

## Science News

from research organizations

### Society is rejecting facts; medical researchers can help

*Date:* October 16, 2019

*Source:* Florida Atlantic University

*Summary:* Anecdotes, fake news and social media have created a skeptical and misinformed public who is rejecting the facts. A commentary says that medical researchers must help the public understand the rigorous process of science and help them to discern an anecdote from peer-reviewed scientific results. The best way to do this? By continuing to ensure integrity, rigor, reproducibility and replication of their science and to earn the public's trust by being morally responsible and completely free of any influences.

*Share:*     

#### FULL STORY

One study says coffee is good for you, while another study says that it's not. They're both right, within context. This dichotomy together with an environment of distrust spurred by anecdotes, fake news, and to a large extent, social media, has created a skeptical and misinformed public. As a result, researchers from Florida Atlantic University's Schmidt College of Medicine and collaborators say society is rejecting the facts. Now more than ever, medical researchers must help the public understand the rigorous process of science, which has been around for thousands of years. In return, the public has to pay attention, realize that one size doesn't fit all, and understand that the answers are not just black or white. Lives are depending on it.

In an article published in the *American Journal of Medicine*, the researchers highlight opportunities for academic institutions to achieve and maintain research integrity, which encompasses accountability for all scientific and financial issues, including human subjects' and animal protections, investigator accountability, grant submission, design, conduct, analyses, and interpretation of findings, oversight of colleagues and students, environmental health and safety, among others. Research integrity focuses on the many positive attributes that should be sought and maintained by academic institutions as well as their faculty, staff, and trainees. This includes transparency, rigor, and reproducibility.

The best way for medical researchers to meet this challenge is by continuing to ensure integrity, rigor, reproducibility and replication of their science and to earn the public's trust by being morally responsible and completely free of any influences. Medical researchers have a passion for truth and discovery, therefore, integrity and trust are essential attributes.

"The reason that the public has lost trust and confidence in science is multifaceted and complicated," said Janet Robishaw, Ph.D., senior author, senior associate dean for research, and chair of the Department of Biomedical Science in FAU's Schmidt College of Medicine, and a member of the FAU Brain Institute (I-BRAIN), one of the University's four research pillars. "One of the main reasons is anecdotal stories, which can be very powerful, and are being given too much weight. There's so much news coming out from so many sources including social media. That's why it's imperative for the public to discern an anecdote from scientific results in a peer-reviewed journal. This is how the premise that vaccinations cause autism evolved along with fabricated results that pushed the anti-vaccination movement."

Robishaw and corresponding author Charles H. Hennekens, M.D., Dr.PH, first Sir Richard Doll Professor and senior academic advisor in FAU's Schmidt College of Medicine, stress that research integrity starts with investigators who share the guiding principles of honesty, openness, and accountability and who provide scientific and ethical mentorship to their trainees. As researchers compete for increasingly limited resources and face growing challenges with evolving technologies, broad consensus is required across the research enterprise, including funding agencies, medical journals as well as all academic institutions, to address these increasingly major clinical, ethical and legal challenges.

"Our common goal should be to return public trust in our research enterprise, which has done so much good for so many," said Robishaw. "The more we can do as scientists to promote our guiding principles of rigor, transparency, honesty and reproducibility and to provide the best evidence possible and get people to understand them, the greater the likelihood that they will listen to the message and follow it."

Among the opportunities the authors provide for enhancing research integrity include identifying the best benchmarking practices, establishing a research compliance infrastructure and implementing a quality assurance plan. These priorities should include assessing the research climate, developing policies and responsibilities for ethics investigations, and providing a process for resolution of formal disputes. In addition, establishing lists of independent experts to conduct periodic reviews of institutional procedures could be helpful. Reinforcing existing regulatory policies that include emails regarding grant routing and regulatory policies, and providing both formal and informal training to faculty, staff, and trainees are other suggestions the authors provide.

"We should not allow research misconduct committed by a very small minority of researchers to detract from the growing focus on efforts to improve the overall quality of the research process carried out by the vast majority," said Hennekens. "I continue to believe that the overwhelming majority of researchers strive for and achieve scientific excellence and research integrity."

In conclusion, the authors, which include David L. DeMets, Ph.D., professor emeritus, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health; Sarah K. Wood, M.D., senior associate dean for medical education, and Phillip Boiselle, M.D., dean, both in FAU's Schmidt College of Medicine, emphasize that research integrity requires synchronicity and collaboration between as well as within all academic institutions.

"If we fail to maintain research integrity we will lose public trust and it will lead to avoidable consequences of substantial penalties, financial and otherwise, adverse publicity and reputational damage," said Robishaw. "Scientists must strive to self-regulate and earn public trust to advance health."

---

### Story Source:

Materials provided by **Florida Atlantic University**. Original written by Gisele Galoustian. *Note: Content may be edited for style and length.*

---

### Journal Reference:

1. Article in Press: Accepted Manuscript Janet D. Robishaw, David L. DeMets, Sarah K. Wood, Phillip M. Boiselle and Charles H. Hennekens. **Establishing and Maintaining Research Integrity at Academic Institutions: Challenges and Opportunities**. *American Journal of Medicine*, 2019
- 

### Cite This Page:

MLA	APA	Chicago
-----	-----	---------

Florida Atlantic University. "Society is rejecting facts; medical researchers can help." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 16 October 2019. <[www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2019/10/191016094917.htm](http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2019/10/191016094917.htm)>.

### RELATED STORIES

---

#### Public Trust in Science Spiked After Media Coverage of Zika Vaccine Trial

July 31, 2017 — Does a scientific breakthrough increase confidence in science? The question is raised by a study of public attitudes about trust in science following media coverage of the Zika vaccine trial in ... **read more »**

#### Does the European Public Understand the Impacts of Climate Change on the Ocean?

July 11, 2017 — Although many are relatively well informed, an alarming number remain either uninformed or misinformed when it comes to the impacts of climate change on the ocean, a new in-depth study of the ... **read more »**

#### March Participants Interested in Both Promoting, Defending Science

Apr. 20, 2017 — Encouraging science-based policies and defending science from political attacks are strong motivators for March for Science participants, according to a new survey. Fully 93 percent of respondents ... **read more »**

#### Taking Stock of U. S. Science Literacy Broadly

Mar. 24, 2016 — What does it mean to be science literate? How science literate is the American public? How do we stack up against other countries? What are the civic implications of a public with limited knowledge ... **read more »**

### FROM AROUND THE WEB

---

*Below are relevant articles that may interest you. ScienceDaily shares links with scholarly publications in the TrendMD network and earns revenue from third-party advertisers, where indicated.*

#### [NIH Expanding Undiagnosed Disease Network With New Grants](#)

360Dx, 2018

#### [CPTAC, University of Victoria Working to Expand Targeted Proteomics Assay Portal](#)

Adam Bonislawski, 360Dx, 2016

## [Academic, Community, and Health System Hospitals Face Unique Hurdles Implementing Precision Medicine](#)

Precision Oncology News, 2019

## [In Brief This Week: Bruker, Medcover, BC Platforms, Myriad, and More](#)

staff reporter, 360Dx, 2018

## [Following Newborn Pharmacogenomics Program, Inova Launches PGx Testing for Adults](#)

Monica Heger, 360Dx, 2016

## [Konica Minolta Launches Precision Medicine Business](#)

staff reporter, 360Dx, 2018

## [RTI, PierianDx Collaborate on Clinical Genomics Platform](#)

staff reporter, 360Dx, 2018

## [PrediLife Partners With Institut Curie on Breast Cancer Risk Test](#)

Precision Oncology News, 2019

---

Powered by **TREND MD**



---

## Free Subscriptions

Get the latest science news with ScienceDaily's free email newsletters, updated daily and weekly. Or view hourly updated newsfeeds in your RSS reader:

 [Email Newsletters](#)

 [RSS Feeds](#)

---

## Follow Us

Keep up to date with the latest news from ScienceDaily via social networks:

 [Facebook](#)

 [Twitter](#)


 [LinkedIn](#)

---

## Have Feedback?

Tell us what you think of ScienceDaily -- we welcome both positive and negative comments. Have any problems using the site? Questions?

 [Leave Feedback](#)

 [Contact Us](#)

[About This Site](#) | [Staff](#) | [Reviews](#) | [Contribute](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Editorial Policy](#) | [Terms of Use](#)

Copyright 2020 ScienceDaily or by other parties, where indicated. All rights controlled by their respective owners.

Content on this website is for information only. It is not intended to provide medical or other professional advice.

Views expressed here do not necessarily reflect those of ScienceDaily, its staff, its contributors, or its partners.

Financial support for ScienceDaily comes from advertisements and referral programs, where indicated.

[Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)