavonoids. International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents 38(2):99-107.
- Daly DC, Harley MM, Martinez-Habile MC, Weeks A (2011). Burseraceae. In The Families and Genera of Vascular plants (ed. K. Kubtzki), 10:76-104. Available at https://www.springer.com/series/1306
- De Souza PJJ, Pereira PCA, Jandú JJB, Da Paz JA, Crovella S, Dos Santos CMT, De Azevêdo SJ (2017). *Commiphora leptophloeos*: Phytochemical and Antimicrobial Characterization. Frontiers Microbiology 8:52.
- Deepa SV, Kumar SP, Latha S, Selvamani P, Srinivasan S (2009). Antioxidant studies on the ethanolic extract of *Commiphora* spp. African Journal of Biotechnology 8(8):1630-1636.
- Dhawan D, Gupta J (2017). Comparison of Different Solvents for Phytochemical Extraction Potential from *Datura metel* Plant leaves. International Journal of Biological Chemistry 11(1):17-22.
- Dorin D, Le Roch K, Sallicandro P, Alano P, Parzy D, Poullet P, Meijer L, Doerig C (2001). Pfnek-1, a NIMA-related kinase from the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*. European Journal of Biochemistry *268*(9):2600-2608.
- Dudai N, Shachter A, Satyal P, & Setzer NW (2017). Chemical composition and monoterpenoid enantiomeric distribution of the essential oils from Apharsemon (Commiphora gileadensis). Medicines 2017(4):66. doi:10.3390/medicines4030066.
- Erasto P (2012). Antimycobacterial Sterols from Aromatic Stem Sap of *Commiphora eminii* Engl. *Journal of Advanced Scientific Research*, 3(4), 27-31. Available online through http://www.sciensage.info/jasr
- Evans CW (2009). Trease and Evans-Pharmacognosy (16th Edition).

 Elsevier. Available at https://www.academia.edu/38664516/Trease_and_Evans_Pharmacognosy_SIXTEENTH_EDITI_ON
- Fatopea MO, Al-Burtomania SKS, Ocheib JO, Abdulnoura AO, Al-Kindya SMZ, Takeda Y (2003). Muscanone: α-3-O-(1", 8 ", 14 " trimethylhexadecanyl) naringenin from Commiphora wightii. Phytochemistry 62(8):1251-1255.
- Gebrehiwot M, Asres K, Bisrat D, Mazumder A, Lindemann P, Bucar F (2015). Evaluation of the wound healing property of *Commiphora guidottii* Chiov. ex. Guid. Complementary and Alternative Medicine 15:282.
- Ghazanfar SA (1994). Handbook of Arabian Medicinal Plants. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, P 176. Available online through https://www.crcpress.com/Handbook-of-Arabian-Medicinal-Plants/Ghazanfar/p/book/9780849305399

- Guo L, Wu J, Han T, Cao T, Rahman K, Qin L (2008). Chemical Composition, Antifungal and Antitumor Properties of Ether Extracts of Scapania verrucosa Heeg. And its Endophytic Fungus Chaetomium fusiforme. Molecules 13(9):2114-2125.
- Gupta A, Kaul A, Tsolaki AG, Kishore U, Bhakta S (2012). *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: Immune evasion, latency and reactivation. Immunobiology 217(3):363-374.
- Gupta N, Saxena G, Kalra SS (2011). Antimicrobial activity pattern of certain terpenoids. International Journal of Pharmacology and Biological Sciences 2(1):87-91.
- Hanuš OL, Renzanka T, Dembitsky MV, Moussaieff A (2005). Myrrh-Commiphora Chemistry Biomedical Papers 149(1):3-28.
- Harborne JB (1984). Phytochemical Methods. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht. Available online through https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-94-009-5570-7
- Ibrahim T, Gidado Muluh K, Alexander A (2016). Phytochemical Screening, Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of *Commiphora kerstingii*. International Biological and Biomedical Journal 2(3):127-133
- Iluz D, Hoffman M, Gilboa-Garber N, Amar Z (2010). Medicinal properties of *Commiphora gileadensis*. African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 4(8):516-520.
- Kalpana DV, Shanmugasundaram R, Mohan VR (2012). GC–MS analysis of ethanol extracts of *Entada pursaetha* Dc. seed. Bioscience Discovery *3*(1):30-33.
- Karou D, Savadogo A, Canini A, Yameogo S, Montesano C, Simpore J, Colizzi V, Traore SA (2006). Antibacterial activity of alkaloids from Sida acuta. African Journal of Biotechnology 5(2):195-200.
- Khaleel C, Tabanca N, Buchbauer G (2018). α -Terpineol, a natural monoterpene: A review of its biological properties. Open Chemistry 16(1):349-361.
- Kigondu EVM, Rukunga GM, Keriko JM, Tonui WK, Gathirwa JW, Kirira PG, Irungu B, Ingonga JM, Ndiege IO (2009). Anti-parasitic activity and cytotoxicity of selected medicinal plants from Kenya. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 123(3):504-509.
- Kigondu ME (2015). Repurposing chlorpromazine and its metabolites for anti-tuberculosis drug discovery. PhD dissertation, University of Cape Town. Available online through https://open.uct.ac.za/handle/11427/16702
- Kiringe WJ (2006). A Survey of Traditional Health Remedies Used by the Maasai of Southern Kaijiado District, Kenya. Ethnobotany Research and Applications 4: 061-073.
- Kuete V, Wiench B, Hegazy M-E, Mohamed T, Fankam A, Shahat A, Efferth T (2011). Antibacterial Activity and Cytotoxicity of Selected Egyptian Medicinal Plants. Planta Medica 78(02):193-199.
- Kumar V, Bhatnagar AK, Srivastava JN (2011). Antibacterial activity of crude extracts of *Spirulina platensis* and its structural elucidation of bioactive compound. Journal of Medicinal Plants Research 5(32):7043-7048.
- Kuppuswamy MK, Jonnalagadda B, Arockiasamy S (2013). GC-MS Analysis of Chloroform extract of *Croton bonplandianum*. International Journal of Pharmacology and Biological Sciences 4(4):613-617.
- Kusch P, Deininger S, Specht S, Maniako R, Haubrich S, Pommerening T, Lin TKP, Hoerauf A, Kaiser A (2011). *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Antimalarial Activity Assays of Seeds from *Balanites aegyptiaca*: Compounds of the Extract Show Growth Inhibition and Activity against *Plasmodial Aminopeptidase*. Journal of Parasitology Research ID 368692, pp 1-9.
- Latha S, Selvamani P, Sen JD, Gupta KJ, Pal T, Ghosh LK (2005). Antibacterial activity of *Commiphora caudata* and *Commiphora berryi* leaves. Indian Drugs 42(10):696-698.
- Liu J, Henkel T (2002). Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM): are polyphenols and saponins the key ingredients triggering biological activities? Current Medicinal Chemistry 9(15):1483-1485.
- Madkour HMF, Ghareeb MA, Abdel-Aziz MS, Khalaf OM, Saad AM, El-Ziaty AK, Abdel-Mogib M (2017). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis, antimicrobial, anticancer and antioxidant activities of n-hexane and methylene chloride extracts of *Senna italica*. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences 7(06):023-032.
- Madureira AM, Ascenso JR, Valdeira L, Duarte A, Frade JP, Freitas G, Ferreira MJU (2003). Evaluation of the Antiviral and Antimicrobial Activities of Triterpenes Isolated from *Euphorbia segetalis*. Natural

- Product Research 17(5):375-380.
- McGuffin MJT, Kartesz A, Keung A, Tuker AO (2000). Herbs of Commerce. American Herbal Products Association (2nd edition). Available online through https://law.resource.org/pub/us/cfr/ibr/001/ahpa.herbs.1992.pdf
- Mkangara M, Chacha M, Erasto PK (2014). Antimicrobial and Cytotoxicity Efficacy of *Commiphora swynnertonii* (Burtt) Extracts. International Journal of Science and Research, 3(7):2319-7064.
- Mohammed EA, Samy AS (2013). Antimicrobial activity of essential oil and methanol extract from *Commiphora molmol* (Engl.) resin. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2(12):1-6.
- Mosmann T (1983). Rapid Colorimetric Assay for Cellular Growth and Survival: Application to Proliferation and Cytotoxicity Assays. Journal of Immunological Methods 65(1-2):55-63. Nandhini SU, Sangareshwari S, Lata K (2015). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry analysis of bioactive constituents from the marine Streptomyces. Asian Journal of Pharmacology and Clinical Research 8(2):244-246.
- Newton SM, Lau C, Gurcha SS, Besra GS, Wright CW (2002). The evaluation of forty-three plant species for in vitro antimycobacterial activities; isolation of active constituents from *Psoralea corylifolia* and *Sanguinaria canadensis*. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 79(1):57-67.
- Nomicos NY (2007). Myrrh: medical marvel or myth of the Magi? Holistic Nursing Practice, 21(6):308-323.
- Nuhu A, Danmalam UH, Ilyas N, Zakariya AM, Shehu S, Jajere UM (2016). Evaluation of Antiulcer Activity of Commiphora africana (A. Rich) Engl. (Burseraceae) Stem- bark Extracts Using Ethanol Induced Ulcer Model in Albino Rats. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 5(3):15-25.
- Okwute SK, Ochi IO (2017). Phytochemical analysis and cytotoxic activity of the root extract of *Commiphora africana* (Caesalpiniaceae). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 6(6):451-454.
- Omer SA, Adam SEI, Mohammed OB (2011). Antimicrobial activity of *Commiphora myrrha* against some bacteria and *Candida albicans* isolated from gazelles at King Khalid Wildlife Research Centre. Research Journal of Medicinal Plant 5(1):65-71.
- Orwa C, Mutua A, Kindt R, Jamnadass R, Anthony S (2009).

 Agroforestree Database: a tree reference and selection guide version 4.0.

 Retrieved from [http://www.worldagroforestry.org/sites/treedbs/treedatabases.asp.]
- Paraskeva MP, Van Vuurena SF, Van Zyl RL, Davids H, Viljoen AM (2008). The *in vitro* biological activity of selected South African *Commiphora* species. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 119(3):673-679
- Patil SA, Patil R, Patil SA (2017). Recent developments in biological activities of indanones. European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry 138:182-198.
- Poongulali S, Sundararaman M (2016). Antimycobacterial, anticandidal and antioxidant properties of *Terminalia catappa* and analysis of their bioactive chemicals. International Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences 6(2):69-83.
- Rahbar N, Shafagha A, Salimi F (2012). Antimicrobial activity and constituents of the hexane extracts from leaf and stem of *Origanum vulgare* L. sp. *Viride* (Boiss.) Hayek. Growing wild in Northwest Iran. Journal of Medicinal Plant Research 6(13):2681-2685.
- Sallau MS, Tajuddeen N, Ndukwe GI, Musa AM, Dambatta BM, Sani YM (2014). Phytochemical and antimicrobial properties of Commiphora pedunculata (engl) stem bark extracts. Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences 7(1):101-104.
- Sang MK, Kim KD (2012). The volatile-producing *Flavobacterium johnsoniae* strain GSE09 shows biocontrol activity against *Phytophthora capsici* in pepper. Journal of Applied Microbiology 113(2):383-398.
- Saxena M, Faridi U, Srivastava SK, Darokar MP, Mishra R, Pal A, Shisodia B, Khanuja S PS (2007). A Cytotoxic and Hepatoprotective Agent from *Withania somnifera* and Biological evaluation of its Ester Derivatives. Natural Product Communications *2*(7).
- Saxena VK, Sharma RN (1998). Constituents of the essential oil from Commiphora mukul gum resin. In: Hanuš OL, Renzanka T, Dembitsky MV, Moussaieff A. (2005). Myrrh- Commiphora Chemistry. Biomedical Papers 149(1):3-28.

- Selvamani P, Sen JD, Gupta KJ (2009). Pharmacognostical standardization of *Commiphora berryi* (Arn) Engl and phytochemical studies on its crude extracts. African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology 3(2):037-046.
- Sheehama JT, Mukakalisa C, Amakali T, Uusiku LN, Hans RH, Nott K, Nott A, Louw S (2019). Chemical characterization and in vitro antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of essential oil from *Commiphora wildii* Merxm. (omumbiri) resin. Flavour and Fragrance Journal 34(4):241-251.
- Shen T, Li G-H, Wang X-N, Lou H-X (2012). The genus *Commiphora*: A review of its traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 142(2):319-330.
- Shen T, Wan W, Yuan H, Kong F, Guo H, Fan P, Lou H (2007). Secondary metabolites from *Commiphora opobalsamum* and their antiproliferative effect on human prostate cancer cells. Phytochemistry 68(9):1331-1337.
- Shoemaker M, Hamilton B, Dairkee SH, Cohen I, Campbell MJ (2005). *In vitro* anticancer activity of twelve Chinese medicinal herbs. Phytotherapy Research 19(7):649-651.
- Silva MIG, De Melo CTV, Vasconcelos LF, De Carvalho AMR, Sousa FCF (2011). Bioactivity and potential therapeutic benefits of some medicinal plants from the Caatinga (semi-arid) vegetation of Northeast Brazil: a review of the literature. Revista Brasileira de Farmacognosia *22*(1):193-207.
- Singh A, Chawhan SE, Tiwari A (2016). Phytochemical screening of *Commiphora Mukul* Seeds and Bark Powder: A Comparative Studies. International Journal for Innovative Research in Science and Technology 2(9):157-159.
- Tiwari S, Mishra S, Misra RD, Upadhyay R (2016). Identification of New Bioactive Compounds from Fruit of *Abutilon indicum* through GC-MS Analysis. Biological Forum-An International Journal 8(1):548-554.
- Togashi N, Shiraishi A, Nishizaka M, Matsuoka K, Endo K, Hamashima H, Inoue Y (2007). Antibacterial activity of long-chain fatty alcohols against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Molecule 12(2):139-148.
- Truong D-H, Nguyen DH, Ta NTA, Bui AV, Do TH, Nguyen HC (2019). Evaluation of the Use of Different Solvents for Phytochemical Constituents, Antioxidants, and *In Vitro* Anti-Inflammatory Activities of *Severinia buxifolia*. Journal of Food Quality ID 8178294, pp. 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8178294
- Uma B, Parvathavarthini R (2010). Antibacterial effect of hexane extract of sea Urchin, *Temnopleurus alexandri* (Bell, 1884). International Journal of PharmTech Research, 2(3):1677-1680.
- Van Wyk BE, Van Heerden F, Van Oudtshoorn B (2002). *Poisonous Plants of South Africa*. Briza Publications, South Africa. P 288. Available at https://www.researchgate.net/publication/280314250_Poisonous_Plants_of_South_Africa
- Vergara M, Olivares A, Altamirano C (2015). Antiproliferative evaluation of tall-oil docosanol and tetracosanol over CHO-K1 and human melanoma cells. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology 18(4):291-294.
- Vollesen K (1989). *In Burseraceae. Flora of Ethiopia.* Hedberg I., Edwards S., Eds., Addis Ababa University Press, Addis Ababa, 3:442-478.
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2018). Global Tuberculosis Report 2018. Available online through https://www.aidsdatahub.org/sites/default/files/publication/WHO_Global_TB_Report_2018.pdf
- Zirihi GN, Mambu L, Guédé-Guina F, Bodo B, Grellier P (2005). *In vitro* antiplasmodial activity and cytotoxicity of 33 West African plants used for treatment of malaria. Journal of Ethnopharmacology 98(3):281-285
- Zumla A, Raviglione M, Hafner R, von Reyn CF (2013). Tuberculosis. New England Journal of Medicine 368(8):745-755.

Appendix 1. Compounds detected by GC-MS analysis in different prep-TLC fractions FF1-FF5 of *C. mildbraedii* stem bark MeOH extract.

Prep-TLC fraction	R _f value	GC-MS detected constituents	Retention time	Area (%)
1	0.20-0.33	Pentanoic acid, 3-methyl-4-oxo-	3.05	1.62
		Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	3.24	0.90
		o-Xylene	5.29	9.37
		1-Dodecene	10.07	0.91
		Cyclohexanemethanol,4-hydroxy-α,α,4-trimethyl- or p-menthane-1,8-diol or Terpin	12.33	0.94
		1-Tridecene	13.09	2.02
		Tetradecane	13.18	1.48
		Pentadecane	14.55	0.73
		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	14.88	1.37
		Tetradecyl trifluoroacetate	15.77	3.01
		Hexadecane	15.84	1.73
		Heptadecane	17.06	0.92
		n-Nonadecanol-1	18.17	3.29
		Heptadecane	18.22	1.97
		Isopropyl myristate	18.52	1.59
		Nonane, 1-iodo-	19.33	0.92
		n-Nonadecanol-1	20.33	3.17
		Heneicosane	20.37	1.34
		Isopropyl palmitate	20.64	3.91
		1-Tricosene	21.39	2.26
		n-Tetracosanol-1	22.45	3.68
		1-Heptacosanol	25.30	2.46
		Carbonic acid, 2-ethylhexyl octyl ester	27.42	1.46
2	0.47	Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	3.24	1.02
		Ethanol, 2-butoxy-	5.42	1.00
		Undecane	6.86	0.81
		1-Dodecene	10.07	1.01
		Dodecane	10.18	0.65
		1-Tridecene	13.09	1.99
		Tetradecane	13.18	1.45
		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	14.88	1.33
		E-15-Heptadecenal	15.77	3.02
		Hexadecane	15.84	1.78
		Heptadecane	17.06	0.66

		Z-5-Nonadecene	18.17	3.16
		Heptadecane	18.22	1.58
		Isopropyl myristate	18.52	1.45
		n-Pentadecanol	19.28	0.57
		Heneicosane		0.27
			19.33	
		n-Nonadecanol-1	20.33	3.06
		Heneicosane	20.37	1.33
		Isopropyl palmitate	20.64	3.83
		Trifluoroacetoxy hexadecane	21.39	1.38
		n-Tetracosanol-1	22.45	2.64
		1-Heptacosanol	25.30	3.32
		Carbonic acid, 2-ethylhexyl octyl ester	27.41	2.06
3	0.6	Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	3.24	0.52
		Undecane	6.86	0.43
		1-Dodecene	10.07	0.51
		Dodecane	10.18	0.35
		1-Tridecene	13.09	1.15
		Tetradecane	13.18	0.83
		Pentadecane	14.55	0.30
		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	14.88	0.80
		E-15-Heptadecenal	15.77	1.73
		Hexadecane	15.84	1.02
		Heptadecane	17.06	0.38
		n-Nonadecanol-1	18.17	1.95
		Heptadecane	18.22	0.96
		Isopropyl myristate	18.53	0.94
		n-Nonadecanol-1	20.33	1.79
		Heneicosane	20.37	0.80
		Isopropyl palmitate	20.64	2.32
		Heneicosyl heptafluorobutyrate	21.39	2.08
		1-Heneicosanol	22.45	1.39
		2,6,10-Trimethyltridecane	22.49	0.33
		Eicosyl trifluoroacetate	25.30	1.39
		Lup-20(29)-en-3-one	30.91	45.29
4	0.73	Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	3.24	45.29 0.95
7	0.73	Undecane	6.86	0.95
		1-Dodecene	10.07	
				0.87
		Dodecane	10.18	0.73
		L-alpha-Terpineol	10.44	0.73

		1H-Inden-1-one, 2,3-dihydro-	12.01	0.48
		Nonane, 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethyl-	12.08	0.33
		1-Tetradecene	13.09	1.84
		Tetradecane	13.18	1.26
		Pentadecane	14.55	0.66
		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	14.88	1.00
		E-15-Heptadecenal	15.77	2.53
		Hexadecane	15.84	1.45
		Heptadecane	17.06	0.60
		n-Nonadecanol-1	18.17	2.72
		Heptadecane	18.22	1.37
		Isopropyl myristate	18.52	1.28
		n-Pentadecanol	19.27	0.57
		Heptadecane	19.32	0.19
		n-Nonadecanol-1	20.33	2.41
		Heneicosane	20.37	1.16
		Isopropyl palmitate	20.63	3.13
		n-Tetracosanol-1	21.39	1.44
		1-Heneicosanol	21.39	1.21
		Carbonic acid, decyl 2-ethylhexyl ester	22.45 27.42	1.65
			30.81	12.60
		Lup-20(29)-en-3-one	30.81	12.00
5	0.8-0.87	Pentane, 1-ethoxy-	3.24	1.10
		o-Xylene	5.29	10.42
		Decane	6.86	0.89
		1-Dodecene	10.07	1.02
		1-Tridecene	13.09	1.67
		Tetradecane	13.18	1.13
		2,4-Di-tert-butylphenol	14.88	1.12
		E-14-Hexadecenal	15.77	2.97
		Hexadecane	15.84	1.82
		n-Nonadecanol-1	18.16	3.00
		Heptadecane	18.22	1.32
		Isopropyl myristate	18.52	1.57
		Carbonic acid, eicosyl vinyl ester	19.32	1.73
		n-Nonadecanol-1	20.33	2.57
			20.33 20.37	
		Heneicosane	20.37	1.28