Your source for the latest research news

Science News

from research organizations

Holistic way to measure the economic fallout from earthquakes

Share:	f ♥ p in ■			
Summary:	Officials know how to account for deaths, injuries and property damages after the shaking stops, but a study based on a hypothetical 7.2 magnitude quake near San Francisco, describes the first way the estimate the far greater financial fallout that such a disaster would have especially on the poor			
Source:	Stanford School of Engineering			
Date:	April 21, 2020			

FULL STORY

When an earthquake or other natural disaster strikes, government relief agencies, insurers and other responders converge to take stock of fatalities and injuries, and to assess the extent and cost of damage to public infrastructure and personal property.

But until now, such post-disaster assessment procedures have focused on the dollar value of damages to property while failing to account for something that is equally important but harder to quantify; namely, that the poorer someone or their family is, the harder it is for them to recover and regain their former standard of living.

Now, civil engineers at Stanford, working with economists from the World Bank, have devised the first disaster assessment model that combines the well-understood property damage estimates with a way to calculate two previously nebulous variables -- the community-wide economic impacts caused by disruptions to industry and jobs, and the social costs to individuals and families.

Although such an analysis might seem obvious, no one had ever put brick-and-mortar losses together with pain and suffering consequences until the Stanford civil engineers teamed up with World Bank economists Stephane Hallegatte and Brian Walsh to create this holistic damage assessment model.

A hypothetical scenario

In a study published March 30 in *Nature Sustainability*, the researchers test their model using a hypothetical 7.2 magnitude earthquake on the Hayward fault near San Francisco. "We've developed a financial model that builds upon previous property damage procedures in a way that allows us to quantify the pain and suffering people feel after a quake depending on their socioeconomic status," said study co-author Jack Baker, a professor of civil and environmental engineering at Stanford.

Although the researchers tailored their approach specifically for earthquakes, they hope experts in hurricanes, tornados, floods and other disasters will also adopt the new economic and sociological measures in order to give policymakers new tools to plan for disasters.

Study first author Maryia Markhvida, a former graduate student of Baker's, said the researchers started with traditional models of property damage assessment, and added on top of these a second layer of analysis to quantify a concept called "well-being," which they borrowed from economists and sociologists. The model calculates the incomes and consumption levels of people in different socioeconomic strata to assign a numerical value to well-being, which can be thought of as how people feel about daily life as they recover from a disaster.

The researchers combined the physical damage tools with economic and well-being assessments to create a more holistic model of disaster effects. For example, should the property damage part of their system show that a particular building is likely to collapse, the second layer of analysis would kick in to extrapolate how such structural damage would affect where people work and how it would affect a variety of industries in ways that would trickle down to impact people's incomes and spending power, thus diminishing their sense of well-being.

Policy implications

Much of the income, expense and spending data for the analysis was derived from Census data, which enabled the researchers to tie their well-being calculations to the relative poverty or prosperity of people living in different neighborhoods.

When they estimated the relative loss of well-being for people in each of four income brackets, they found that those at the bottom felt a roughly 60 percent loss of well-being as a fraction of the Bay Area's average annual income, relative to something closer to 25 percent for those at the top (see Chart A available via image download).

The researchers also compared three types of losses for 10 cities in the San Francisco Bay Area (see Chart B available via image download). These calculations factored in the vulnerability of each city's building stock (the number and types of homes, offices and other structures), its proximity to the hypothesized earthquake and other factors such what sort of savings and insurance people had as safety cushions. The chart shows that, even when property damages are roughly equal, well-being losses are larger in cities that have lower-income population and lower household savings.

"It makes sense that the people who have less in the first place feel that life becomes that much harder when they lose some of what little they had," Markhvida said.

The researchers envision that policymakers will use the model to consider in advance how to mitigate the impacts of a quake and speed the region's recovery afterward. They might, for instance, run "what if" exercises to weigh the relative benefits of measures such as tightening building codes, providing incentives to do retrofits or get earthquake insurance, or make contingency plans to extend or expand unemployment benefits.

"This model could help government officials decide which policies provide the best bang for the buck, and also see how they might affect not just potential property damages, but losses to people's sense of well-being," Baker said.

Story Source:

Materials provided by **Stanford School of Engineering**. Original written by Tom Abate. *Note: Content may be edited for style and length.*

Journal Reference:

1. Maryia Markhvida, Brian Walsh, Stephane Hallegatte, Jack Baker. **Quantification of disaster impacts through household well-being losses**. *Nature Sustainability*, 2020; DOI: 10.1038/s41893-020-0508-7

Cite This Page:	MLA	APA	Chicago
-----------------	-----	-----	---------

Stanford School of Engineering. "Holistic way to measure the economic fallout from earthquakes." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 21 April 2020. <www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/04/200421094301.htm>.

RELATED STORIES

Women Firefighters Face High Exposure to Toxic PFAS Chemicals

Feb. 26, 2020 — San Francisco's women firefighters are exposed to higher levels of certain toxic PFAS chemicals than women working in downtown San Francisco offices, shows a new study. The study represents one of ... **read more** »

From Property Damage to Lost Production: How Natural Disasters Impact Economics

Apr. 11, 2018 — When a natural disaster strikes, major disaster databases tend to compile information about losses such as damages to property or cost of repairs, but other economic impacts after the disaster are ... **read more** »

Supercomputers Help Scientists Improve Seismic Forecasts for California

Oct. 24, 2017 — Researchers have used the Stampede1 and 2 supercomputers to complete one of the world's largest earthquake simulation models: The Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast (UCERF3). The ... **read more** »

The Losses That Come After the Earthquake: Devastating and Costly

Aug. 25, 2017 — Nature's most unpredictable and one of her most devastating natural disasters. When high intensity earthquakes strike they can cause thousands of deaths and billions of dollars in damaged property. ... read more \gg

FROM AROUND THE WEB

Below are relevant articles that may interest you. ScienceDaily shares links with scholarly publications in the TrendMD network and earns revenue from third-party advertisers, where indicated.

Nutritional Needs in the NICU—How Prebiotics and Probiotics Can Help Roger Soll, MD, myCME, 2019

Evidence to Practice Expert Roundtable: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Optimizing the Management of Psoriatic Arthritis 🗹

Leon Kircik, MD, myCME, 2019

Optimizing IBS Management through Accurate Disease Assessment and Improved Treatment Selection

Brian E. Lacy et. al., myCME, 2019

The Bidirectional Impact of Heart Failure and Comorbidities—Attention Required Eldrin F. Lewis, MD, myCME, 2019

Critical Assessment and Diagnostic Strategies for HFpEF: A Stepwise Approach for the Cardiovascular Clinician Javed Butler et. al., myCME, 2019

Tackling the Pivotal Issues in Heart Failure: Emerging Best Practices and Innovative Care-Delivery Models Clyde W. Yancy, MD, myCME, 2019

Innovative HF Delivery Models: Putting Patients at the Center of Care Z David E. Lanfear, MD, myCME, 2019

Natriuretic Peptides in the Management of Heart Failure David E. Lanfear, MD, myCME, 2019



Free Subscriptions

Get the latest science news with ScienceDaily's free email newsletters, updated daily and weekly. Or view hourly updated newsfeeds in your RSS reader:

Û





Follow Us

Keep up to date with the latest news from ScienceDaily via social networks:

- **f** Facebook
- ♥ Twitter
- in LinkedIn

Have Feedback?

Tell us what you think of ScienceDaily -- we welcome both positive and negative comments. Have any problems using the site? Questions?



Contact Us

About This Site | Staff | Reviews | Contribute | Advertise | Privacy Policy | Editorial Policy | Terms of Use

Copyright 2020 ScienceDaily or by other parties, where indicated. All rights controlled by their respective owners. Content on this website is for information only. It is not intended to provide medical or other professional advice. Views expressed here do not necessarily reflect those of ScienceDaily, its staff, its contributors, or its partners. Financial support for ScienceDaily comes from advertisements and referral programs, where indicated. Do Not Sell My Personal Information