

Science News

from research organizations

Spread of coronavirus underestimated, review finds

Date: February 14, 2020

Source: Umea University

Summary: The coronavirus probably has a stronger ability to spread than the World Health Organization has estimated so far, according to a review of previous studies of the coronavirus' transmissibility.

Share:     

FULL STORY

The coronavirus probably has a stronger ability to spread than the World Health Organization has estimated so far. This according to a review of previous studies of the coronavirus' transmissibility performed not least by researchers at Umeå University in Sweden.

"Our review shows that the coronavirus is at least as transmissible as the SARS virus. And that says a great deal about the seriousness of the situation," says Joacim Rocklöv, professor of sustainable health at Umeå University and one of the authors of the study, published in the scientific *Journal of Travel Medicine*.

The World Health Organization estimates that the coronavirus has a transmissibility, expressed as a reproduction number, of between 1.4 and 2.5. A reproduction number is a measurement of how many people a contaminated person transmits the virus to in a previously healthy population. The higher the number, the more transferable the virus is and the higher the risk for rapid spread. When the reproduction number falls below 1.0, the epidemic is likely to die out.

Researchers in Umeå in Sweden, Heidelberg in Germany, and Zhangzhou in China have carried out a review of several scientific studies of the novel coronavirus, COVID-19. In total, the researchers found twelve studies of sufficiently high quality. The studies consisted of estimations of the growth rate based upon the cases observed in the Chinese population, and based upon statistical and mathematical methods.

The earliest studies of the coronavirus indicated a relatively low transmissibility. Thereafter, the transmissibility rose rapidly to stabilise between 2-3 in the most recent studies. The reproduction number in the studies summed up to a mean of 3.28, and a median of 2.79, which is significantly higher than the World Health Organization's estimation of 1.4-2.5.

"When looking at the development of the corona epidemic, reality seems to correspond well to or even exceed the highest epidemic growth in our calculations. Despite all intervention and control activities, the coronavirus has already spread to a significantly higher extent than SARS did," says Joacim Rocklöv.

Story Source:

Materials provided by **Umea University**. Original written by Ola Nilsson. *Note: Content may be edited for style and length.*

Journal Reference:

1. Ying Liu, Albert A Gayle, Annelies Wilder-Smith, Joacim Rocklöv. **The reproductive number of COVID-19 is higher compared to SARS coronavirus.** *Journal of Travel Medicine*, 2020; DOI: 10.1093/jtm/taaa021

Cite This Page:

MLA	APA	Chicago
-----	-----	---------

Umea University. "Spread of coronavirus underestimated, review finds." ScienceDaily. ScienceDaily, 14 February 2020. <www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2020/02/200214111519.htm>.

RELATED STORIES**COVID-19 a Reminder of the Challenge of Emerging Infectious Diseases**

Feb. 28, 2020 — The emergence and rapid increase in cases of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), a respiratory illness caused by a novel coronavirus, pose complex challenges to the global public health, research ... **read more »**

New Coronavirus Emerges from Bats in China, Devastates Young Swine

Apr. 4, 2018 — A newly identified coronavirus that killed nearly 25,000 piglets in 2016-17 in China emerged from horseshoe bats near the origin of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV), which ... **read more »**

Coronavirus Breakthrough: Protein Mutation Affects Spread and Virulence of Respiratory Virus

Nov. 10, 2015 — Researchers have discovered that a mutation in a coronavirus protein slows the spread of the virus in the central nervous system and reduces its neurovirulence. It is the first time that this ... **read more »**

Possible Path Toward First Anti-MERS Drugs

July 27, 2015 — If you haven't heard of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome, thank geography, NGOs, and government agencies like the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the World Health Organization (WHO) ... **read more »**

FROM AROUND THE WEB

Below are relevant articles that may interest you. ScienceDaily shares links with scholarly publications in the TrendMD network and earns revenue from third-party advertisers, where indicated.

[New Virus Linked to Outbreak in China](#)

Ciara Curtin, [GenomeWeb](#), 2020

[Naming a Naughty Virus](#)

Ed Winnick, [GenomeWeb](#), 2020

[Genomic Analysis of MERS Coronaviruses Reveals Complex Transmission Patterns](#)

[GenomeWeb](#), 2013

[Coronavirus Genome Sequencing Finds Distinct Genetic Differences From 2003 SARS Virus](#)

staff reporter, [GenomeWeb](#), 2020

[Analysis of Bat Coronavirus Indicates Similarity to Human SARS-Causing Virus](#)

[GenomeWeb](#), 2013

[Diagnostics Developers Leap Into Action on Novel Coronavirus Tests](#)

Madeleine Johnson, [360Dx](#), 2020

[Diagnostics Developers Leap Into Action on Novel Coronavirus 2019-nCoV Tests](#)

Madeleine Johnson, [GenomeWeb](#), 2020

[Wuhan Doctor Who Warned of Illness Dies](#)

Ciara Curtin, [GenomeWeb](#), 2020

Powered by **TREND MD**



Free Subscriptions

Get the latest science news with ScienceDaily's free email newsletters, updated daily and weekly. Or view hourly updated newsfeeds in your RSS reader:

 [Email Newsletters](#)

 [RSS Feeds](#)

Follow Us

Keep up to date with the latest news from ScienceDaily via social networks:

 [Facebook](#)


 [Twitter](#)

 [LinkedIn](#)

Have Feedback?

Tell us what you think of ScienceDaily -- we welcome both positive and negative comments. Have any problems using the site? Questions?

 [Leave Feedback](#)

 [Contact Us](#)

[About This Site](#) | [Staff](#) | [Reviews](#) | [Contribute](#) | [Advertise](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Editorial Policy](#) | [Terms of Use](#)

Copyright 2020 ScienceDaily or by other parties, where indicated. All rights controlled by their respective owners.

Content on this website is for information only. It is not intended to provide medical or other professional advice.

Views expressed here do not necessarily reflect those of ScienceDaily, its staff, its contributors, or its partners.

Financial support for ScienceDaily comes from advertisements and referral programs, where indicated.

[Do Not Sell My Personal Information](#)